# **LND**

## University of North Dakota [UND Scholarly Commons](https://commons.und.edu/)

[Theses and Dissertations](https://commons.und.edu/theses) [Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects](https://commons.und.edu/etds) 

12-1-1974

# Formcoke From Thailand Lignite

Nara Pitakarnnop

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: [https://commons.und.edu/theses](https://commons.und.edu/theses?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Ftheses%2F3446&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

## Recommended Citation

Pitakarnnop, Nara, "Formcoke From Thailand Lignite" (1974). Theses and Dissertations. 3446. [https://commons.und.edu/theses/3446](https://commons.und.edu/theses/3446?utm_source=commons.und.edu%2Ftheses%2F3446&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [und.commons@library.und.edu](mailto:und.commons@library.und.edu).

## FORMCOKE FROM THAILAND LIGNITE

by Nara Pitakarnnop

Bachelor of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand, 1968

## A Thesis

Submitted to the Graduate Faculty

of the

University of North Dakota

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Science

Grand Forks, North Dakota

December 1974

**This thesis submitted by Nara Pitakarnnop in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science from the University of North Dakota is hereby approved by the Faculty Advisory Committee under whom the work has been done.**

Abrald & Severon

Wayne Rtube  $2e.$ 

Dean of the Graduate School

 $T^{1974}_{P^{18}P^{27}}$ 

**2.**

ii

## Permission



In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a graduate degree from the University of North Dakota, I agree that the Library of this University shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying for scholarly purposes may be granted by the professor who supervised my thesis work or, in his absence, by the Chairman, of the Department or the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or other use of this thesis or part thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the University of North Dakota in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Signature

Date */Yov &5*, 74

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express gratitude to Professor Donald E. Severson and Professor Wayne R. Kube for their assistance in directing the research project. Sincere thanks are expressed to Dr. Francis T. C. Ting for many helpful suggestions during the course of works. Professor Edwin A. Noble is acknowledged as member of a thesis committee and for the use of his laboratory equipment.

The U.S. Bureau of Mines, Lignite Research Laboratory, Grand Forks, provided facilities and use of equipment. Employees of the U.S. Bureau of Mines, especially Dr. Charles C. Boley, were very helpful. Professor Oscar E. Manz of the Civil Engineering Department provided equipment and facilities for determination of the compressive strength of formcoke.

Appreciation is also extended to Dr. Kasem Balajiva of the Applied Scientific Research Corporation of Thailand for his assistance in the collection of the Thailand lignite sample. The United Nations provided the scholarship under which the program was funded.

iv

## TABLE OF CONTENTS



v

## LIST OF TABLES



## LIST OF FIGURES



## ABSTRACT

Formcoke was made in the laboratory from Thailand and North Dakota lignites with the objective of producing briquets of adequate compressive strength for commercial blast furnace use. Optimum conditions for laboratory scale manufacture were determined.

Two lignite samples from the Li Mine, Lamphun Province, Thailand, and one from the North American Coal Company, Zap, North Dakota, were carbonized each at two different carbonization temperatures of 600°C and 900°C. Cylindrical briquets, 1 inch diameter by 2 inches high, were made from blends of the chars and asphalt or lignite tar binder. Compressive strength of formcoke was determined as a function of sample variety, carbonization temperature, char grain size, binder type, briquetting pressure, coking temperature, and heating rate during coking.

Acceptable formcoke exceeding 800 psi in compressive strength was made from both Thailand and North Dakota lignite chars.

The strongest formcoke briquets were produced from -35 mesh 900°C Thailand lignite char, with 15 per cent asphalt binder, briquetting pressure of  $6,000$  psi and heating rate of  $10^{\circ}C/\text{min}$  to a coking temperature of  $900^{\circ}$ C. The optimum conditions were  $900^{\circ}$ C char, -35 mesh particle size, 15 per cent asphalt binder, briquetting pressure of 3,000 psi, and heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C/min to a coking temperature of 900°C. Adequate formcoke from North Dakota lignite was

viii

produced under the same optimum conditions as above except that the 600°C char was used.

## CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Thailand has experienced an increasing demand for industrial solid fuel, especially coke for blast furnace operation. No deposits of coking coals have been found in the country but there are reserves of good quality lignite estimated at over 200 million tons. Very little research has been done concerning the use of Thialand lignite in the metallurgical industry. In the United States, Eastern European countries, Russia, Japan, and Australia, an abundance of low rank, non-caking coals has promoted the development of methods utilizing these non-caking coals for blast furnace use.

