



1929

## Minority Report

John H. Lewis

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>

---

### Recommended Citation

Lewis, John H. (1929) "Minority Report," *North Dakota Law Review*. Vol. 6 : No. 9 , Article 3.  
Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol6/iss9/3>

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [und.common@library.und.edu](mailto:und.common@library.und.edu).

solute exemptions shall be allowed. That any person operating a motor vehicle upon the public highway with the consent of the owner, either express or implied, shall be conclusively presumed to be the agent of the owner.

(c) That a State Safety Counsel be established, whose duty it shall be to educate the public in accident prevention and safety measures.

C. H. STARKE, Chairman.

#### MINORITY REPORT

I agree heartily with the report of the committee in general, but dissent on certain details, as follows:

I should like to add that compulsory insurance is not only unwise for North Dakota, for the special reasons stated in the majority report, but that a study of the theory, and of such experience as has been had by Massachusetts, indicate that it is unwise generally. It tends to make accidents increase rather than decrease, and presents some very difficult problems, which it would take too long to discuss here.

I do not agree with the recommendation that only absolute exemptions should be allowed against a judgment for personal injuries or property damage. The right remedy, in my opinion, is to forbid such judgment debtors the use of the roads, and this remedy ought to prove effective. I think the law as to exemptions ought not to be tinkered with in piecemeal fashion.

JOHN H. LEWIS.

---

#### REPORT OF THE LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

We had great difficulty getting together to consider the matters that might be referred to this Committee, but finally your Chairman and Mr. Butterwick met, Mr. King being absent. The report was submitted to Mr. King, and he approved it. The Committee considered the recommendations made by the Association at its last annual meeting, and makes the following recommendations:

1. That the Association continue to urge legislation raising the salaries of the Judges of the Supreme Court to \$8,000.00 per annum, the Judges of the District Courts to \$6,000.00 per annum, and to raise the salary of the Attorney General to \$5,000.00 per annum, as has already been approved by the Association. If the Committee in charge of legislation at the next session of our legislature deem it inadvisable to introduce such legislation at that time, then that the matter be kept alive and proposed at each succeeding legislature until such legislation has been accomplished.

In view of the fact that the assistant Attorney Generals are charged with great responsibility and in order to insure such officers with good ability, we recommend that legislation be proposed at a suitable time raising the salary of the first Assistant Attorney General to \$4,000.00 per annum, and other assistant Attorney Generals to \$3,600.00.

2. Legislation providing for the right of review by the Supreme Court of this State from all decisions or judgments involving substantial rights as to person or property rendered by any Board or Bureau. It will be noticed that we suggest direct review by the Supreme Court. This matter was discussed by us at length and we believe that matters of sufficient importance to be appealed to all would end in Supreme Court in any event, and that it would bring about quicker and cheaper