

University of North Dakota UND Scholarly Commons

Theses and Dissertations

Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects

1-1982

A Child Care Needs Study Demand for a Government Service

Shirley R. Dykshoorn

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.und.edu/theses

Recommended Citation

Dykshoorn, Shirley R., "A Child Care Needs Study Demand for a Government Service" (1982). *Theses and Dissertations*. 6168.

https://commons.und.edu/theses/6168

This Independent Study is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

A CHILD CARE NEEDS STUDY THE DEMAND FOR A GOVERNMENT SERVICE

by SHIRLEY RICHARDSON DYKSHOORN

Bachelor of Arts, Jamestown College, 1975

An Independent Study
Submitted to the Graduate Faculty
of the

University of North Dakota

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Public Administration

Grand Forks, North Dakota

January
1982

Permission

Title A CHILD CARE NE	EDS STUDY - THE DEMAND FOR	R A GOVERNMENT SERVICE
Department Politic	32	
Degree Master of Pu		
requirements for a gra I agree that the Libra available for inspecti copying for scholarly supervised my independ of the Department or t that any copying or pupart thereof for finan permission. It is als to me and to the Unive	duate degree from the University shaton. I further agree that purposes may be granted by lent study work or, in his he Dean of the Graduate Soldication or other use of cial gain shall not be allounderstood that due received in my independent sterial in my independent states.	versity of North Dakota, Il make it freely permission for extensive y the professor who absence, by the Chairman chool. It is understood this independent study or lowed without my written ognition shall be given any scholarly use which
	Signature δ	Kily R. Dykshoon
	Date	Kily R. Dykshooin 1-30-82
		•

This independent study submitted by Shirley Richardson Dykshoorn in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Public Administration from the University of North Dakota is hereby approved by the Faculty Advisory Committee under whom the work has been done.

(Chairman)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Purpose and methodology	Page	1
The ND child care study	Page	3
Review of the literature	Page	9
Child care needs study general findings	Page	16
Questions and answers from the study	Page	19
Conclusions and Recommendations	Page	23
Bibliography	Page	25
Appendices:		
A. 1981 Child care survey	Page	26
B. Sample letter from DPI	Page	30
C. School districts sampled	Page	31
D. Bureau of Governmental Affairs Letter	Page	34
E. Instructions for drawing a sample	Page	35
F. School census lists for ages 0-5	Page	36

CHAPTER ONE PURPOSE AND METHODOLOGY

This paper is the primary product of a month-long survey conducted by the Bureau of Governmental Affairs at the University of North Dakota during the month of July, 1981. The results of the survey were submitted to the Day Care Administrator, Social Service Board of North Dakota, prior to September 30, 1981. The study was commissioned and monitored by the Social Service Board of North Dakota.

The chief purposes of the study were:

- 1. To determine the need for child care services in the state.
- 2. To determine if there are adequate and sufficient child care resources available in the state.
- 3. To determine the types of child care arrangements utilized by parents of preschoolers and the problems encountered by parents in finding child care.

It is assumed for the purposes of this child care needs study that the primary purpose of "child care" is to provide an adequate alternative to the children's own homes when parents are unable to provide care for their children due to employment, attendance at school or vocational training, or incapacity due to either physical, mental or emotional disability.

The definition of day care used in the context of this paper is quite broad: acceptable substitutes for parental care made necessary by employment, attendance at school or vocational training, or disability of the parent. The fundamental reason for child care, no matter what terms are used to describe the program, is care for children of employed parents. Such care must be of at least four hours per day or three days per week.

Categories of child care

Supervised in own home:

Relative under 16
Relative over 16
Non-relative under 16
Non-relative over 16

Child cares for self

0ther

Supervised out of home:

In home of neighbor, relative or friend
Private home (less than 13 children)
Private home (more than 13 children)
Day care center (less than 13 children)
Day Care center (more than 13 children)
Recreational program
Half-day program
Other

Determining the extent of need for any given social service is absolutely crucial for planning. Such determination of need, however, has been sorely lacking in most social service planning, including day care. In developing the child care needs study, and looking at the availability of child care, we made no assumptions about availability of service or quality of care received. We were looking for statewide information about child care needs, perception of community availability, etc.

The child care needs study was limited to a sample size of 600 parents of preschoolers to enable telephone interviews to be conducted on a statewide basis. We drew a slightly larger sample of 638 since interviewers had success in contacting parents. The sample names were drawn from school census lists which identified names and addresses of parents of preschoolers in North Dakota.

The North Dakota Child Care Needs Study

The North Dakota Child Care Needs Study began with the support for needing better data on the need for child care in the state.

My original hypothesis included the following:

- 1. The majority of parents in North Dakota feel that more day care options should be available in their communities.
- 2. The majority of parents use family child care rather than center care for their infants and toddlers.
- 3. Parents in communities with higher populations are less satisfied with present child care arrangements than parents from communities with small populations or rural areas.
- 4. Parents having lived in a community for less than one year identify more problems in finding child care.
- 5. Single parent households utilize child care and experience greater proportional child care needs than two-parent families.

When analyzing child care "needs", we are referring to that portion of the population who need the service, namely <u>child care</u>, and are parents who will utilize the service if it is made reasonably available to them.

Basic information which we wanted from the survey included the following:

- 1. Number of single parent or two parent families surveyed
- 2. Number of dual employed parents surveyed
- 3. Child care utilized by parents surveyed (by age of child)
- 4. "Biggest" problems confronting parents with child care
- 5. Satisfaction with present child care arrangements and number of children for whom they are dissatisfied with care
- 6. Number of mothers vs. fathers responding
- 7. Number of children age 6 and under in families surveyed
- 8. Length of residence in location
- Type of employment for parents surveyed (i.e. full-time, seasonal, etc.)
- 10. Employment schedules for parents surveyed

- 11. Number of parents utilizing child care services (at least 4 hours one day/week or 3 days/week for any length of time)
- 12. Number of parents having children in different locations for child care
- 13. Reasons parents give for utilizing more than one type of child care
- 14. Beliefs on costs for child care as "too high", "about right", or "a bargain"
- 15. Problems parents identify that they've had in finding satisfactory child care
- 16. Number of parents having a child or children who needs child care and it is not available
- 17. Number of children needing child care and it is not available
- 18. Reasons parents give for care not being available
- 19. Number of parents believing child care services in their community are adequate, inadequate or don't know
- 20. Types of child care that parents feel should be available but aren't being provided
- 21. Number of parents by gross income category

Because the Social Service Board of North Dakota had moved to support our conducting a needs study regarding child care in North Dakota, a "proposal to procure" was developed and approved by the agency. The survey process was discussed with the Bureau of Governmental Affairs director and a cost estimate was prepared for the work needing to be done. The Bureau presented a workable plan for interviewing as well as a reasonable cost to conduct the study.

After the contract was signed and developed with the Bureau, details on the survey instrument were discussed at length. Three or four survey drafts had already been developed, revised and refined before the actual survey was developed. The survey also needed to lend itself for use by telephone interviewers. Questions had to be developed which were clear, concise and asked what we needed to know. Staff from the Bureau and the day care administrator met on several occasions to review and discuss the survey, sampling techniques and data to be compiled.

There were many persons involved in the discussion to conduct a child care needs study, including members of the day care task force, day care advisory committee, the Social Service Board of North Dakota and day care staff in the state.

Numerous individuals have described the shortage of available child care centers in our state as being "critical". Staff have been regularly asked for names of child care providers. Providers are regularly caring for "capacity" numbers of children, and social service staff with day care responsibilities have wanted a better picture of child care needs in the counties, areas and state.