Coke for use in the blast furnace must be an active reductant with a suitable iron ore reducing rate and have the physical strength to support limestone-ore burden and to resist abrasive and impact action (1). Coke produced from coking coal is herein referred to as conventional coke. Non-caking coal may be carbonized and briquetted with caking coal or a binder such as coal-tar pitch and then coked to produce a product known as formcoke (2).

Present-day manufacture of formcoke involves processes using 3 different types of raw materials: (1) blends of caking and non-coking coals, with or without binder, used in Japan; (2) blends of carbonized coal (char) and caking coals, with or without binder,

developed some ten years ago by the Dutch State Mines and used later in Germany; (3) a single coal, which can be completely non-caking, and a binder, developed in the United States by FMC Coke Corporation (3).

It is important to know whether blending coal can be replaced by carbonized lignite (char) made from Thailand lignite. The purpose of the present research was to investigate the feasibility of converting Thailand lignite into formcoke and to test the physical and chemical properties of this coke. Two separate samples of Thailand lignite were used, and it was necessary to establish whether these could be considered as a single sample. Briquets were made in the laboratory in which the following process conditions were varied: carbonization temperature, char grain size, binder percentage, binder type, briquetting pressure, coking temperature, and heating rate during coking. Compressive strength of the formcoke briquets was determined and optimum conditions for formcoke manufacture selected on the basis of these results.

#### CHAPTER II

## LITERATURE SURVEY

From 1939 to 1959 the developments in coal preparation and coal blending and increased knowledge of the coking behavior of coals and coal mixtures have encouraged the construction of large ovens to meet the demand for metallurgical coke (4). Conventional coke is normally made in chambers lined with refractory brick, which are heated externally. A satisfactory technical level, as exemplified in the 20 cubic meter slot oven, was reached shortly before the second World War, and this technology remained essentially unchanged until about 1960. At that time there occurred a development of which the most striking feature was the use of much larger chambers— sometimes exceeding 40 cubic meters--grouped in large batteries designed to give efficient utilization of the set of machines. These improvements in scale did not change the general method of coke manufacture (2).

Conventional industrial coking consists of heating coal in the absence of air in a closed space by external application of heat. External heating requires a flattened and narrow chamber to reduce the time of carbonization (2).

Another process for making suitable metallurgical coke has received considerable attention. The process uses technical methods different from conventional coke. First the coal is carbonized to form

a char. The carbonized coal (char) is blended with a binder, or a binder and a caking coal, or with a caking coal. A briquetting or pelletizing process follows, in which the briquets are formed by heating and pressing at a high pressure. The briquets or pellets are further devolatilized by an additional carbonization, yielding a high carbon, low volatile product of suitable strength for blast furnace use, known commercially as formcoke (3).

Presently there are several processes commercially available for the production of formcoke.

The FMC process employs either coking or non-coking coals and produces a consistently uniform coke. The development of this process began in 1956. A 50,000 ton per year plant was built in 1960 at Kemmerer, Wyoming, using low cost subbituminous coal to produce a superior grade of coke. The plant has recently been expanded to 85,000 tons annual capacity (5).

After grinding the coal to the proper particle size, the coal is put through a series of controlled fluid bed vessels in which a tar and a char are generated. The tar is processed to a pitch-like binder which is then recombined with the calcinate and briquetted to the desired size and shape. The briquets are then cured and coked by reheating (5).

FMC produces pillow shape briquets with several sizes, the most common size being 1 1/4" X 1" X 3/4". FMC reported an average crushing strength of 450 pounds. Although these values are not directly comparable to measurements of conventional coke, it is claimed that these briquets exceed the strength of blast furnace coke (6).

This formcoke has been used both in experimental and in industrial blast furnaces, and may be considered commercial. Positive pollution control using conventionally proved equipment has been demonstrated, and the dusting problem has been successfully solved (6).

The BFL hot briquetting process, which was developed jointly by Bergbau-Forschung and Lurgi in Germany, features the briquetting of two components. Hot char and predried caking coal are mixed and then briquetted in a double roll press while the caking coal is in a plastic condition. Briquets between 25 and 300 gm in weight are produced and several blast furnace tests have been successfully performed (7).

A BFL hot briquetting plant with a capacity of 300 metric tons per day of briquets is under construction at Ruhrkohle A.G. in Germany for the purpose of large-scale testing. The British Steel Corporation has decided to install a BFL plant with a capacity of 650 metric tons per day (7).

In Japan, a formcoke process for blast furnace use consists of 3 parts: first non-coking coal and caking coal are preheated separately in a fluidized high-temperature preheater and a low-temperature preheater, respectively. The coals are then blended and hot briquets are formed in a double-roll press. The briquets are further heated to higher temperature and carbonized. It was found that formcoke made by this process has strength satisfactory for blast furnance use (8).

