UND

University of North Dakota UND Scholarly Commons

Theses and Dissertations

Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects

8-1-2018

A phonology of Hill (Kone-Tu) Asho

Daniel Tignor

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.und.edu/theses

Part of the Linguistics Commons

Recommended Citation

Tignor, Daniel, "A phonology of Hill (Kone-Tu) Asho" (2018). *Theses and Dissertations*. 2364. https://commons.und.edu/theses/2364

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses, Dissertations, and Senior Projects at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

A PHONOLOGY OF HILL (KONE-TU) ASHO

by

Daniel Tignor Bachelor of Science, Harding University, 2005

> A Thesis Submitted to the Graduate Faculty

> > of the

University of North Dakota

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Arts

Grand Forks, North Dakota August 2018

This thesis, submitted by Daniel Tignor in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts from the University of North Dakota, has been read by the Faculty Advisory Committee under whom the work has been done and is hereby approved.

Dr. Larin Adams, Chair

Denylas M. Fran Dr. Douglas M. Fraiser

Dr. Adam Baker

This thesis meets the standards for appearance, conforms to the style and format requirements of the Graduate School of the University of North Dakota, and is hereby approved.

Grant McGimpsey Dean of the Graduate School

24, 2018

Date

PERMISSION

Title	A Phonology of Hill (Kone-Tu) Asho
Department	Linguistics
Degree	Master of Arts

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a graduate degree from the University of North Dakota, I agree that the library of this University shall make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for extensive copying for scholarly purposes may be granted by the professor who supervised my thesis work or, in his absence, by the chairperson of the department or the dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or other use of this thesis or part thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the University of North Dakota in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Signature

Daniel Tignor

Date

July 26, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST C	OF FIGU	URES	VII
LIST C	OF TAB	LES	VIII
ACKN	OWLEI	DGMENTS	IX
ABBRI	EVIATI	ONS	Х
ABSTF	RACT		XI
CHAP'	TER		
1	INT	RODUCTION	12
	1.1	Language Classification	13
		1.1.1 Kuki-Chin classification	14
		1.1.2 Southern Chin classification	15
		1.1.3 Asho classification	16
	1.2	Previous Research	21
	1.3	Contact with Burmese	21
	1.4	Methodology of the Present Study	22
	1.5	Outline of the Present Study	23
	1.6	Summary of Hill Asho Phonology	24
2	COI	NSONANTS	26
	2.1	Plosives and Implosives	26
		2.1.1 Palatalization	28
	2.2	Nasals	30
		2.2.1 Bilabial nasals	30
		2.2.2 Alveolar nasals	30
		2.2.3 Palatal and velar nasals	30
	2.3	Voiceless Fricatives	31
	2.4	Voiced Glottal Fricative /fi/	32

	2.5	Laterals	33
	2.6	Approximants	34
	2.7	Juncture Voicing	34
	2.8	Dialect Differences	35
	2.9	Comparison to Earlier Descriptions	36
3	VOV	WELS	37
	3.1	Front Vowels	37
	3.2	Central and Back Vowels	39
	3.3	Dialect Differences	40
		3.3.1 Dialect differences from the Hill /u/, /u/, and /y/	41
		3.3.2 Dialect differences from the Hill /i/, /I/, and /ei/	42
		3.3.3 Dialect differences involving /ai/	43
		3.3.4 Summary of dialect differences	45
	3.4	Comparison to Earlier Descriptions	46
4	TOT	NE	47
	4.1	Alternative Proposals	48
		4.1.1 Houghton's three tones	48
		4.1.2 Joorman's five tones	49
		4.1.3 Gvozdanović's four tones	49
	4.2	Asho and Kuki-Chin Tone Patterns	49
	4.3	Implications for the Kuki-Chin Classification	56
	4.4	Tone and Glottalization	57
5	SYL	LABLES	59
	5.1	Major and Minor Syllables	59
	5.2	Major Syllables	61
		5.2.1 Onset (C_i and C_m)	62
		5.2.2 Nucleus (V)	64