In looking at available data on children, the primary source of information on numbers of preschoolers came from the North Dakota School Census. In 1979, the North Dakota School Census gave us a total of 54,254 children age 0-6 statewide. This survey also gave us the only current listing of parents of preschoolers.

There are 293 public school districts in North Dakota. In surveying 800 parents in 53 districts, an average of 15 parents per school needed to be surveyed.

There were several alternatives discussed when determining how a child care study should be conducted. Different methods of conducting a survey were evaluated: direct person-to-person survey, mail survey, telephone survey, etc. Telephone interviewing was selected as being the most economical, expedient and easiest method to use. It was determined that conducting the survey in late summer or early fall would be the best for the department financially, student assistance for interviewing was available and parents would have a fresh knowledge of both summer and school year child care arrangements.

There were many options to draw a sample of parents, the easiest being the use of a random sample of school districts in the state. All of the school districts in the state were identified and the size of the child population identified in a rank order listing for ages 0-5. The top

nine districts were placed in a category needing a larger sample size. All other districts were then grouped according to school census by their total number of children age 0-5 in a certain number of schools.

After the grouping was completed, a sample of districts was drawn. 235 school districts were reduced to a sample size of 53 districts. The sample of names of parents of preschoolers was then drawn from the school census lists available in the county superintendent of school's office.

In preparation for sampling, a letter was obtained from the State Superintendent of Public Instruction endorsing the project and asking for the cooperation of selected county superintendents. (See attachment.) County superintendents were also telephoned and advised by letter from the Bureau of Governmental Affairs of the needs study project.

With the small size of certain samples needed, some county superintendents completed the sample draw and gave it to the Bureau. Other large samples were drawn with the assistance of social service staff who visited the county superintendent's office and followed the sampling instructions.

The county school censuses were completed during May of 1981. This allowed the county superintendents sufficient time to compile the names and addresses of parents and to have complete lists for their county. The only county where difficulties were encountered was Cass County, where no parents of preschoolers were identified.

With the school districts represented, 38 counties were represented. Fifteen counties were not represented and 11 had multiple representation.

At approximately the same time that the sample of parents was drawn, the final survey instrument was completed and final pretesting was conducted. Interviewers were then selected and trained, small revisions were made to the survey, and actual telephone interviews began July 27, 1981.

In the Fargo area, the county superintendent submitted a copy of every 5th page of their school census list to the Bureau for sampling. The Bureau's staff identified all parents with children age 6-8 with no children in the family over age 8. They created a larger sample and began to call those parents until they had a large enough sample with preschool children in the home. As it turned out, the Fargo area, despite sampling problems, was equally represented in the survey.

The child care needs study applied statistics on the percentage of parents using various types of child care and took into account income levels, differences in two parent and single parent families.

By cross-tabulating the data, some of the following questions were answered:

- 1) Would the number of children a parent has correlate to whether they are receiving child care services or not?
- 2) Would the number of children a parent has relate to the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?
- 3) Would the size of the parents' community or place where they live correlate with whether their children are receiving child care services or not?
- 4) Would the size of the parents' community or place where they live relate to the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?
- 5) Would the size of the parents' community or place where they live relate to their feeling that child care costs are too high, about right, or a bargain?
- 6) Would the size of the parents' community or place where they live relate to the availability of care for those children?
- 7) Would the size of the parents' community or place where they live relate to their belief in the adequacy of child care services in the community?
- 8) Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to whether they are receiving child care services or not?
- 9) Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?

- 10) Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to the feeling that child care costs are too high, about right, or a bargain?
- 11) Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to the availability of care for their children?
- 12) Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to their belief in the adequacy of child care services in the community?
- 13) Would whether the family is two parent or single parent make a difference in whether they are recieving child care services or not?
- 14) Would whether the family is two parent or single parent make a difference in the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?
- 15) Would whether the family is two parent or single parent make a difference in their feeling that child care costs are too high, about right, or a bargain?
- 16) Would whether the family is two parent or single parent relate to the availability of care for their children?
- 17) Would whether the family is two parent or single parent relate to their belief in the adequacy of child care services in the community?
- 18) Would the parents' income relate to whether they are receiving child care services or not?

CHAPTER TWO REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Research for doing this study included a review of literature regarding child care needs. One of the most comprehensive and useful studies found was that done by Florence A. Ruderman in 1968 for the Child Welfare League of America.

The study was based on interviews with a stratified sample of working mothers in the Cleveland, Providence, Oakland, Baltimore, Memphis, and Hartford urbanized areas, and Caldwell County, North Carolina. Other studies of lesser scope and completeness confirm many of Ruderman's findings.

Ms. Ruderman compiled data on the kinds of arrangements made by working mothers for child care, and degree satisfaction or dissatisfaction with those arrangements. Variations in both frequency of and satisfaction with child care arrangements were identified by race and socio-economic level. The Ruderman study found the following percentages of children of working mothers in these types of child care arrangements in 1968:

Type of Arrangement	Percentage
In own home	<u>73</u>
(by) other relative	17
sibling	. 12
father	23
neighbor, sitter, etc.	6
maid	5
self care by child	7
mother cares while working	3
Out of own home	<u>27</u>
(by) other relative	12
neighbor, sitter, etc.	11
day care center	3
recreation program	1

^{1.} Florence A. Ruderman, <u>Child Care and Working Mothers: A Study of Arrangements</u>
Made for Daytime Care of Children, New York: Child Welfare League of America,
1968.

The percentage of working mothers expressing dissatisfaction with existing child care arrangements in the Ruderman study were as follows:

Type of Arrangement		Percer	nt Exp	ressing Diss	<u>atisfaction</u>
In own home	ŗ.				
(by) other relative				20	
sibling				41	
father				29	
neighbor, sitter, etc.		N .		29	
maid				43	
self care by child				52	
Out of own home				1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
(by) other relative	:		4	83	
neighbor, sitter, etc.				31	
day care center				17	
recreation program			*.	44	

There have been studies conducted which give data on variation by family status (i.e., two parent versus single parent families, notably the ABT Associates study done under contract with the Office of Economic Opportunity in 1970-1971).

On the basis of the literature reviewed, the following findings were made: A. Inclination to utilize child care arrangements outside the home by non-relatives decreases as family income increases.

- B. Single parents appear to more frequently utilize non-relatives outside the home for child care than do two parent families. (An ABT Associates nationwide study in 1970-1971 found 60% of children in day care centers of all types were from single parent families.)
- C. Parents strongly tend to use a single child care arrangement which accomodates all of their children. A family with school age as well as preschool age children will prefer a family day care arrangement or an in-home sitter who can care for all of the children, rather than one arrangement for the school age children and another arrangement for the preschool age children.

^{2.} ABT Associates, Inc., \underline{A} Study of Child Care 1970-71; Volume III. Reprinted by the Day Care and Child Development Council of America, 1972.

This means that multi-child families will be much less likely to use day care centers if alternative arrangements can be made to care for all children of a family in a single place. These findings were especially pointed out in the Ruderman and the Smith and Reed studies.

- D. Of all the variety of child care arrangements used, all studies found the highest level of satisfaction with day care centers. In the Ruderman study, for example, 53% of the center mothers sampled expressed no dissatisfaction with day care as a child care arrangement and an additional 30% only low dissatisfaction. This low level of dissatisfaction exceeded all other arrangements, including care by a relative in the child's own home. This suggests that other parents presently utilizing other arrangements might like a center care arrangement better.
- E. Most respondents in the Ruderman study who expressed dissatisfaction with their present child care arrangements did not want a different type of arrangement but only better quality of the type being used. This leads an observer to believe that not all who say they would use a day care center really would do so.