The Nord-FUVO process, was recently developed in France by the Houilleres du Bassin du Nord et du Pas-de-Calais and the FUVO company. Conventional briquetting with pitch as binder is applied to a mixture of a non-caking coal having a low volatile content, with 10 to 15 per

cent of a caking coal. Non-caking low-volatile coals can be replaced by caking high-volatile coals in the briquetting blend, but it is advantageous to char the latter before blending. Carbonization is carried out in a shaft oven through which hot gases are blown (2).

The Ancit process was developed by the Dutch State Mines and was used by Eschweiler Bergwerks-Verein to produce a blast furnace fuel in a demonstration plant of 10 to 12 tons per hour capacity. The process uses either high volatile coals or caking low volatile coals. The feed coals are preheated in a pneumatic transport stream reactor, then cooled. This pretreated coal is reheated to about 600°C and is crushed hot, then blended with a preheated caking coal (used as a binder) to a mixture temperature between  $460^{\circ}$ C to  $520^{\circ}$ C. The mixture is then briquetted and the briquets charged to a well-insulated vessel where they are held in an inert atmosphere for several hours. This holding time results in substantial increase in spot crushing and abrasion strength of the briquets. All blast furnace tests made to date with the Ancit formcoke have been successful (9).

In the Auscoke (Australian Coke) process, developed by the Broken Hill Proprietary Co. Ltd., precarbonizing of coal at low or medium temperatures is the primary step. The char is crushed, and the fine particles briquetted using a coal-tar pitch binder. The briquets are indurated at temperatures sufficient to impart the strength required for metallurgical use. It was decided in 1969 to build a 100 ton per day coke plant. If this plant functions satisfactorily, a 1000 ton per day plant will be built (2).

The coal Research Laboratory, Department of Geology, University of North Dakota produced formcoke in the laboratory from a North Dakota lignite and a Wyoming subbituminous coal. The formcoke was made by blending of char or devolatilized coal with asphalt and then briquetting. The briquets were further carbonized and the compressive strength of the resulting formcoke was determined. It was reported that a North Dakota lignite could be used successfully for formcoke production (3).

Thailand has no coking coal usable for producing metallurgical slot oven coke. In this investigation, formcoke was produced from Thailand lignite by the method previously used at the University of North Dakota Coal Research Laboratory.

#### CHAPTER III

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This chapter describes the properties of the raw materials 'used, the experimental procedure for. producing formcoke, and the test procedures used for formcoke evaluation,

Formcoke was produced from Thailand lignite and North Dakota lignite.

#### Materials

Two Thailand lignite samples of approximately 60 and 90 pounds were collected from the Li Mine, Lamphun Province, Thailand, by the Applied Scientific Research Corporation of Thailand, The first was stored for 2 to 3 months, while the second sample was used shortly after it was received. About 80 pounds of North Dakota lignite from the North American Coal Company, Zap, North Dakota, was supplied by the U.S. Bureau of Mines, Grand Forks, North Dakota. The proximate analyses of Thailand lignite $(A)$ , lignite $(B)$  and North Dakota lignite $(C)$ are given in Table 1.

Asphalt, lignite tar and solvent refined lignite were used as binders. Roofing asphalt was purchased from B & C Heating & Roofing Company, Grand Forks, North Dakota. Lignite tar was the total tar collected during carbonization of Dickinson, North Dakota, lignite, obtained from the Husky Briquetting Company plant at Dickinson, North



PROXIMATE ANALYSES OF LIGNITES USED

TABLE 1

<sup>a</sup>Samples had lost some moisture in storage <sup>b</sup>Moisture and ash free ba<mark>si</mark>s

Dakota. The solvent refined lignite was produced from lignite by the Pittsburg and Midway Coal Mining Company, Kansas City, by solvent hydrogenation.

## Laboratory Methods

The formcoke was produced in five steps: (1) coal preparation, (2) coal carbonization or charring, (3) blending of char and binder, (4) briquetting using hydraulic press, and (5) coking briquets at high temperature to increase the fixed carbon content. Figure 1 is a block diagram of the process used.

## Coal Preparation

The lignite was crushed to approximately 90 per cent passing 1/8 inch screen with about 95 per cent being retained on a No. 325 mesh screen (U.S. Standard Sieve). The crushed material was sampled for analysis and the remainder air dried overnight. The air dried lignite was subdivided using a sample splitter, placed in stainless retorts 8 inches inside diameter and 38 inches long (10), and placed into the preheated experimental coke oven at the Bureau of Mines laboratory for carbonization.