		5.2.3 Coda (C_f)	64
	5.3	Minor Syllables	67
		5.3.1 Iambic weakening	69
	5.4	Syllabic Nasal	70
	5.5	Assimilation of /?/ and /n/	72
	5.6	Summary	73
6	TYF	POLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS	74
	6.1	Consonants	74
		6.1.1 Development of voiced plosives	74
		6.1.2 Velar palatalization	75
	6.2	Vowels	75
		6.2.1 Lack of vowel length	75
		6.2.2 Vowel restrictions in open and closed syllables	76
		6.2.3 Movement toward Burmese vowel pronunciation	77
	6.3	Syllable Structure	77
	6.4	Summary	78
7	COI	NCLUSION	79
APPENI	DIX:	ASHO WORD LIST	80
REFERE	ENCES	S	95

LIST OF FIGURES

gure Page
gure 1. Myanmar townships with an Asho population13
gure 2. VanBik's schema for Proto-Kuki-Chin15
gure 3. VanBik's classification of Southern Chin languages, based on So-Hartmann
988)
gure 4. Stern's map of Asho dialects in relation to other Chin groups (Stern 1962:3) 18
gure 5. Asho vowel phonemes in the Hill dialect
gure 6. Vowel phonemes of the Hill dialect
gure 7. Vowel phonemes of the Plains dialect 40
gure 8. /?ás ^h é/ 'star' (above) and /?ĕs ^h ì/ 'sesame' (below)68
gure 9. /nkó/ 'twenty' (above) and /nə̆kó/ 'rainbow' (below)

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 1. Asho dialect names across sources	19
Table 2. Asho consonant phonemes in the Hill dialect	24
Table 3. Asho consonant phonemes in the Hill dialect	26
Table 4. Front vowel examples by syllable type	38
Table 5. Central and back vowel examples by syllable type	39
Table 6. Vowel correspondences between Plains and Hill dialects	45
Table 7. Tone patterns in Kuki-Chin languages (from VanBik 2009)	51
Table 8. Asho cognates of VanBik's *1 tone pattern	52
Table 9. Asho cognates of VanBik's *2 tone pattern	53
Table 10. Asho cognates of VanBik's *3 tone pattern	54
Table 11. Asho cognates of VanBik's *4 tone pattern	55
Table 12. Tone patterns in Kuki-Chin, including Asho	56
Table 13. Possible combinations of initial and medial consonants	62
Table 14. Burmese vowels by syllable type	76

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without Salai Nay Lin Kyaw and Salai Ye Naing, two Asho speakers who were willing to share their language with me. I could not have asked for more patient or helpful people to work with. Even after our initial data collection, they have continued to answer my questions and help me in my analysis. I am also deeply appreciate of other Asho speakers—especially Salai Kyaw Khaing Oo who have provided assistance for this thesis.

I have been privileged to work with two committee chairs over the course of writing this thesis. Steve Marlett helped me work through the initial stages of collecting data and writing the roughest of my rough drafts. Larin Adams helped take those rough drafts and refine them into this thesis. I am indebted to both of them for their insight and corrections along the way. I am also grateful for the input from the two other members of my committee, Doug Frasier and Adam Baker. From the very beginning, they had been my first choices for committee members, and I am appreciative that they were willing to work with me on this. I am also thankful for Doug Laskowske for his comments and suggestions. Any errors that remain are my own responsibility.

I am most thankful for my wife, Julie, who has been a continual support in this project. She has made great sacrifices to give me the time to work on this thesis, and I am very grateful for all of the ways that she is an encouragement to me.

ABBREVIATIONS

1	first person
2	second person
(intr)	intransitive
(n)	noun
NEG	negation
PL	plural
SG	singular
(tr)	transitive
(v)	verb

ABSTRACT

Asho (ISO 639-3: csh) is a Tibeto-Burman language in the Southern Chin branch of Kuki-Chin. It is spoken by about 170,000 people, primarily in western and west-central Myanmar (Simons & Fennig 2018). Although Asho received some early attention in the studies of Tibeto-Burman languages (Houghton 1892; Joorman 1906), it has remained mostly unstudied for the past century. Current data confirm the traditional distinction of two basic dialects of Asho (Hill and Plains), and this paper focuses on the Hill or "Kone-tu" dialect. The Hill dialect has 26 consonants (compared to 29 in the Plains dialect), and both dialects have 14 vowels (11 simple vowels and 3 diphthongs). There is a basic syllable structure of CCVC and two level tones (high and low). A two-tone system is unusual among Kuki-Chin languages, but this research shows how Asho's tone supports and clarifies the subgrouping of Southern Chin languages.

