



1944

President's Page

Roy A. Ployhar

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Ployhar, Roy A. (1944) "President's Page," *North Dakota Law Review*. Vol. 21 : No. 6 , Article 1.
Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol21/iss6/1>

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

BAR BRIEFS

PUBLISHED MONTHLY AT DICKINSON

—BY—

STATE BAR ASSOCIATION OF NORTH DAKOTA

M. L. McBride, Editor

Entered as Second Class Matter, Dec. 9, 1936, at the Postoffice
at Dickinson, North Dakota, Under the Act of August 24, 1912.

VOL. 21.

MAY, 1945.

NO. 6.

PRESIDENT'S PAGE

My Dear Fellow Lawyers:

There seems to be some difference of opinion among the lawyers of the State as to whether or not we should attempt to hold an annual meeting of the Association some time this fall. Realizing that this was a matter that would ultimately have to be decided by the Office of Defense Transportation, our Secretary contacted approximately twenty-one different State Bar Associations and received various responses to this question of whether or not they intend to hold annual meetings this year.

The majority seemed to be against holding an annual meeting and Wisconsin's application had been refused. Several of the Associations are putting off a decision until later in the year. Some of the Secretaries expressed their opinion that it would be unbecoming on the part of the lawyers of the Country to try and hold meetings contrary to the rulings of the Office of Defense Transportation. According to the latest release received by me, our State meeting would be prohibited under the rulings of the ODT. Your Secretary and I are agreed that it would be useless to make an application at this time because we are satisfied that the application would be refused.

I fully realize that it would be highly beneficial to have a meeting of the Association and that we have some justification for holding a meeting. However, in

(Continued on next page)

(Continued from front page)

view of the experience of other like Associations, it appears to me that it would be a better policy to wait until a later date before making a definite decision.

A few days ago I had the privilege of meeting with a representative group of the Cass County Bar Association and at that time submitted the matter to them for a determination of their view point on the subject. After some discussion, they went on record against having a State meeting this year unless there would be a drastic change between now and fall. In addition, they recognize the fact that it would be very difficult to prepare for sectional meetings unless we definitely knew we could have a meeting sometime in advance.

I intend to call a meeting of the Executive Committee at a later date and according to the resolution passed and approved at the Minot meeting, it is my understanding that the Executive Committee has full authority to dispense with the annual meeting if they see fit to do so.

Sincerely,

ROY A. PLOYHAR
Acting President.

HEAR YE—HEAR YE—HEAR YE

The State Bar Board desires for inclusion in the printed list for 1945 of attorneys the names of all attorneys in military service. Such a list has been run during the past two years, but apparently some have been missed, and of course the relatives have felt slighted. The board doesn't want to omit a single person who is entitled to be listed, but it hasn't any way of obtaining this information unless some one who knows sends it in to J. H. Newton, Secretary and Treasurer of the State Bar Board at Bismarck, so check the last list and if you know of any one in the service not listed advise Mr. Newton.

AN INTERESTING DECISION

Comment by Attorney J. K. Murray

Our Supreme Court holds that a certified abstract of title of real property is outlawed six years after date of certificate to abstract.

See Commercial Bank vs. Adams County Abstract
Company, 18 N. W. 2nd page 15.

This decision has a far reaching affect on the liability of abstractors and upon the protection of persons who have invested in real property relying upon the title thereof as shown by the abstract. Under this decision, in plain English, no person or corporation has any recourse against an abstract company after the