## Lignite Carbonization

Carbonization increased fixed carbon content of the char by removal of volatile matter.

The experimental slot oven was preheated to 600°C, and four retorts containing lignite were inserted into the preheated oven. The oven door was closed and automatic controls were adjusted to maintain the desired temperature of 600°C. After 16 hours (overnight), two



Fig. I. - Process for producing formcoke.

retorts were removed from the oven and cooled. Oven temperature was then increased to  $900^{\circ}$ C for at least 4 hours. Carbonization times were sufficient to complete devolatilization on the basis of experience on previous work by the Bureau of Mines staff.

After cooling, the chars were split into 3 subsamples. Each subsample was crushed and sieved to separate into size functions. One fraction was crushed to pass an 18 mesh (1,000 microns), one a 35 mesh (500 microns) and the third a 60 mesh (250 microns) screen. Cumulative sieve analysis of char grain size are shown in Figures 8-9 (Appendix III) .

## Blending of Char and Binder

Asphalt, lignite tar and solvent refined lignite were used as binders. Compositions of 10, 15 and 20 per cent by weight of binder were used in different test series.

The char and binder were weighed out according to ratio desired and placed into a container. The mixture was heated in an oven at temperature between  $120^{\circ}$ C and  $160^{\circ}$ C, and then mixed with a spatula until uniform blending was achieved.

#### Briquetting

The cylindrical molds of 1 inch diameter by 3 inches high were preheated to the temperature used for blending. The blended mixture of char and binder was then transferred to the preheated molds so as to maintain the binder in the fluid condition.

The briquets were pressed in a hydraulic press for 1 minute at a selected pressure from 2,000 psi to 6,000 psi depending on test-

conditions» Four briquets were made from each blend at each test condition. The briquets were removed from the molds after they had cooled to room temperature overnight. The formed briquets were approximately 1 inch in diameter by 2 inches long.

#### Coking

The cooled briquets were placed in a loosely-covered steel box which restricted air circulation but allowed evolving gas to escape during heating. The briquets were coked in the box in a standard muffle furnace at heating rates of 5°C/min and of 10°C/min up to a maximum of 600°C or 900°C depending on test conditions. The temperature was maintained at the maximum for 10 to 15 minutes. The coked briquets were taken from the furnace and cooled in the box to room temperature overnight before compressive strength was measured.

#### Compressive Strength Testing

The compressive strength of formcoke briquets was determined by using a Soiltest AP-170 Stability Compression Machine located in the Civil Engineering Laboratory, University of North Dakota. A sample calculation is shown in Appendix II.

#### Analytical Procedures

These procedures were conducted in accordance with ASTM Standard Part 19 (11), using the facilities and equipment at the U.S. Bureau of Mines.

Proximate analyses of chars and selected formcoke samples are shown in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.



## PROXIMATE ANALYSES OF CHARS



<sup>a</sup>Moisture absorbed from the air <sup>D</sup>Moisture and ash free basis



TABLE 3

## PROXIMATE ANALYSES OF SELECTED FORMCOKE

<sup>a</sup>Moisture absorbed from the air <sup>b</sup>Moisture and ash free basis

#### CHAPTER IV

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

About 120 tests were carried out to determine the optimum conditions for the production of formcoke from lignite. Basis for evaluation was compressive strength of briquets produced. Four briquets were made in each test. Variables studied were lignite variety, initial carbonization temperature, char grain size, binder percentage, binder type, briquetting pressure, coking temperature and heating rate to coking temperature. These effects are interrelated, but an attempt was made to establish best conditions for a few variables at a time.

## Lignite(A) and Lignite(B)

The average compressive strength of formcoke briquets made from lignite(A) and lignite(B) at carbonization temperature of  $600^{\circ}$ C and 900°C are listed in Table 4.

The compressive strengths of formcoke produced from lignite(A) and lignite(B) responded similarly to variables but lignite(B) produced slightly less compressive strength than lignite $(A)$ . The 900<sup>o</sup>C lignite(A) char produced the strongest briquets with a compressive strength of 1054 psi, while the 900°C lignite(B) char produced a briquet with compressive strength of 988 psi.