Asho is notable for the numerous commonalities that it shares with Burmese vis-àvis more closely related Kuki-Chin languages. Most notably, both Asho and Burmese have palatalized velar plosives in front vowel environments and lost place distinctions on syllable-final consonants. The more conservative Hill dialect retains more Kuki-Chin characteristics than the Plains dialect, which has had significant contact with Burmese and shows stronger similarities with Burmese.

xi

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

In the preface to his 1892 *Essay on the Language of the Southern Chins and Its Affinities*, Bernard Houghton writes, "I play the part here of a simple pioneer going forward and blazing a path; it is for those who come after me to expand the track into a fair and well-engineered roadway for the good of all" (Houghton 1892:2). Perhaps he would be surprised to know that now—over 100 years later—his pioneering trail has sat basically untouched and unused by later explorers. While other sections of the Kuki-Chin language family have been well-documented and well-analyzed, his "Southern Chin" language—now referred to as "Asho"—has been almost completely passed over. The goal of this thesis is to address the century-long gap in Asho research and to reexamine the insights that Asho phonology can provide to the study of Kuki-Chin and Tibeto-Burman languages.

Asho (ISO 639-3: csh; pronounced [?ə̃ʃś]¹) is a Tibeto-Burman language in the Southern Chin branch of Kuki-Chin. Earlier editions of the *Ethnologue* had estimated an Asho population of just 30,000 people, but the twenty-first edition now suggests there may be closer to 170,000 (Simons & Fennig 2018). The Asho Chin people live in western and central Myanmar, primarily in Rakhine State and Magway Region, but they are also found in Chin State, Bago Region, and Ayeyarwady Region. Figure 1 shows the

¹ Phonetic and phonemic transcriptions in this paper all follow IPA conventions. High tone is marked with an acute accent and low tone with a grave accent. The brief schwa vowel of an unstressed syllable is written with a breve (\check{a}).

townships in these states and regions where Asho villages can be found (Nathan Statezni, pers. comm.).



Figure 1. Myanmar townships with an Asho population

1.1 Language Classification

Asho Chin is a Tibeto-Burman language in the Southern Chin group of Kuki-Chin languages. The Asho were one of the first Chin groups encountered by Europeans, and in early English descriptions they were simply referred to as "Chin" (Joorman 1906) or—only slightly more precisely—as "Southern Chin" (Houghton 1892). Although the term "Chin" is now applied to a much larger group of related languages (including Asho), VanBik (2009:4) has suggested that the term comes specifically from the Asho language. The Asho word for 'person' /k^hlaún/ was previously pronounced with a final velar nasal (/k^hlaúŋ/), which was approximated by the Burmese pronunciation /k^hjaúŋ/. Later Burmese phonological shifts changed /k^hj/ to /tʃ^h/ and pronounced codas with /ŋ/ as [ĩ], resulting in "Chin."²

1.1.1 Kuki-Chin classification

Kuki-Chin languages are spoken primarily in Chin State in Myanmar and in Mizoram State in India, although some languages—like Asho—are found outside of those areas. References to the Kuki-Chin group have sometimes included Naga or Southern Naga languages (e.g. Bradley 1997:26–31). However, VanBik distinguishes the Kuki-Chin group from Naga based on Kuki-Chin's distinctive verb-stem alternation and a phonological shift of Proto-Tibeto-Burmese **s* to Proto-Kuki-Chin **t*^{*h*} (2009:9–18).³

Peterson (2000:79–81) provides a good summary of the past and present subgroupings of Kuki-Chin based on phonological and grammatical innovations: Traditionally, Kuki-Chin has been divided into four subgroups—Old Kuki, Northern Chin, Central Chin, and Southern Chin—with Asho falling within the Southern Chin

² VanBik is dismissive of Luce's explanation of "Chin" coming from an archaic Burmese word for "ally" or "comrade" – a root still found in the last syllable of Modern Burmese's $/\theta$ and 3i/ 'friend'.

