



1924

Indiana Law Journal/We Don't Believe It-Do You?

North Dakota Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>

Recommended Citation

North Dakota Law Review (1924) "Indiana Law Journal/We Don't Believe It-Do You?," *North Dakota Law Review*: Vol. 1 : No. 9 , Article 4.

Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol1/iss9/4>

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

INDIANA LAW JOURNAL

Volume 1, No. 1, of the Indiana Law Journal appeared under date of July, 1925.

Among the interesting items found in this first issue were announcements of the annual meeting of the Association in July, and a list of 30 instructions on how to kill the Association. From the list of 30 we quote the following:

1. Don't come to the meetings.
2. If you do come, come late.
3. Never accept an office, as it is easier to criticize than to do things.
4. Get sore if you are not appointed on a committee, but if you are appointed, don't attend the meetings.
5. If asked to express an opinion, tell the President you have nothing to say; then tell everybody else the organization is run by a clique.
6. Agree to do a certain thing, then go home and do the opposite.
7. Take all the Association gives you, then give it . . . in return.

WE DON'T BELIEVE IT—DO YOU?

A few days ago a friend stepped into the office and told us the following story of an occurrence in this part of the State. He said that a police magistrate, seeing a car exceeding the speed limit, gave chase in his own car. The speedster was lost in the traffic, but the judge finally located what he thought was the same car at a farm house. The occupant of the car appeared to be asleep, when discovered. The magistrate hauled him into his own police court, took the witness stand against the suspect, and then assessed a maximum fine. Objection being raised to the procedural tactics, and to the proceedings as a whole, an extra \$10 was slapped on by the magistrate for contempt of court.

On repeating this story to another attorney, we were informed that the practice of testifying against a suspect by the person conducting a hearing had been in vogue for some time, the precedent having been established by a representative of one of the State departments, and he offered to show us the record.

HERE AND THERE

Maine is considering the restoration of capital punishment.

* * * *

An exhaustive discussion of the constitutionality of anti-evolution legislation is contained in the July issue of the American Bar Journal.

* * * *

Lloyd B. Stevens of Devils Lake is the most recent addition to the legal fraternity of the State. He is located properly, according to most laymen.

* * * *

Current Legal Literature: The Supreme Court and Sovereign States, Charles Warren, Princeton U. Press; History of Public Land Policies,