## TABLE 4



AVERAGE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FORMCOKE PRODUCED FROM THAILAND LIGNITE (A) AND LIGNITE  $(B)$ <sup>a</sup>

aBriquetting Conditions: binder used: asphalt binder content: 15 per cent by weight briquetting pressure: 3000 psi heating rate during coking: 10°C/min. final coking temperature: 900°C

Formcoke produced from blends of 900°C lignite(A) char, regardless of grain size, was higher in compressive strength (1054 psi compared to 779 psi), than formcoke produced from blends of 600°C char of the same lignite. Again the formcoke strength made from lignite  $(B)$ decreased from 988 psi to 685 psi when the carbonization temperature was reduced from 900°C to 600°C.

Table 11 (Appendix IV) gives a statistical comparison of lignite(A) with lignite(B). Using the F-test for significance, the calculated F equalled 38.5. The 0.05 significance level value for F with 1 and 28 degrees of freedom from the F-Table (12) was equal to  $2.56$ . Thus, a significant difference exists between lignite (A) and lignite(B) .

Lignite(A) and lignite(B) from Thiland were, therefore, not considered as a single sample. Lignite(A) was used for investigating the effect of coking temperature, heating rate and carbonization temperature, while lignite(B) was used for the remaining variables. Since these two samples were obtained from the same mine and differed only slightly in heating value and proximate analysis, it appears that the handling and storage of the coal before processing to briquets may have a significant effect on formcoke properties.

## Effect of Heating Rate and. Coking Temperature

The highest average compressive strength obtained (1,054) psi was with briquets made from 900°C Thailand lignite(A) char and 15 per cent asphalt binder using a heating rate of 10°C/min to a coking temperature of  $900^{\circ}$ C (Figure 2). This compressive strength is almost twice that of similar briquets made at a heating rate of 5°C/min. The



Fig. 2 - Effect of heating rate and coking temperature.

 $\overline{61}$ 

formcoke average compressive strength decreased to 537 psi when the coking temperature was reduced to 600°C at the 10°C/min rate. Briquets produced at a heating rate of  $5^{\circ}C/\text{min}$  to a coking temperature of 600°C and otherwise identical conditions gave a compressive strength of 350 psi.

Formcoke produced from  $600^{\circ}$ C Thailand lignite(A) char had its highest compressive strength (779 psi) at a heating rate of 10°C/min to a coking temperature of 900°C but the strength decreased to 425 psi when the coking temperature was reduced to  $600^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Again the formcoke strength dropped when the heating rate was reduced to 5°C/min for coking temperatures of 600°C and 900°C.

The detailed results of these tests are presented in Table 5 (Appendix I).

Because the heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C/min and coking temperature of 900°C produced the strongest briquets, these conditions were used in all subsequent tests.

#### Effect of Binder Percentage

Having established a suitable heating rate and coking temperature, these conditions were used to determine a suitable percentage of asphalt binder.

At the heating rate of 10°C/min and coking temperature of  $900^{\circ}$ C, briquets were made containing 10, 15 and 20 per cent asphalt binder and formed at briquetting pressures of 2,000 psi to 6,000 psi with  $900^{\circ}$ C Thailand lignite(B) char.

Formcoke produced using 15 per cent binder showed higher compressive strengths than formcoke made with 10 per cent binder at all briquetting pressures and with 20 per cent binder at higher briquetting pressures as shown in Figure 3.

Formcoke made with 10 per cent asphalt binder had average compressive strengths from 92 psi to 275 psi; with 15 per cent binder, 510 psi to 773 psi; and with 20 per cent binder, 575 psi to 610 psi. • The test data are summaried in Table 6 (Appendix I).

The briquets made with 15 per cent binder showed increasing compressive strength with increasing briquetting pressure, and gave the highest strengths obtained. Because of this, 15 per cent binder was selected for subsequent experiments.

## Effect of Char Grain Size

The average compressive strength of formcoke produced from -18, -35 and -60 mesh samples of 600°C and 900°C lignite(B) chars with 15 per cent asphalt binder at briquetting pressures from 2,000 psi to 6,000 psi, are shown in Figure 4.

The -35 mesh fraction of 900°C char gave the strongest briquets having compressive strengths from 720 psi to 1,290 psi; the -18 mesh char resulted in briquets with compressive strengths from 510 psi to 773 psi; and the -60 mesh material gave strengths from 490 psi to 588 psi.

In contrast, formcoke from 600°C char of lignite(B) exhibited a uniform decrease in compressive strength with a decrease in grain size of char. Formcoke made from -18 mesh 600°C char exhibited 500 psi to 965 psi compressive strengths, while that from -35 mesh was 405 psi to 586 psi, and that from -60 mesh, 394 psi to 588 psi.





The response to variables of compressive strength for lignite(A) was similar to that for lignite(B) (see Table 4), although the actual values were higher for lignite(A) under the same conditions.

