UND

North Dakota Law Review

Volume 2 | Number 11

Article 4

1925

Crime Control

North Dakota Law Review

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.und.edu/ndlr

Recommended Citation

North Dakota Law Review (1925) "Crime Control," *North Dakota Law Review*: Vol. 2: No. 11, Article 4. Available at: https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol2/iss11/4

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

account at the end of the fiscal year.—Edwards vs Douglas, 46 Supreme Court Reports 85.

A corporation owning and voting the stock of another corporation actually engaged in mining and carrying on no activities other than by pledge of the stock is not exempt from the corporations tax as a corporation "not engaged in business."—Edwards vs Chili Copper Co., 46 Supreme Court Reports 345.

A state franchise tax imposed on domestic corporations may be measured by the authorized capital stock of the corporation. It is not an unconstitutional discrimination for such a tax, in the case of corporations issuing stock of no par value, to be based not on the actual value of the stock but on the maximum price for which it might be issued.— Roberts vs Emmerson, 46 Supreme Court Reports, 375.

CRIME CONTROL

The report recently made by Guy A. Thompson, Chairman of the Survey Committee of the Missouri Association for Criminal Justice, points out the following: 1. "The first and most serious deficiency in our machinery for combating crime is its failure to catch the criminal." 2. "After the police have done their work the prosecutor is far and away the most important single factor in the disposition of criminal cases." Figures presented indicate that 33% to 50% of the cases are eliminated by the prosecutor, while from 12% to 16% are eliminated by the court. 3. Another factor pointed out is delay, in which continuances play a material part, and continuances frequently result in elimination. 4. Failure to punish is a further element, the criminal being able to place very satisfactory odds against payment for crime. 5. The very striking figures on point number 5 are that of those punished only 20% ever face the jury; the other 80% are sentenced on plea of guilty. (This would indicate somewhat more responsibility on the part of the courts than on the juries.) 6. The survey finds no great distinction between the country and the congested districts. 7. It reports "a woeful lack of cooperation and co-ordination between the various agencies having relation in their functions to the administration of criminal justice." 8. Among more import recommendations are the following: (a) Establishment of state constabulary; (b) Abolition of office of coroner; (c) Require prosecuting attorneys to keep a docket; (d) Adoption of ten-juror verdicts; (e) Permit comment on failure of defendant to testify; (f) Allow the court to comment on the evidence; (g) Allow reversal of conviction for misdirection of jury or admission or rejection of evidence only in case "it shall affirmatively appear that there has been a miscarriage of justice."

3