³ Even so, he notes that the Northen Chin language Tedim maintains **s* in some environments and that the Khumi languages within Southern Chin do not display verb-stem alternation.

Verb-stem alternation is a common feature of Kuki-Chin languages. Many verbs in these languages have two stems, and each stem is used for certain grammatical or semantic purposes. One stem (often labeled "stem I") is typically used for main verbs, while a secondary form ("stem II") is used for subordinate verbs or for focus. See Yip (2004:970–971) and Kee Shein Mang (2006). Although I am not focusing on verb-stem alternation in Asho, there seems to be a fairly small minority of Asho verbs that have retained differing forms for the stems.

group. This grouping can be traced back at least to the early 1900s and was widely assumed throughout the twentieth century. Peterson slightly alters this arrangement by pointing out several shared features between the Northern Chin and Southern Chin branches—joining them into a Periphery branch.

VanBik (2009:23) fleshes out Peterson's revised groupings with regard to a Proto-Kuki-Chin, as seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. VanBik's schema for Proto-Kuki-Chin

Northern and Southern Chin are joined into a Peripheral group, and Mara and similar languages are separated from Central Chin into their own Maraic group.

1.1.2 Southern Chin classification

The Southern Chin languages can be further divided into a Khumi group and a Cho group, following an initial classification by So-Hartmann (1988). Geographically, the Khumi languages tend to be further west, and the Cho languages toward the east (So-Hartmann 1988:101–102). So-Hartmann makes the separation based on lexical similarity (1988:102), but VanBik points to the Khumi group's lack of verb-stem alternation as another reason for the distinction (2009:34).

Finally, VanBik (2009:37) separates Asho from the Cho languages based on Asho's use of /j/ where /g/ is found in the other Cho languages. The resulting tree, based on So-Hartmann (1988:103) and modified by VanBik (2009:38), is shown in Figure 3:



Proto-Southern-Plains-Chin

Figure 3. VanBik's classification of Southern Chin languages, based on So-Hartmann (1988)

1.1.3 Asho classification

There are a variety of terms connected with Asho, either as alternate terms for the language or to designate dialects within Asho. Early research referred to the language as simply "Chin" (Joorman 1906), "Southern Chin" (Houghton 1892), or "Plains Chin" (Stern 1962). The *Ethnologue* lists ten alternate names: Ashu, Hyow, Khamaw, Khamoe, Khyang, Kyang, Qin, Saingbaung, Sho, and Shoa (Simons & Fennig 2018). VanBik passes on the names of six mutually-intelligible Asho "dialects" provided by an Asho source: Settu, Laitu, Awttu, Kowntu, Kaitu, and Lauku (VanBik 2009:37–38). The relationship between these various terms is still unclear, and the *Ethnologue* suggests that terms like Hyow probably refer to distinct languages. Recent versions of the *Ethnologue* have added separate language entries for Uppu, Laitu, Sumtu, Songlai, and Mro-Khimi—languages that had earlier been considered dialects of Asho.

Despite the variety of labels, research in Asho has generally divided the language into two primary dialects: a northern "Hill Asho" and a southern "Plains Asho." This division was recognized by Houghton (1892:22, 35), who commented occasionally on lexical differences of the "more Northerly Chin." Condict (1952) also recognized two dialects in his preface to the *Ashö Southern Chin Primer*:

In Lower Burma there are two dialects of Southern Chin known to the Chins as that on the eastern and that on the western side of the Ireawaddy [sic]. It would be better to speak of them as northern and southern dialects of S. Chin for both can be found west of the river.

As seen in Figure 4, Stern (1962:3) maps the two dialects similarly, labeling an "Eastern" and a "Western" dialect, with the Irrawaddy River as the primary dividing line between the two.



Figure 4. Stern's map of Asho dialects in relation to other Chin groups (Stern 1962:3)

As Condict had noted earlier, the Irrawaddy River forms an imperfect boundary, with the Eastern (or "southern") dialect extending across the river to the area around Thayetmyo.