The char size of  $-35$  mesh for 900 $^{\circ}$ C char was thus considered best for producing formcoke under the conditions employed.

## Effect of Carbonization Temperature

Formcoke produced from 900°C lignite(B) char, regardless of grain size, gave highest average compressive strengths ranging from 720 psi to 1,290 psi. Formcoke from 600°C lignite(B) char had average compressive strengths ranging from 500 psi to 965 psi, as shown in Figure 4. Table 4 shows that briquets made from lignite(A) gave better results with 900°C char than with 600°C. Thus, for both lignites, the strengths of briquets made from 900°C char were higher than the ones made from 600°C char.

#### Effect of Briquetting Pressure

The compressive strengths of formcoke made from lignite(B) usually increased with increasing briquetting pressure as shown in Figure 4. An exception was for mixtures containing 20 per cent asphalt binder in which the average compressive strength decreased from 674 psi to 610 psi when the briquetting pressure was raised from 4,000 psi to 6,000 psi (Figure 3) .. The strongest briquets having a compressive strength of 1,290 psi were obtained using a 6,000 psi briquetting pressure. In production a minimal briquetting pressure is advantageous when consistent with adequate compressive strength.

Since 1 1/4" X 1" X 3/4" briquets having a crushing strength of 450 pounds were found adequate for blast furnace use by other researchers (6), it was considered that formcoke briquets having 800 psi crushing strength as produced in this study exceed this value sufficiently to be adequate for commercial production.

The briquetting pressure as determined for cylindrical briquets is not directly comparable to briquetting pressure obtained in a roll press, but in the present work, a 3,000 psi briquetting pressure was considered sufficiently high for producing satisfactory formcoke in bench scale tests as the briquets had crushing strengths of over 800 psi.

## Effect of Binder Type

Asphalt, lignite tar and solvent refined lignite were tested for effectiveness as binders. Figure 5 shows the average compressive strength of formcoke produced from blends asphalt and from blends of lignite tar using Thailand lignite(B) chars.

Formcoke produced from char blends with asphalt resulted in higher compressive strengths, 1,254 psi compared to 924 psi, than did formcoke from blends of char and lignite tar at all test conditions.

The mixture of 900 $^{\circ}$ C lignite(B) char and 15 per cent asphalt produced formcoke exhibiting greater compressive strength (1,254 psi compared to 551 psi), than that from  $600^{\circ}$ C lignite(B) char.

Similarly formcoke made from lignite tar and 900°C lignite(B) char had a higher compressive strength (924 psi) , than obtained with 600°C char and lignite tar.





Solvent refined lignite binder did not produce an acceptable briquet at concentration to 20 per cent when blended at 160°C. This was anticipated since this temperature is less than the softening point of the solvent refined lignite.

Asphalt was the best binder for production of bench scale formcoke. Because of this, asphalt was used as a binder for subsequent test work.

## North Dakota Lignite(C)

Formcoke was made from a North Dakota lignite(C) in a limited test series to indicate suitability to the process, and for comparison with the Thailand lignite.

Figure 6 shows the effect of heating rate on the compressive strength of formcoke from lignite(C). A heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C/min to a coking temperature of 900°C and a carbonization temperature of 600°C produced the strongest briquets, 831 psi. Using a 5°C/min heating rate under similar conditions, produced briquets of lower strength, regardless of char size.

Similarly, the strength of briquets produced from 900°C char decreased (from 491 psi to 442 psi) when the heating rate was reduced from  $10^{\circ}$ C/min to  $5^{\circ}$ C/min at the same coking temperature.

It was previously shown (page 20) that the strongest formcoke produced from lignite(A) was also at a  $10^{\circ}C/\text{min}$  heating rate to  $900^{\circ}C$ .

Average compressive strength of formcoke from lignite(C) as a function of carbonization temperature and briquetting pressure varied widely, as shown in Figure 7. The strongest briquets having compressive strengths from 831 psi to 1,255 psi were formed at









briquetting pressure of 3,000 psi to 5,000 psi using 600°C char from lignite(C). With 900°C char, briquets have compressive strengths 491 psi to 962 psi using the same range of briquetting pressure.

