



1928

A More Active Bar

Richard E. Wenzel

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>

Recommended Citation

Wenzel, Richard E. (1928) "A More Active Bar," *North Dakota Law Review*. Vol. 5 : No. 11 , Article 1.
Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol5/iss11/1>

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

BAR BRIEFS

PUBLISHED MONTHLY

— BY —

STATE BAR ASSOCIATION OF NORTH DAKOTA

Richard E. Wenzel, Editor

Entered as Second Class Matter Jan. 15, 1925, at the Postoffice at
Bismarck, North Dakota, Under the Act of August 24, 1912

VOL. 5

OCTOBER, 1929

NO. 11

A MORE ACTIVE BAR

There seems to be a feeling in certain sections of the state that the enlistment of all licensed attorneys under the Bar Act has disposed of the need for local organizations, at least, has so limited the activities of such organizations as to make them no longer desirable. Hence, we find few strong county organizations, and only one or two healthy, vigorous district organizations,

It is our firm belief that stronger, more active local associations will mean a stronger, more active, and much more influential State Bar Association. There ought to be at least nineteen county organizations, and the full quota of six district organizations, not as mere show-shops, "ballyhoo" accessories, trappings on the tail of the State Association kite, but as vigorous, working departments of a state-wide organization that has, notwithstanding the lack of these departments, established itself by its achievements, but is ready for further progress.

Every year sees the presentation to the members attending the annual meeting of problems that demand the earnest consideration of all of the members of the Bar. The freest expression does not always result because of the limitation of time and the natural modesty of some of those best able to give expression to their views. The final result is frequently incomplete discussion, sometimes hasty action, and occasionally delay.

This being an off year, legislatively speaking, may we not take note of this situation from time to time, to the end that the annual meeting of 1930 may find us with a more thoroughly organized State Bar, whose final action at the annual meeting discloses the most representative expression obtainable?