The Asho that I have met refer to these two groupings as Kone-tu ("hill people") and Ok-tu ("plains people"). Kone-tu refers to Condict's "northern" dialect and Stern's "western," and the Ok-tu are the "southern" or "eastern" dialect. ⁴ Table 1 summarizes the various dialect names used by different sources.

Condict (1952)	Northern	Southern		
Stern (1962)	Western	Eastern		
Endonym	Kone-tu ("hill people")	Ok-tu ("plains people")		
Ethnologue	Hill	Plains		

Table 1. Asho dialect names across sources

In this paper, I will avoid the directional labels and instead adopt the *Ethnologue's* labels based on the endonyms: "Hill" and "Plains."

Having heard speakers from these two dialects as well as from related "Asho" languages, I have found two basic phonological traits shared by the Hill and Plains dialects that distinguish them from nearby languages:

⁴ Although Stern's map shows a range of hills ("yomas") in the home area of both dialects, the "Pegu Yomas" are a considerably less prominent range than the "Arakan Yomas."

- Complete loss of place distinction in syllable final consonants. Some "Asho-group" languages have retained place distinctions in coda consonants, both with stops and with nasals. The dialects in this study have only /?/ and /n/ in syllable final position.
- A possible phoneme /l/ in the second position of complex onsets, rather than /l/ or /r/. Some varieties use an alveolar flap or approximant in this position, but the varieties under consideration here have a lateral.

Phonologically, the differences between the dialects are not too significant. Plains Asho has added several voiced obstruents (/b/, /d/, /g/, and /z/) in places where the Hill dialect has voiceless phonemes preceded by syllabic nasals. Also, the Hill dialect has dental plosives /th/ and /t/ where the Plain dialect has alveolar stops. Vowels are more divergent, and the differences fall along a geographic continuum rather than into two well-defined dialects. There are at least nine vowel phonemes that differ in pronunciation from one edge of the language area to the other extreme. Each of these differences will be discussed in the relevant chapters below.

There are also some lexical differences scattered across the dialects, such as the Hill dialect's form of the realis particle /t̪v?/ when Plains Asho uses /kv?/. I suspect that grammatical differences form the major distinction between the dialects. Although my research did not focus on collecting grammar data, I did notice that the southern Plains dialect speaker (from the eastern edge of the Asho area) did not use verb agreement prefixes while the speaker of the northern Hill dialect used them consistently. These differences, however, lie beyond the scope of this paper.

Past studies have tended to concentrate on the more prestigious and more easily accessible Plains dialect. This thesis, however, focuses on the more conservative Hill dialect. It also touches on the Plains dialect in places where the dialects diverge.

1.2 Previous Research

The primary published sources for Asho are Houghton (1892)—quoted at the beginning of this chapter—and Joorman (1906). While each of these provide some valuable insights into the language, neither paid much attention to issues like tone. Very little was written about Asho over the next hundred years until recent studies by Otsuka (2014; 2015a; 2015b), which provided an overview of Asho phonology and investigated person marking in Asho. Asho also gets a passing mention in a few places, such as Gvozdanović (1985), which examines numerals cross-linguistically and includes some Asho data. Luce (1985) contains a sampling of Asho word data with valuable insights for understanding lexical tone in Asho.

For this study, I have benefited from phonological descriptions of related languages. Watkins (2013) examines tone in the closely-related Sumtu language. Moving slightly further out into the Cho languages, So-Hartmann (2009)'s grammar of Daai Chin has a chapter on Daai phonology, and K'Cho phonology is mentioned in Kee Shein Mang (2006). Herr (2011) discusses the phonology of Lemi, and Hornéy (2012) looks at the phonology of Mro Khimi—languages in the Khumi group and thus lessrelated to Asho, but still within Southern Chin.

1.3 Contact with Burmese

Because of their proximity to the (Burmese-speaking) Bamar people, the Asho have had more contact with Burmese than many other Chin groups. Asho speakers have typically lived as a minority in a predominantly Burmese speaking environment, whereas the Kuki-Chin groups within modern Chin State were relatively more isolated. This linguistic contact has had a definite impact on the Asho language. However, since both Asho and Burmese are in the Tibeto-Burman language family, it can be difficult to tease out the direction of the shared features and vocabulary.