In Ruderman's study, child care centers were usually preferred for a variety of reasons. Many felt it was better to have a center than individual babysitters because it was more beneficial for the children. Those choosing not to use the centers cited specific problems relating to work schedules, transportation, costs or special characteristics of the child such as a handicap. Also, some mothers preferred having someone in their own home to help them with other services.

Finding care for two or more children in the same place was also difficult. Mothers were concerned over a lack of personal and individual attention; a too strenuous or competitive environment; the danger of communicable diseases; overcrowding and understaffing; and a generalized fear that programs are not well-run.

Ruderman noted that all social classes needed child care services, and all classes had problems arranging for them. "The average middle-class family is likely to reflect many of the conditions that give rise to contemporary day care needs - greater geographic mobility, separation from the extended family, unwillingness of middle-class relatives to serve as regular child care agents, lack of close relationships with neighbors, and a society where assistance gradually disappears.

In the 1980 Polk County, Iowa parent rating scale survey's results, there was an excellent response. Four hundred seventy-one parents or 31.1% completed the parent questionnaire distributed through 19 child care centers and 108 family day care homes.

A large majority of parents in Polk County reported satisfaction with their current child care arrangement, whether that arrangement was a child care center or a family day care home. Over 95% of the respondents rated their child care on an overall basis as "satisfactory" or "very satisfactory".

The majority of responding parents in Polk County state a preference for the mode of child care they were currently using. However, a relatively high proportion of parents, 41.6%, would have preferred to use a different type of child care if such care was available at an affordable cost. The survey made it particularly evident that parental options for child care for infants were extremely limited.

In the Polk County survey, parents strongly voiced the problems that they have had in locating the type of child care services that they needed or desired. Simply knowing how to begin to locate child care appeared to be a major problem for parents. Parents cited infant care, school age care, care for children with special needs and care for a child who is ill as primary child care problems.

Parents also reported difficulty in finding care for siblings in different age categories, finding care for evening, night, and weekend hours, and locating care in the neighborhood.

In the Polk County Survey, the following demographics were found:

64.9% of respondents were part of two-parent families

34.0% of respondents were one-parent families

Of the single parent households, the following types of care were found to be utilized:

publicly funded child care centers	59.3%
private child care centers	24.2%
family day care homes	22.6%

Median family income for parents using publicly funded child care centers fell in the \$5,000 - \$10,000 range. Among users of private child care centers, median family income was in the \$15,000 - \$20,000 range. Parents utilizing family day care homes also had a median income between \$15,000 - \$20,000.

In Polk County a relatively high percentage, 32.8%, of the children cared for in family day care homes were infants. A surprisingly low percentage of the children cared for in family day care homes were school age children.

Twenty-one point five percent of the families participating in the Polk County Survey having two or more children reported a second child care arrangement. The percentage of families using family day care who reported that they also use a second type of child care for another child or children was comparable to the percentages of families using child care centers and also reporting a second child care arrangement.

Eighty-five point four percent of the surveyed Polk County parents reported that parental employment was the primary reason for utilizing child care services. A relatively high percentage of parents used publicly funded child care centers while attending school or training.

Over 60% of families surveyed in Polk County used child care for more than 20 hours per week. Reported use of child care for less than 20 hours per week appeared to be primarily for school age children.

Polk County Survey results showed that the primary medium by which parents had learned of the child care they were utilizing was through an acquaintance. The second most frequent response was "other". A number of parents using child care centers indicated that they had located the center through the telephone book yellow pages. Over a quarter of families utilizing family day care homes located the home through a newspaper or shopper advertisement.

In response to a question on problems that they have had in finding child care, the top five responses were (51) could not locate infant care, (35) did not know how to locate care, (34) care not available for hours needed, (31) high cost of care, and (28) care not conveniently located.

Over half of the parents who cited a problem in locating infant care indicated that they would prefer a child care center for their infant if such a program were available.

In the Polk County Survey, parents were relatively less satisfied with four child care factors. In publicly funded child care centers, fewer than 80% of parents reported satisfaction with the factor "appropriate discipline". In private child care centers, parents were less satisfied in the areas of "reasonable cost" and "opportunity for parents to participate if they desire". Parents with a child in a family day care home also report the lowest level of satisfaction to the factor of "planned learning activities". In private child care centers, factors which ranked highest among parents included "convenient hours", "opportunity for the child to develop socially" and "reliable, dependable staff". In family day care, "clean and safe environment", "appropriate discipline", and "amount and variety of toys and play materials" all rank highly with parents as do the characteristics of the caregiver - "warm and loving babysitter or caregiver", "trained, experienced babysitter or caregiver", "reliable dependable babysitter or caregiver" and "babysitter or caregiver seems interested in and concerned about each child".

In the Polk County Survey, no correlation was found between income and satisfaction among families using either publicly funded or private child care centers. Among families using family day care homes there appeared to be some correlation between income and satisfaction with care. Also, the majority of Polk County respondents preferred child care in their neighborhood. This preference was strongest among parents using family day care homes.

CHAPTER THREE CHILD CARE NEEDS STUDY GENERAL FINDINGS

Sex of Respondents -- Seventy-three percent of the respondents were female.

Children Under Six Of Sample Parents (48% of the sample had one child under six (40% of the sample had two children under six

(10% had three children under six

(The remainder had four or five children under six

Sample Characteristics-20.8 percent of the sample parents lived on a farm

13.7 percent lived in the country, but not on a farm

Size of Town

5.3 percent lived in a town (500 - 1,000)
11.1 percent lived in a town (1,000 - 2,500)

7.6 percent lived in a town (2,500 - 10,000)

41.2 percent lived in a town (10,000 plus)

1,000 2,500 10,000	Farm	Country	500- 1,000	1,000- 2,500	2,500- 10,000	10,000 plus
--------------------	------	---------	---------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------

Length of Residence -- Most of the parents (53.4%) had lived in their community over five years. 41% had lived there one - five years.

Household

-- 95% of the sample were 2 - parent households.

Employment

-- 48.7% of the sample had working mothers. 95.6% of the sample had working fathers. 47.4% of the working mothers worked full-time. 97.7% of the working fathers worked full-time.

Income

-- Of those responding to the question on gross family income, 44.1% were in the \$10,00 - 20,000 income category, with 30.4% in the \$20,000 - 30,000 category, 11.0% making less than \$10,000 and 14.5% more than \$30,000.

Require ChildCare *-- 40.9% of the sample indicated that they require regular child care for their pre-school age children.

Numbers by Ages In Care - The number of children needing care by age group in the sample in descending order was:

Number

10.3%	66
9.7%	62
9.6%	61
9.6%	61
7.8%	50
6.7%	43
5.3%	34
	9.6% 9.6% 7.8%

Types of Care Utilized

-- The type of care utilized by sampled parents most frequently was care supervised out of the home, in the home of a neighbor, friend or relative (51.7% average year-round total). Supervised care in the child's own home amounted to only 13.9% of the average year-round total? Care in settings serving fewer than 13 kids amounted to 9.5% of the average year-round total. Care in center settings serving more than 13 kids amounted to 6.9% of the average year-round total.

School Year vs. Summer Time

-- Of those children requiring care, 220 or 58% utilized care in the summertime and 367 or 97.3% utilized care during the school year.

Different Locations

-- 11.3% of the 257 parents using regular child care had children in different locations for care.

Satisfaction with -- 92.4% of the parents using regular child care were satisfied with their present child care arrangements.

in Dissatisfactory Care

Number of Children -- Of those parents not satisfied, only 14 children were affected in those child care arrangements.