Formcoke produced from 600°C lignite(C) char using 15 per cent asphalt binder, 3,000 psi briquetting pressure, heating rate of coking temperature 10°C/min to 900°C was considered as an acceptable briquets for metallurgical use. This differs from the results with lignite(A) and lignite(B) in which the 900°C char gave superior crushing strengths.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions were drawn from the results of this study:

- 1. Formcoke of strength adequate for blast furnace use can be made from Thailand lignite and from North Dakota lignite.
- 2. The optimum conditions for production of formcoke from Thailand lignite were 900°C carbonization temperature, particle size -35 mesh, binder 15 per cent asphalt, briquetting pressure 3,000 psi, and heating rate  $10^{\circ}$ C/min to a coking temperature of 900 $^{\circ}$ C.
- 3. Optimum conditions for formcoke from North Dakota lignite were the same as in conclusion (2) except that a 600°C carbonization temperature was better.
- 4. The highest compressive strength of formcoke briquets was obtained using 900°C Thailand lignite char, -35 mesh particle size, 15 per cent asphalt binder, a briquetting pressure of 6,000 psi and a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C/min to a coking temperature of 900 $^{\circ}$ C.
- 5. Acceptable briquets were made from 600°C Thailand lignite char under similar conditions but a minimum briquetting pressure of 5,000 psi was required.
- 6. Acceptable formcoke briquets were made from 900°C Thailand lignite char blended with 15 per cent lignite tar, at -35 mesh particle

size, briquetting pressure of 4,000 psi and beating rate of 10°C/min to a coking temperature of 900°C.

APPENDIX I

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FORMCOKE



## EFFECT OF HEATING RATE AND COKING TEMPERATURE  $\rm ^{a}$

TABLE 5

Carbonization Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ 900	Heating Rate $(^{\circ}C/min)$ 5	Coking Temperature $(^{\circ}C)$ 900	Char Grain Size (mesh) 18		Compressive Strength (psi)			Average Compressive Strength (psi)
				701	643	662	701	677
				675	713	675	713	695
			35	713	647	662	662	671
				643	611	599	624	619
			60	375	306	363	376	351
				350	331	350	338	342
	10	600	18	554	548	459	586	537
			35	484	503	561	522	518
			60	310	336	268	342	314
		900	18	834	764	758	847	801
				854	790	854	752	813
			35	1,011	1,026	1,051	1,064	1,038
				1,045	1,057	1,083	1,096	1,070
			60	592	650	641	628	628
				624	612	586	599	605

TABLE 5--Continued

aBriquetting Conditions: lignite used: lignite(A) binder used: asphalt binder content: 15 per cent by weight briquetting pressure: 3,000 psi

## TABLE 6



## EFFECT OF BINDER PERCENTAGE<sup>a</sup>

aBriquetting Conditions: lignite used: lignite(B) carbonization temperature: 900°C char grain size: -35 mesh binder used: asphalt heating rate during cooking: 10°C/min coking temperature: 900°C

## TABLE 7



EFFECT OF CARBONIZATION TEMPERATURE, CHAR GRAIN SIZE AND BRIQUETTING PRESSURE<sup>a</sup>

Carbonization Temperature $({}^{0}C)$ 900	Char Grain Size (mesh)	Briquetting Pressure (psi)	Compressive Strength (psi)				Average Compressive Strength (psi)
	35	2,000	713	726	739	701	720
		3,000	987	981	1,006	1,016	997
			955	994	1,006	1,000	989
			866	1,096	1,083	854	975
			981	943	1,026	981	982
			1,016	994	981	994	996
		4,000	1,153	1,108	1,096	1,143	1,125
		5,000	1,274	1,256	1,248	1,236	1,254
			1,248	1,299	1,217	1,253	1,254
		6,000	1,299	1,312	1,274	1,274	1,290
	60	2,000	497	471	484	510	491
		3,000	631	569	599	599	600
			599	580	580	593	588
			612	586	605	605	602
		4,000	618	612	624	606	615
		5,000	586	599	593	586	591
		6,000	593	586	586	586	588
	<sup>a</sup> Briquetting Conditions: lignite used: lignite(B) binder used: asphalt binder content: 15 per cent by weight heating rate during coking: coking temperature:	$10^{\circ}$ C/min $900^{\circ}$ C					

TABLE 7--Continued





COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FORMCOKE PRODUCED FROM BLENDS OF LIGNITE TAR AND LIGNITE(B) CHARa

aBriquetting Conditions:

char grain size: -35 mesh binder content: 15 per cent by weight heating rate during coking: 10°C/min coking temperature: 900°C



## COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF FORMCOKE PRODUCED FROM LIGNITE (C)<sup>a</sup>

TABLE 9

aBriquetting Conditions:

binder used: asphalt

binder content: 15 per cent by weight

coking temperature: 900°C

 $0+$ 

APPENDIX II

SAMPLE CALCULATION

## SAMPLE CALCULATION

The compressive strength test was used. Dial readings were converted to actual load in pounds using the tabulated calibration data shown below:

Stability Compressive Machine: Soiltest Model AP-170



## Example



APPENDIX III

CUMULATIVE SIEVE ANALYSIS OF CHAR









APPENDIX IV

STATISTICAL INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

## STATISTICAL INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS

Two to 5 determination of average compressive strength were determined for 21 replicated conditions. Differences in average compressive strength within replicated tests is caused by random variation of test conditions. Using the random (error) variation, a test for significant for differences between average of result from two lignites can be obtained by the "F" test (12).