Asho consonantal phonology and syllable structure have undergone changes similar to changes in Burmese, presumably due to Asho's exposure to Burmese as a language of wider communication. These changes—discussed in the chapters below—include the loss of place distinctions on syllable-final consonants and the fronting of velar plosives to postalveolar affricates in certain environments (before /j/ in Burmese and before any front vowel in Asho).

1.4 Methodology of the Present Study

The present study is based on multiple elicitation sessions with two Asho Chin speakers in Yangon, Myanmar in 2015. These two speakers came from opposite ends of the Asho area: One consultant was from an Asho village in Ngape Township in Magway Region and spoke the Hill dialect of Asho. The other was from an Asho village in Daik-U Township in Bago Region and spoke the Plains dialect.

Both consultants were male and in their twenties. The mother and father of each consultant were ethnically Asho, and each consultant reported that his parents had primarily used Asho in the home. Each had been raised in the same village as his parents but had been living in Yangon for about the past four years. Both spoke Asho as their first language but were highly bilingual in Burmese (and considering both Asho and Burmese the language they spoke best). Burmese was the shared language used for elicitation.

One of my primary aims in this thesis is showing how Asho phonology has been influenced by its contact with Burmese. With two young Asho speakers who have been educated in Burmese and who are very comfortable with Burmese, there could be some question of just how wide the Burmese influence extends. Has Burmese really affected Asho phonology, or has it just affected these Burmese-educated and highly bilingual consultants? Fortunately, for the significant points of contact discussed in this paper, the data are confirmed by other sources. The loss of coda consonant place distinctions is

observed by Stern (1962:18), and velar palatalization goes back to Houghton (1892:6). As for modern usage, I have had access to recordings from present-day Asho villages that also show that these kinds of changes are widespread. However, for aspects of Burmese influence that may be limited to young, Burmese-educated Asho (e.g. free variation between [j] and [ʒ]), I have attempted to avoid claims that could be overstated.

The primary data collected came from a wordlist with more than 1600 items (Hopple 2008), which is based on SIL's African-Area wordlist (Snider & Roberts 2006) and adjusted for use in Southeast Asia. While this wordlist provided solid evidence for consonant, vowel, and tone phonology, there is less information about tone sandhi or morphophonemics, and as a consequence this thesis focuses less on these areas. In addition to the wordlist data, a brief text was also collected from each consultant as well as a few verbal paradigms.

Recordings and transcriptions from these two consultants were combined with a written copy of the same wordlist, transcribed in the Burmese-based Asho orthography by a member of the Asho Chin National Literature & Culture Central Committee. In the time since the initial data were collected, I have continued interacting with other Asho speakers from different areas to ask further questions and scrutinize analyses.

1.5 Outline of the Present Study

In this thesis, I will begin with a brief overview of Asho's consonants (Chapter 2) and vowels (Chapter 3). Chapter 4 deals with the tone system of Asho, and its implications for the classification of Kuki-Chin languages. Chapter 5 discusses syllable constraints, and Chapter 6 considers some of the ways that Asho—especially the Plains dialect—has been influenced by Burmese. I conclude in Chapter 7 with a brief summary of two of the most significant aspects of Asho phonology addressed in this thesis—the

insight that Asho tone provides for Kuki-Chin classification, and the importance of the influence of Burmese upon Asho.

1.6 Summary of Hill Asho Phonology

The remainder of this thesis will discuss the segmental and supra-segmental phonology of Asho, but a brief overview of my analysis can be given at this point. Hill Asho has a moderately large number of consonants (26) and a large inventory of vowels (11 simple vowels and 3 diphthongs). Consonant phonemes for the Hill dialect are shown in Table 2, and vowel phonemes are given in Figure 5.

	Bilab	oial	Alve dent	o- al	Pa	latal	V	elar	Glottal	
Plosive-Implosive	$p p^h$	б	ţ ţ ^h	ɗ			k	k ^h	, 1	}
Nasal	ŵ	m	ņ	n	ů	ŋ	ŋ	ŋ		
Fricative			s s ^h		ſ				h	ĥ
Approximant		w	ł	1		j				

Table 2. Asho consonant phonemes in the Hill dialect



Figure 5. Asho vowel phonemes in the Hill dialect

Asho (in both the Hill and Plains dialects) has only two tones—high and low which can occur with both open and closed stressed syllables.