Costs

-- Of those parents using regular child care, only 8.8% felt that costs of child care were too high, 60.5% felt they were about right and 30.7% felt they were a bargain.

Child Care Not Available

-- Only 13 parents or 2% of the sample had a child or children who needed child care services and they were not available. The primary reason given for no child care was that no one was available (46.2%) and second that the right type of care couldn't be found (23.1%).

Adequacy

-- 45.9% of those surveyed felt that child care services in their communities were adequate. 28.1% felt that they were not adequate and 26% didn't know.

Resources Which Should be Available

-- 174 responses on types of care which should be available and which aren't being provided (27.2%)

95 indicated a need for more day care centers

31 specified needing more babysitters

 $\overline{27}$ noted needed pre-school, nursery school or kindergarten

7 mentioned general needs for all types of care

6 specifically mentioned infant care

Biggest Problems with Present Child Care Program

205 parents or 32.1% of those surveyed indicated no problem. 55 parents cited specific problems (8%). The comments given did not point out any serious problem frequently being mentioned. Five parents felt that there were too many kids at a day care home or center, and five felt that the care was costly, with several still noting they were pleased with the care received. Three parents indicated a preference for more educational child care. Three noted problems finding substitute care. Three felt that their children needed more individual attention and three noted finding dependable care was difficult. All other responses were made by only one or two parents.

Reasons why Families-Used more than One Type of Child Care

There are often children of various ages in a family who require different types of care, i.e. kindergarten and day care.

The hours for various types of programs vary as do the ages of children. The times of the year programs are available also vary. Some are open only during the school year.

14 specific responses were given.

Descriptions of Problems in Finding Satisfactory Child Care

-- 174 answered "no problem" in satisfactory child care. 27.2% of total sample. 71 persons (11%) actually described problems they have had in finding satisfactory child care. The problem which was mentioned most frequently was that of few resources or selection of child care providers, with a close second being a shortage of good caregivers (experienced, qualified, willing to work with infants, et cetera) Parents indicated problems with inadequate care and supervision of children next often and also the difficulty finding care close to home or work.

Comments on any Unusual Child Care Arrangements

Unusual child care arrangements are fairly typical due to parents' work schedules, location of work, child's needs, children's ages, differences between school and summer needs, and combination of programs available - particularly for older pre-schoolers.

Averag		
Hours	in Ch	ild
Care b	y Age	of
Child		

Age	p)	Regular Year	Summer
0		31.5	32.41
1		31.12	33.04
2		30.55	31.13
. 3		26.39	28.44
4	•	26.91	30.66
5		23.93	30.12
6	¥	22.73	30.84

The hours of care required in the summertime are slightly higher than during the regular school year and up to an average 7 or 8 hours higher for school age children.

Some Questions and -- 1.

Answers from the
Study

1. Would the number of children a parent has correlate to whether they are receiving child care services or not?

*Of the 374 parents not requiring child care, the highest percentage (49.2%) had only one child. There was no significant difference from those requiring child care by any number of children the parents had. Of the 261 respondents having children requiring child care, 47.1% had one child, 42.1% had two children and 10.3% had three children.

2. Would the number of children a parent has relate to the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?

*Of the 123 parents with one child, 94.3% were satisfied with present arrangements. There was slightly less satisfaction by families with two children (90.1%) but nothing significant. Of the 179 respondents (28% of sample) who felt that child care services were inadequate, the highest percentage had two children (48%).

3. Would whether the family is two parent or singleparent make a difference in the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?

*Two parent households were generally more satisfied with present child care arrangements than one-parent households (92.6% compared to 88.9%)

4. Would whether the family is two parent or single parent relate to the availability of care for their children?

*Most of the children for whom services were unavailable (92.3%) were from two parent households.

5. Would whether the family is two parent or single parent make a difference in their feeling that child care costs are too high, about right, or a bargin?
*Yes - surprisingly, no single parent households felt that child care costs were too high.

6. Would the size of the parent's community or place where they live correlate with whether their children are receiving child care services or not?

*The highest percentage (33.2%) of parents not requiring child care live in communities over 10,000 but that compares to 52.9% for parents requiring child care in the same size communities.

The second highest percentage of parents not requiring child care was in the farm category with 27% not requiring child care. That compares to 11.9% requiring care on farms.

7. Would the size of the parents' community relate to the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?

*There were very few dissatisfied parents, but of the 7.6% that were dissatisfied with present child care arrangements, 70% lived in towns over 10,000.

8. Would the size of the parents' community relate to their feeling that child care costs are too high, about right, or a bargain?

*60.9% of those that felt that child care costs were too high lived in towns over 10,000 compared to 13.8% for the next highest category.

9. Would the size of the parents' community relate to the availability of care for those children?

*Yes, of the respondents having children with no child care available, the highest percentage lived on farms (38.5%). The next highest percentage lived in rural areas (non-farm) and as the population size grew, fewer respondents indicated that no child care was available.

10. Would the size of the parents' community relate to their belief in the adequacy of child care services in the community?

*Yes, but the difference is not significant until you look at larger towns. Of the respondents who felt that child care services were inadequate, 40.9% lived in the rural non-farm area and 32.3% on farms. This compares with respondents who felt that services were adequate: 34% rural non-farm and 39.8% farms. The percentage differences for other populations were as follows:

Town	<u>Adequate</u>	Inadequate
500 - 1,000	61.8%	32.4%
1,000 - 2,500	52.1%	36.6%
2,500 - 10,000	53.1%	22.4%
Town over 10,000	47.9%	19.8%

11. Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to whether they are receiving child care services or not?

*A much higher percentage of parents living in a community for less than one year did not require regular child care than those that did. (76.5% vs. 23.5%) Of those parents requiring regular child care, 56.3% had lived in the community over five years, compared to 40.6% for those living in the community one - five years and 3.1% for those living there less than one year.

12. Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to the parents' satisfaction with present child care arrangements?

*Of those persons dissatisfied with present child care arrangements, 55% had lived there over five years. This does not vary significantly with the percentage of persons living there over five years who were satisfied with present child care arrangements (56.2%).

- 13. Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to their feeling that child care costs are too high, about right or a bargain?
 - *Of those parents who felt that child care costs were too high, 69.6% had lived in their community over five years compared to only 26.1% who lived there one five years or 4.3% who had lived there less than one year.
- 14. Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to the availability of care for their children?

*Of those parents having children with services unavailable, 66.7% had lived in their community over five years, compared with 30.8% who had lived there from one - five years.

- 15. Would the length of time the parent has lived in the community relate to their belief in the adequacy of child care services in the community?
 - *The highest percentage of parents who felt that there were adequate child care services in their community had lived there over five years (61.1%). The highest percentage of parents who felt that there weren't adequate child care services in their community had also lived there over five years (49.7%).
- 16. Would whether the family is two parent or single parent make a difference in whether they are receiving child care services or not?

*72% of the single parent families required regular child care.

17. Would whether the family is two parent or single parent relate to their belief in the adequacy of child care services in the community?

*A much higher percentage of single parent households than two parent households felt that child care services were adequate (64% to 45%)

- 18. Would the parents' income relate to whether they required regular child care services or not?

 *When parents' incomes were more than \$30,000 (gross family income), more parents required regular child care (54.7%) compared to other income categories. The greater the income, the greater was the need for regular child care.
- 19. Did the parents' work schedules make a difference in whether or not they required regular child care?

 *Yes. 75% of mothers who worked nights did not require regular child care. 60.9% of mothers who worked only evenings did not require regular child care, but 70.4% of mothers who worked days required child care.

The father's employment did not really make a difference in our sample as to whether regular child care was required.