Table 10 shows the calculation of the error sum of squares for compressive strength, where

- $x_i$  = average compressive strength of formcoke for individual test
- $\bar{x}$  = mean of average compressive strength of replicated runs 2 = variance or the arithmetic mean of the deviations squared for replicated tests or  $\sum (x,-\overline{x})^2$ (n-1)

= number of value used to calculate error  $\mathbf n$  $=$   $(n-1)S_{X}^{2}$  $S_p^2$  = pooled sample variance of average compressive strength (error variance)

$$
= \frac{ss_{x1} + \cdots + ss_{xk}}{(n_1-1) + \cdots + (n_k-1)}
$$

The pooled error variance is calculated from the total sum o fsquares and degrees of freedom as:

$$
S2 = 9,210.7 = 329
$$
  
p = 49-21



CALCULATION OF THE ERROR SUM OF SQUARES FOR AVERAGE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH

TABLE 10

49

Calculation of sum of squares for between variations for lignite(A) and lignite(B) is shown in Table 11, where  $\overline{x}_A$ ,  $\overline{x}_B$  are the mean compressive strengths of formcoke made from lignite(A) and lignite(B), respectively, under replicated conditions.

The sum of square for this case is:



At 5 per cent significance level ( *d* = 0.05), the critical F value with 5 and 28 degrees of freedom is:  $F_{0.05}(5,28) = 2.56$ .

Since the calculated F value of 38.5 exceed the critical value of F, there is a significant difference between the observed value for lignite(A) and lignite(B) for the experimental conditions employed.





CALCULATION OF SUM OF SQUARES FOR BETWEEN VARIATIONS FOR LIGNITE(A) AND LIGNITE(B)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. Joseph, R. T. "The FMC Coke Process-Ten Years Later," Institute for Briquetting and Agglomeration Proceedings, Vol. 13, 1973, pp. 131-143.
- 2. Foch, P. "Process for Manufacturing Formed Coke," 30th Iron Making Conference Proceedings, Vol. 30, Manchester, N.H.: Cummings Co., Inc., 1971, pp. 238-254.
- 3. Ting, F. T. C., and Ramsey, B. L. "Physical and Microscopic Characteristics of Formcoke Produced Experimentally from North Dakota Lignite and Wyoming Subbituminous Coal," Special Research Report Number SR-1, Department of Geology, University of North Dakota, 1974, pp. 2-43.
- 4. Lowry, H. H., ed. "Chemistry of Coal Utilization," Supplementary Volume, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1963, pp. 461-538, 675-753.
- 5. Moron, R. F., and Berger, F. "Formed Coke Quality for Blast Furnace Use," New York: FMC Corporation, 1973, pp. 1-23.
- 6. Sally, E., and Joseph, R. T. "FMC Progress in Form Coke," 30th Iron Making Conference Proceedings, Vol. 30, 1971, pp. 232-236.
- 7. Schmalfeld, P., and Rammler, R. "New Result of the Development and Present Stage of the BFL Hot Briquetting Process," Institute for Briquetting and Agglomeration Proceedings, Vol. 13, 1973, pp. 167-183.
- 8. Yoshida, Yuji. "Status of Hot Briquetting and Formcoke Technology," Institute for Briquetting and Agglomeration Proceedings, Vol. 12, 1971, pp. 193-204.
- 9. Goosen, W., and Hermann, W. "The Production of Blast Furnace Fuel by the Hot Briquetting Process of Eschweiller Bergwerks-Verein," Institute for the Briquetting and Agglomeration Proceedings, Vol. 13, 1973, pp. 145-155.
- 10. Boley, C. C.; Fegley, M. M.; and Porter, R. B. "Use of Char to Improve Physical Quality of Coke from Sunnyside (Utah) Coal," Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 7817, Grand Forks, N.D., 1973.
- 11. American Society for Testing and Materials. "Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 19," Philadelphia, Pa.: American Society for Testing and Materials, 1973.
- 12. Lowell Wine, R. "Statistics for Scientists and Engineerings," Eaglewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1964, pp. 139-300.