



1938

Corporation Trust Company Explains

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

(1938) "Corporation Trust Company Explains," *North Dakota Law Review*. Vol. 15: No. 5, Article 2.
Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol15/iss5/2>

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

CORPORATION TRUST COMPANY EXPLAINS

In a very comprehensive pamphlet recently issued by the Corporation Trust Company, an answer is made to the question of "Why must I have a lawyer"?

The pamphlet explains why it is to the best interest of business organizations that they have an attorney, stating among other things that "safe and efficient statutory representation therefore, is that which provides a business organization for the business details, working hand in hand with the company's own lawyer for their proper application" and that no one but a lawyer is fit to make such applications.

UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE NEWS BRIEFS

LAWYER DISBARRED FOR AIDING UNAUTHORIZED PRACTICE

Another case condemning the lawyer who aids unlawful practice of the law, is the recent case of "In the matter of Paul E. Tuthill, an attorney", before the Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First department, April, 1939, New York.

Tuthill was found to have aided in unlawful practices of a corporation known as Transatlantic Estates & Credit Company, Inc., upon an investigation being made of the activities of the corporation, in New York. In 1930, the corporation was dissolved in New York, and reorganized in New Jersey, the respondent aiding in all of its work when the corporation continued its unlawful activities in New York State, Tuthill continuing to reside in New York City.

The Court found that the sole business of the corporation was searching out and procuring claims, furnishing counsel and legal advice and that such activities constituted the unlawful practice of the law. The Respondent was disbarred.

BANK ORDERED TO CEASE TAX SERVICE

A consent decree was recently entered in the Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, courts wherein the Union Trust Company of Pittsburgh was ordered to cease and discontinue the practice of procuring an attorney and furnishing the services of an attorney at its banking house.

CLAIM OF REAL ESTATE CORPORATION DENIED

On January 9, 1939, in the case of Collacott Realty Inc., versus John Homuth, Municipal Court of Cleveland, Judge Lillian Westropp denied the claim of the realty company for services alleged to have been performed, on the grounds that a portion of the services furnished by the realty company were the practices of the law, and constituted the unauthorized practice of the law by a corporation.