Those families with mothers working weekends required a higher percentage of regular child care than those working weekdays or irregularly. (83.3% compared to 68.7% or 50%).

- 20. Did the parents' work schedules make a difference in their satisfaction with child care arrangements?
 *Those families with mothers working irregular schedules were less satisfied with present child care arrangements than those mothers working weekdays. There was no dissatisfaction by mothers working only on weekends. Also, of those dissatisfied, 58.7% of the mothers worked part-time and 41.2% worked full time.
- 21. Did the employment of parents make a difference as to whether regular child care services were required?

 *Yes. Of the 307 families with employed mothers,
 64.5% required regular child care. Of the 323 unemployed mothers, only 18.3% required regular child care.

CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this survey indicate that less than half (40.9%) of the representative sample requires regular child care. The average number of hours of care for all age children was 29.26 hours per week with the age group of children most needing care being two year olds.

Less than half (48.7%) of the representative sample families had working mothers. This compares to a 1970 national average of 37.6% working mothers with pre-schoolers.

As could be expected, slightly more than half (51.7%) of the parents utilized child care arrangements out of the home, in the home of a neighbor, friend or relative.

Of interest was the dramatic increase in care required during the school year vs the summertime. 39.3% more children required care during the school year than during the summertime.

Probably the <u>most significant finding</u> was the high level of satisfaction parents had with their present child care arrangements. (92.4% of the parents were satisfied with those arrangements.)

Also, very few parents felt that costs of child care were too high. In fact, 91.2% felt they were either "about right" or "a bargain".

Less than half (45.9%) of those surveyed felt that child care services were adequate in their communities. Fifty-four point one percent felt that child care services were not adequate or didn't know. Since 40.9% of the sample required regular child care, 28.1% of the respondents feeling that services were not adequate is pretty high.

The open-ended questions provided a relatively small number of responses.

Of those responding to the question regarding services which should be available, more than half (54.5%) indicated a need for more day care centers.

Since these were voluntary responses, this was quite meaningful.

Very few parents (8%) cited specific problems with their present child care programs. Slightly more parents (11%) actually described problems they have had in finding satisfactory child care.

The child care problem mentioned most frequently was few available resources or child care options to choose from.

Utilizing a survey to measure the demand for a government service is not always easy. It is understandable to see why officials respond to pressure and demands and "fly by the seat of their pants", rather than searching for concrete data and facts.

Putting a research study together is time-consuming. You need to build a base of support to do a study and often either the money isn't available to conduct a study or the officials are not willing to justify the expense to merely obtain "helpful" information.

A needs study that will give you all of the information you want is a challenge to develop. You may need to use open-ended questions to obtain information and end up with fewer responses or may find that the information you collect isn't exactly what you expected.

In summary, however, the needs study did give data which would otherwise have been unavailable and only "guesstimates". It has been very helpful to know the extent of perceived child care needs and problems encountered in obtaining and receiving child care.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ABT Associates, Cambridge, Massachusetts, <u>Children at the Center</u>, Final report of the National Day Care Study.
- 2. American Institute for Research in the Behavioral Sciences, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Project Connections, a study of child care information and referral services.
- 3. Auerbach, Stevanne, <u>Confronting the Child Care Crisis</u>, Beacon Press, Boston, Massachusetts.
- 4. Baltimore City of Planning, Maryland, <u>Day Care in Baltimore</u> A study of needs, available services and problems of day care for 0-5 year olds in Baltimore, Maryland. Prepared for the Department of H.E.W., June 1975.
- 5. Day Care and Child Development Council of America (reprinted by), A Study in Child Care 1970-71; Volume III, ABT Associates, Inc., 1972.
- 6. Des Moines Child Care Planning Council, Des Moines, Iowa, <u>Final Report:</u>
 Parent Rating Scale Survey, April 1980.
- 7. Gerling, Dr. Amy, Child Care Needs in Wichita: A Needs Assessment Study for the Wichita Child Day Care Association, March 1979.
- 8. Missouri Association for Social Welfare, Jefferson City, Missouri, A Guide for a Community Study of the Day Care Needs of the Children of Working Parents: Step II of "A Day Care Plan for Missouri", 1963.
- 9. National School Public Relations Association, Arlington, Virginia, How to Conduct Low Cost Surveys: A profile of school survey and polling procedures, 1973.
- 10. Ruderman, Florence A., <u>Child Care and Working Mothers: A Study of Arrangements Made for the Daytime Care of Children</u>, New York: Child Welfare League of America, 1968.

1981 CHILD CARE SURVEY

one Number		Call	Attempts 1) Date	Time
		es e	2) Date	Time
			3) Date	Time
•	Call back at		time 4) Date	Time
	Refused to answe	r	5) Date	Time
SECTION A		(A)			
1. Sex (coded by in	terviewer) _	male	femal	е	
2. How many children	n age 6 and under d	o you have 1	iving in yo	ur household?_	
3. How would you des	scribe where you li	ve?		्रे त्र अ श	
Farm	Torm	500-1,000	· ·		10.000
Rural non-farm		1,000-2,500		Town 2,500-	
4. How long have you	u lived in your pre	sent communi	ty?	1 2 3	
Less than 1 ye				r 5 years	
				-	
5. Is your household	i a 1-parent househ	old or a 2-pa	arent housel	nold?	
l-parent	2-par	ent	Oth	er	
	outside the home oask if spouse emplo				
	Mother yes	no	, 3		
	Father yes	no			•
	<u></u>				
IF YES,		•			•
6a. Is your (or	spouse's) employme	nt			
Mother f	full-time part	-time se	easonal	other	
·			easonal	other	*** **********************************
6b. Do you (your	spouse) usually w	orle on	w e		
ob. Do you (your	spouse, usually w	ork on			
Mother w	veekdays week	ends ir	regularly		:
	veekdays week		egularly	•	
6c. Do you (your	spouse) usually we	ork			
20			•		
	lays whole night lays whole night		v evenings v evenings	irregular irregular	· ·
7. Do any of your pr	re-school children a	attend day of	re or a nye	-school progra	m or receive
	ices on a regular ba	-		east 4 hours on	
-	or any length of time	•			
	yesno	•		•	•
IF YES, continue	with Section B. I	F NO, skip to	Section C.		

>===	TON D													
SEC.	PION B	1	0	1	2		. 3		4		5			5
3.	<pre>th'ldren's ages? (circle)</pre>	1	Sum	Reg Sum	_	ım	Reg		Reg	Sum	Reg		Reg	1.
)		Keg	7	reg 5mil	I I	1								
Э.	How many hours a	1	1			Ì	1							
	week of care from		1	1 1	4									1
	someone other		ŀ		1 1	- 1	,							1
	than parents?	<u> </u>						أحسنا						
J.	What arrangements		1		1 1	- 1		l						
	do you most often	1	ļ	1		1	. 1							: l
	have for your		1	1			1	l	1					
	children age six	1	•	1	1	i	ĺ					•		
	and under?		1	1 1	1 1		ı	l				·		
	and under:	1			1		. 1	<u> </u>				ì		
3	Relative under 16	1	1	1 1				l			 	1		
ٽ .	KEIGUIVE UNGEL 10	1-	ı	1								1		
ь	Relative over 16	1	- 1	1	1 :		j				1	j		
<u> </u>	REIGHTIVE OVER 10	1-		1	1					1		1		1
_ `	Non-rel under 16	ł		1 1	1 .	!		1)		•		!
C.	Non-let under 10	1—		-}						Γ	T			
_			•	1 1	1 1			1				e		
<u>a.</u>	Non-rel over 16	-			 		}		† -	ļ	 -	!		
-		. 1	,	1	1 1		1	1			1	1] .	1, 1
е.	Child cares for self	4		- 				<u>.</u>	 		 	 	 	
		1	i	. 1	1				1	1		1	İ	
	Other						 				 	<u> </u>	-	-
g.	In home of neighbor,		1					į				ļ.		
	friend or relative		1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	· 	 	1	 	•
- h	Private home child		1		1 1			ŧ	100	•	1		1	
	care centerless	I	l	1 1			ì	i		1		1		1
	than 13 children	I	1	1 1	1 1			8		9 -			1	1
	Private home child	+-			 - 		1	1			1			
1.		1	1				1	1)	1	à		
	care center13	1		1 1			ł	3		ì		1	1	ł
)	children or more	1-	}_		_}		 	 		1	- 	T	 	1
j.	Day care center with	1	1	. "	1			à	1	3	1	8		
	less than 13 childre				<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>		 	- 	1-		4
k.	Day care center with	1	1		1 . 1		1	9		1	1	9	1	1
	13 children or more			1	<u> </u>		· 	1	<u> </u>	!		 	1	
			1	1			1	ł	1	1	1	4	1	
1.	Recreational progra	m		, ,				1		• •		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
m	Half day program		$\neg \neg$						1	J	1	1	1	1
111.4	(nurs sch, kinderg)	i		1				1		1		3		
	(11113 50117 11211-137	-						í		,			1	!
~	. Other		,	!				4		<u> </u>	_1	3		1
	Conce							·				•		
•	•													
	ment on any unusual	ah	ild c	are arrano	rements n	ot	easil	v cat	egori	zed a	bove.			
Con	ment on any unusual	. C11.	LIG C	are arrang	,				•		•			
														
			 -					 						
_							•							
			···							<u></u>	8			
		-							•					
•				<u> </u>							<u> </u>			

SECTION B (continued)

•	į				
yes	no	•			1 -
IF YES				* -	
TE IES					
10a, C	ould you brief!	ly explain why y	our family use	es more than	one
	ype of child ca				
•			•		
-					 · .
•			•		
1 1 1 1 1 1 T					
·	·				
			·		
Are you sat	isfied with you	r present child	care arrange	ments?	٠.
yes	no				
IF NO				•	
		ildren are you o			-m+-3
lla. F	02				•
What is you	r biggest prob.	lem with your pr	esent child ca	are program	for your
child/child			*		
		•	•		
Do you beli	Leve the costs	you pay for chi	d care servic	es are too h	nigh, about
	Leve the costs	you pay for chi	d care servic	es are too h	nigh, about
Do you beli	ieve the costs y			es are too h	nigh, about
Do you beli or a bargai	Leve the costs in?	ut right _	a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c		_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		
Do you beli or a bargai too hig	Leve the costs your about the costs of the c	ut right e any problems y	_a bargain		

CT.	ION C				÷				
	Do you have not availab	a child or le?	children wh	o needs chi.	ld care se	ervices for	whom	it is	
	yes.	no		•	٠				
	IF YES,								
	15a.	For how many	children?			 ; /,			
	15b.	Why is care	not availab	ole?			• :		
		Can't fi	nd anyone t	o take care	of the c	hild			
		Can't fi	nd the righ	t type of c	are				
		Child to		•					
			ped child	110			•		
		Unusual	work schedu at availabl	e places					
			portation f						
	•	Other (s				. d		<u></u>	
	yes	leve the chil		t know					
	IF NO,					$\frac{f}{f} \cdot C$			
	16a.	Could you by which are no	riefly descr ot being pro	cibe what ty ovided?	pes of ca	re should	be avai	ilable	
			·				<u> </u>		
		•							÷
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3.	For statis	tical purpos st year was	es, could y	ou tell me i	f your gro	oss family	income	from	all
	•	W 610 000		•					
		than \$10,000 00 - \$20,000							
	\$20.0	00 - \$30,000							
	More	than \$30,000		•					

THAT COMPLETES THE SURVEY
THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR COOPERATION

Dear County Superintendent of Schools:

The Social Service Board of North Dakota is conducting a survey to assess child care needs in North Dakota. They need the school census lists to use as a data base to reach parents of preschoolers and we are requesting your cooperation in providing those lists to their department. A representative of the Social Service Board will contact you to identify parents of preschoolers on your lists.

The study would reach a sampling of parents having preschool children by telephone and would solicit comments on child care resources and community needs. A draft of the survey is enclosed.

We would appreciate your cooperation in this endeavor. If you have any questions feel free to contact me at 224-2261 or Shirley R. Dykshoorn, State Day Care Administrator at 224-4809.

Sincerely yours,

Dr. Joe Crawford, Superintendent Department of Public Instruction

ks

Enclosure

APPENDIX C

SCHOOL NAME	COUNTY		SAMPLE	
Minot	Ward		65	
Grand Forks	Grand Forks		65	
Bismarck	Burleigh		63	
Fargo	Cass	\$	59	•
Mandan	Morton		29	
West Fargo	Cass		26	
Dickinson	Stark		22	
Jamestown	Stutsman		21	
Williston	Williams		20	
Wahpeton	Richland		32	
Rugby	Pierce		26	
McKenzie County	McKenzie		34	
Mayville-Portland	Traill		24	
NewTown	Mountrail		22	
LaMoure	LaMoure		21	
Hillsboro	Traill		17	
Kindred	Cass		16	
Underwood	McLean		15	
Finley-Sharon	Steele		14	
Napolean	Logan		14	
Mott	Hettinger		12	
Wyndmere	Richland		12	
Elgin	Grant		12	
Solen	Sioux		11	
Southern #8 (Cando)	Towner		. 11	
Hatton	Traill		11	
Neche	Pembina		9	
Berthold	Ward		9	

SCHOOL NAME	COUNTY	SAMPLE	
Wishek	McIntosh	9	
Dakota 3 (Arthur)	Cass	8	
Marion	LaMoure	6	
Strasburg	Emmons	6	
Granville	McHenry	6	
Kulm	LaMoure	6	
Minnewauken	Benson	6	
Michigan	Nelson	6	
Hope	Steele	5	
Sykes 39	Wells	5	
Oriska	Barnes	4	
Hannaford	Griggs	4	
Pettibone	Kidder	4	
Newburg	Bottineau	4	
Fordville	Walsh	4	
Church's Ferry	Ramsey	4	
McHenry	Foster	4	
Leahy 34 (Raleigh)	Grant	4	
Fort Ransom	Ransom	2	
Tolley	Renville	2	
Regan	Burleigh	2	
Palermo	Mountrail	2	
Lefor	Stark	2	
Epping	Williams	2	
Linden (Hannah)	Cavalier	2	
Linuen (naman)	Cavallet	<u>-</u>	

COUNTIES NOT REPRESENTED:

_	_			٠	
.5	ı	7	11	7	dΩ

- .6 Burke
- .4 Golden Valley
- .2 Billings
- .7 Dunn
- .2 Slope
- .6 Bowman
- .5 Adams
- 1.4 Mercer
 - .4 Oliver
 - .4 Sheridan
- 1.1 Dickey
- .8 Sargent
- .5 Eddy
- 1.9 Rolette

COUNTIES WITH MULTIPLE REPRESENTATION:	% of sample
Cass (Fargo, West Fargo, Kindred, Dakota #3) 13.5	13.6
Traill (May-Port, Hillsboro, Hatton) 1.5	6.5
LaMoure (LaMoure, Kulm, Marion) 1.0	4.1
Richland (Wahpeton, Wyndmere) 2.9	5.5
Steele (Finley-Sharon, Hope) .5	2.4
Burleigh (Bismarck, Regan) 8.3	8.1
Ward (Minot, Berthold) 8.9	9.2
Grant (Elgin, Leahy 34) .6	2.0
Mountrail (NewTown, Palermo) 1.2	3.0
Stark (Dickinson, Lefor) 3.6	3.0
Williams (Williston, Epping) 3.4	2.0

Bureau of Governmental Affairs

Box 7167

University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, N.D. 58202

(701) 777-3041

Thank you for agreeing to assist with selecting the sample for the state child care survey. Your participation is very important in assuring a proper sample. The procedure for selecting the sample has been carefully designed to avoid bias. Please be sure to follow the steps exactly as outlined on the attached instruction sheet.

The sample will be drawn from the school census lists available in the county superintendent of schools office. Your county superintendent has been advised of this project and the census lists are public records, so you should have no trouble obtaining the lists. Only selected school systems are included in the survey and a different number of samples will be drawn for each school system in the survey. Your instruction sheet contains the name of the school system(s) you are to sample and the number of samples to draw.

Should you have any questions, please call our office at 777-3041. After you have obtained your sample, please send the list of names and addresses to our office in the enclosed, stamped envelope by <u>August 1</u>.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Harlan Fuglesten Associate Director

Hasten Luglestin

Ef Enc

INSTRUCTIONS FOR DRAWING A SAMPLE FROM THE SCHOOL CENSUS

- STEP 1. Count the number of census pages for the school system.
- STEP 2. Divide the total number of pages by the number of names to be selected. (For example, assume there are 240 pages and 75 names to be selected— $240 \div 75 = 3.2.$)
- STEP 3. Using the quotient arrived at in step 2, start your sample selection on the page which corresponds to the first number after the decimal. (Using the sample quotient of 3.2, one would start on page two. If the quotient were 2.7, one would start on page seven.)
- STEP 4. Once the starting page has been determined, the next step is to select the name of the first parent on the page who has a child or children age five or younger. Copy down the complete name and address (we don't need zip codes). If there are no parents with children five or younger listed on the page, continue on the next page until you find the first eligible name.
- STEP 5. To determine the pages from which to draw the remaining names in the sample, use the number preceding the decimal in the quotient obtained in step 2 to establish the interval between pages. (For example, if the quotient is 3.2, the starting page is page two. Samples are then drawn at three page intervals—pp. 5, 8, 11, etc.—until the required number of names have been selected.) Remember to always take the first eligible name on the page of a parent with a child or children five or under.

SCHOOL SYSTEM

NUMBER OF SAMPLES

NAPOLEON

ST JOHN 3 ENDERLIN 22

LIDGERWOOD 28

N SARGENT 3 NEW SALEM 7

PARSHALL 3 HANKINSON 8

CENTRAL VALLEY

ELM GROVE 13

KENMARE 28

PARSHALL 3

UNITED 7

157

4.0

Logan

1980-8	31 LISTI		CTS BY SCHOOL CENSUS	(AS UF 8-3-79	Page 3/
County Co	YTAUG	DISTRICT	DISTRICT NAME	CENSUS	Simple.
	4 0	029	RULETTE 29 MUHALL 9	146 144	-
- Hettinger	21 18 21	006 128 009	MOTT 6 MIDWAY 128 NEW ENGLAND 9	140 134 131	12
Richland	45 39 25 23	009 042 004 003	SOUTH HEART 9 WYNDMERE 42 NEWPORT EDGELEY 3	131 130 129 127	12
	30 22 51	048 026 004	GLEN ULLIN 48 STEELE 26 NEDROSE 4	127 125 123	
Hant	05 09 19	009 017 004 016	MADDOCK 9 WESTHOPE 17 MAPLE VALLEY ELGIN 10	122 121 121 121	<i>1</i> 2
	39 30 41 32	044 013 002 066	RICHLAND 44 HEBRUN 13 MILNOR 2 LAKOTA 66	121 119 118 117	
Sioux Towner	18 43 48 50 38	127 003 008 020 026	EMERADO 127 SOLEN 3 SOUTHERN 8 MINTO 20 GLENBURN 26	116 115 115 115 114	11
	03 09 26 34	006 076 001 019	LEEDS 6 CASS VALLEY NURT MONTEFIORE 1 DRAYTON 19	111 111 110 110	
	02 03 51 30	065 029 016 039	N CENTRAL 65 WARWICK 29 SAWYER 16 FLASHER 39	106 106 106 105	
Traill	42 40 49 51	019 028 007 070	MCCLUSKY 19 INGEBRETSON HAITON 7 S PRAIRIE 70	105 102 102 102	11
Pembina	18 29 28 34	125 022 050 055	MANVEL 125 STANTON 22 MAX 50 NECHE 55	101 99 98 98	9
Ward	51 26 07 19	054 019 027 018	BERTHOLD 54 WISHEK 19 POWERS LAKE 27 ROOSEVELT 18	98 97 92 91	9
Cass	04 10 34 09	001 019 012 003	BILLINGS CO 1 MUNICH 19 VALLEY 12 DAKOTA 3	90 90 89 86	6
	36 52 06 27	002 040 033 036	EDMURE 2 FESSENDEN 40 SCRANTUN MANDAREE	86 86 85 83	
	50 26 45 53	128 009 004 099	ADAMS 128 * ASHLEY 9 RICHARDTON 4 GRENORA 99	82 81 81 81	
	34 47 15 28	001 003 006 085	PEMBINA 1 MEDINA 3 HAZELTON MOFFIT WHITE SHIELD	80 80 79 79	
	08 34 50 51	028 043 106 002	WING 28 ST THOMAS 43 EDINBURG 106 HARRISON 2	76 76 75 75	
La Moure	09	002 014 009 080	NESSON 2 BOWBELLS 14 MARION 9 PAGE 80	75 74 74 73	6
meHenry		015 025 082 013	STRASBURG 15 GRANVILLE 25 WIMBLEDON 82 WILLOW CITY 13	72 72 71 71	&

1,110	l .		and the second s							
10000461	1 14 7 1 327	OF	DISTRICTS	HV	4 CHUIDI	CENISHELL	LIF	H-3-701		21.2
1900-01	LI31140	O.	DISINICIS		301000	CENTUCALAC	U (0 3 1,31	D	20
			* *						שחגש	ാര

	COUNTY	DISTRICT	DISTRICT NAME	CENSUS	
	09	007	MAPLETUN 7	7.1	
₩	27 Vory 23	014	YELLOWSTONE 14 KULM 7	71 70	b
Tracing States	25	~ 029 - 029	UPHAM 29	70	
	51	158	N SHORE 158	70	
	07 10	036 014	BURKE CENTRAL BURDER CENTRAL	68 : 68	
	25	057	DRAKE 57	68	
	47	014	MONTPELIER 14	<u> </u>	
	51 21	010	BELL 10 REGENT 14	66 65	
	24	014	GACKLE 14	65	
	25 32	014 074	ANAMOOSE	65	
•	36	044	TOLNA 74 STARKWEATHER 44	65 65	
Ben	sun 03	005	MINNEWAUKAN 5	04	6
	03	030	FT TOTTEN RIVERDALE 89	64	
No	28 Ison 3 2	089	MICHIGAN 40	64	40
	09	054	LEONARD 54	61	
Stee	19 LO 46	015 010	HOPE 10	61	5
>	14	012	SHEYENNE 12	59	
· ·	22	028	TAPPEN 28	59	
vacation in the second second second	32 45	046	MCV1LLE 46 TAYLOR 3	59 59	
	13	019	HALLIDAY 19	58	
	22	020	TUTTLE 20	58	
	05 2 7	035	LANSFORD 35 ALEXANDER 2	57 57	
	37	002	SHELDON 2	56	_
	02	052	LITCHVILLE 52	. 55	
	26 48	010 028	LEHR 10 ROCK LAKE	55 55	nova i Nava u rekatu uzada kaza
	49	004	CLIFF GALESBUR 4	55	
	53	006	EIGHT MILE 6	55	
	31 39	137 018	PLAZA 137 FAIRMOUNT 18	54 54	
	0.6	017	RHAME 17	53	*
ω ϵ	ella 52	039	SYKES 39	53	5
eg til elle gjer år han de Retigation (NAS) elle	52 32	023 08 0	BOWDON 23 UNITY 80	52 51	
C	47	010	PINGREE 10	50	
ts ai	nes 02	013	DRISKA 13	49 49	4
	20 23	023 011	BINFORD 23 VERONA 11	49	
	07	034	COLUMBUS 34	48	
	42 35	016	GUODRICH 16 WOLFORD 1	48 47	
	35 16	001	GLENFIELD SUTT	46	
u.	22	014	ROBINSON 14	46	
Hig	왕 20 48	022 002	HANNAFORD W CENTRAL 2	45 45	4
	47	019	KENSAL 19	44	
	28	062	BUTTE 62	43	
	43 01	800 800	SELFRIDGE 8 REEDER 3	43 42	
· Kisla	ler 22	011	PETTIBONE 11	42	7
•	· 25	054	KARLSRUHE 54	41	
	35 5 1	007 156	BALTA 7 CARPIU 156	41	
	26	004	ZEELAND 4	40	
	47	011	BUCHANAN 11	40	
	2 <mark>9</mark> 32	014 020	ZAP 14 ANETA 20	39 39	
	36	003	CRARY 3	39	
Rasin	38 neaw05	002	SHERWOOD 2	39	4
<i>i9v</i> 4U	neau 05 47	048 042	NEWBURG 48 STREETER 42	38 38	4
The second secon	50	071	PISEK 71	37	
grals	Vi 50	079	FORDVILLE 79	37	4
	03 29	025	ESMOND 25	36	-

	i					i i		**	_	
1980-81	LISTING	OF	DISTRICTS	ВY	SCHOOL	CENSUSCAS	OF	8-3-79)	Page	39
A 3 0 0 0 a		••		_						

C	YTAUC	DISTRICT	DISTRICT NAME	CENSUS	9) Page 39 Sampl
	47	030	WOODWORTH 30	35	
	51	024	DUNNYBRUOK 24	35 34	
	25 47	037 024	THURSBY BUTTE 37 (%)	34 34	
	48	012	E CENTRAL 12	34	
	05	028	MAXBASS 28	33	
	13 15	037 007	TWIN BUTTES BRADDUCK 7	33 33	
	10	001	USNABRUCK 1	32	
	16	016	GRACE CITY 16	32	
	17	006	LUNE TREE 6	32	
	09	026	CHAFFEE 26	30	
- Maria - Carlo Cale Maria (Maria Carlo Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale Cale	10 11	030 03 7	MILTON 30 FULLERTON 37	30 30	
Ramsey	36	004	CHURCHS FERRY 4	30	- 4
1	03	016	OBERON 16	29	
	05	032	ANTLER 32	29	
	30 53	004 091	WILDROSE 91	29 29	
Toster	53 16	015	MCHENRY	28	4
Grant.	19	034	LEAHY 34	28	<u> </u>
	44	032	CENTRAL ELEMENTA	28 26	
	05 08	029 029	SOURIS 29 BALDWIN 29	26 26	
	08	036	DRISCOLL 36	26	
	15	030	HAGUE 30	25	
	39 44	005 005	MANTADOR 5	25 25	
	50	051	MARMARTH 12 NASH	25	
	50	112	WALSHVILLE	25	
Para	10	036	NEKUMA 36	24	_
Ransom Renville		006	FT RANSOM 6	24 24	2
Henrice	38 05	025 046	TOLLEY 25 KRAMER 46	23	
	ĭĭ	038	MONANGO 38	23	
	13	800	DODGE 8	23	
	15 23	010 005	JUD 5	23 23	rangada ninga dalah da n t <u>e</u> a
	08	033	MENUKEN 33	. 22	
	08	039	APPLE CREEK	2.2	
	11	042	FORBES	22	
	50 47	039 028	LANKIN 39 CLEVELAND 28	22 21	
	51	019	EUREKA 19	21	
	10	002	ALSEN2	20	
	18	130	TURTLE R LEVANT	20	
·	30 36	008 034	S1MS 8 HAMPDEN34	20 20	*
	47	012	ELDRIDGE 12	20	
	08	035	STERLING 35	18	
	23 45	006	KENNISON 6	18	
Burleigh	AS .	020 002	ST Plus 20 Regan 2	18 17	ا م
Mountraiel Stark Williams Cavalier	08	046	TELFER 46	17	
Stark	31	083	PALERMO 83	17	2
11/20 10 -10	45 53	02 7 088	LEFOR 27 EPPING 88	17 17	2
wattams	<u> </u>	035	FLAXTON 35	15	
Cavalier	10	024	LINDEN 24	15	a.
		025	RYE 25	15	
	35 53	031 (64) 064	SELZ 31 COTTUNWOOD LAKE	15 15	<u> </u>
	08	025	NAUGHTON	14	
	08	034	MCKENZIE 34	14	
and the second of the second o	15	009	ODESSA 9	14 14	11 100 85 0-25
	25 43	056 002	BALFOUR HANNA 2	14	
	47	026	SPIRITWOOD 26	14	
	52	035	PLEASANT VALLEY	14	
	02	093	KATHRYN 93	13	
	27 30	018 017	EARL 18 SWEET BRIAR 1	12 12	
	46	018	WILLOW LAKE 18	12 12	
	. 100 100 100 100 100				
and a construction of the control of	asad in tan ilai tan kan dan ka	and the state of t	いいしょく しんりょう しゅうしゅ しゅうしん 英たく きょくしょ	and the state of t	人名英格兰 化氯苯酚 医电子动脉

COUNTY	TING OF DISTRICT	DISTRICT CENSUS NAME	
49 13 49 01 15	008 018 001 004 012	HERBERG 12 10TA 18 11 BELMONT 11 NURTH LEMMON 4 10 UNION 10	
16 31 06 08	004 032 030 045	LAKE GEORGE 4 10 SWEETWATER 32 9 MUD BUTTE 30 8 MANNING 45, 8	
15 01 27 30	004 008 032 035	GLANAVON URANGE 8 HORSE CREEK 32 OAK COULEE 35 7	
43 47 01 12	005 006 017 009	MENZ 5 7 WINDSOR 6 7 SPG BUTTE 17 6 WESTBY 9 6	
33 37 01 27	014 010 018 019	SPRINGBROOK 14 6 SALUND 10 6 BEISIGL 18 5 BOWLINE BUTTE 19 5	
44 01 49 01	014 005 005 006	SHEETS 14 5 PARANTO 4 ELM RIVER 4 GILSTRAP 3	- 1 Jan (Jaar)
09. 44 01 06	107 004 022 021	NOBLE 3 HAZEL 3 CEDAR BUTTE 22 2 EDEN 21 2	
06 08 43 01	024 003 006 001	SUNNYSLUPE 24 2 GRASS LAKE 3 2 BELDEN 2 SOUTH FORK 1 1	- 100 60000
17 33 09 17	010 007 061 015	GARNER 10 1 BISMARCK 7 1 PLEASANT VALLEY PEARL 54262	
		34202	J. 60. 100. 100. 100.
	4		

.....