Asho syllables fit the pattern C_i (C_m) V (C_f), with an obligatory onset and vowel and optional medial (C_m) and final (C_f) consonants. Unstressed syllables (often referred to as "minor syllables" in Southeast Asian linguistics) cannot occur on a right word edge and consist of only an initial consonant plus a brief schwa vowel (C \breve{a}).

CHAPTER 2 CONSONANTS

The Hill dialect of Asho has 26 consonant phonemes, shown in Table 3. Each of these is exemplified below, with minimal sets given for each.

	Bilabial			Bilabial Alveo-de			eo-de	ntal	Pal	atal	7	/elar	Glottal	
Plosive-Implosive	p	p^h	б	ţ	ţ ţ ^h				k k ^h		?			
Nasal	m		m	1	ņ	n	n	ŋ	ŋ	ŋ				
Fricative				s	s ^h		ſ				h	ĥ		
Approximant			w		ł	1		j						

Table 3. Asho consonant phonemes in the Hill dialect

All of these except /fi/ have an unrestricted distribution in the syllable onset, while /fi/ occurs only in the onset of a few grammatical suffixes.

2.1 Plosives and Implosives

Asho has a set of voiceless plosives (aspirated and unaspirated) at each of three places of articulation—bilabial, dental, and velar. (The glottal plosive /?/ is contrasted with the glottal fricative /h/ in 2.3 below.)

There is also a bilabial implosive /6/ and an alveolar implosive /d/, a common occurrence in Southern Chin (and Southeast Asian) languages. The same pair /6/ and /d/ can be found in K'Cho (Kee Shein Mang 2006:14), Daai (So-Hartmann 2009:44), and Mro (Hornéy 2012:11–12), for example. On the basis of the Southern Chin data,

VanBik (2009:64–65) posits *b and *d as phonemes of Proto-Kuki-Chin. The absence of a velar implosive /g/ is also typical of Southeast Asian languages that feature implosives.

Examples comparing plosives and implosives at each place of articulation can be seen in (1-3).⁵

(1)	/p/		/p ^h /		/6/	
	a. p ú	'carry on back'	d. p ^h ú	'fireplace'	g. ɓ ù	'rice (cooked)'
	b. pá	'father'	e. p ^h á	'basket for catching fish'	h. ɓá	'eat with hands'
	c. pò	'be abundant'	f. p ^h ʻʻ	'snake'	i. 6ó	'return'

(2)	/ţ/		/ţʰ/		/ɗ	/
	a. <u>ț</u> ú ?	'kill'	d. ț ^h ù?	'be deep'	g. đ ú	'die'
	b. <u>t</u> ò	'sharpen'	e. ț ^h ò	'be sour'	h. nđ	ó 'sting (bee)'
	c. <u>t</u> á	'brother (older)'	f. ț ^h á	'be new'	i. ɗấ	? 'deadfall trap'

(3)	/k/		/k ^h /			
	a.	kú	'porcupine'	d.	k ^h ú	'caterpillar'
	b.	kòn	'go down'	e.	k ^h òn	'meet, find'
	c.	ké [t∫é]	'tiger'	f.	k ^h é [t∫ ^h é]	'granary'

⁵ When it is not relevant to the comparison, I have omitted an initial syllable ??", This is prefixed on many nouns in their standalone form but is not an essential part of the word.

2.1.1 Palatalization

In the Asho dialects considered here, velar plosives are palatalized as postalveolar affricates before front vowels, as in (4).

(4)	a.	k ^h ì	[t∫ʰì]	ʻlongyi (male)'
	ь.	ké	[t∫é]	'tiger'
	c.	wăk ^h ć?	[wặt∫ʰź?]	'pheasant'

While this palatalization rule held true for my speakers, it is not applied consistently by all speakers. I have heard Asho speakers (from the Mindon area) pronouncing postalveolar affricates before close front vowels (/i/ and /I/) but not before /e/ or /ɛ/.

While I am considering postalveolar affricates as allophones of velar plosives, there is also some evidence that the postalveolar affricates in Asho are moving toward phonemic status. First, there *are* a few instances (as in 5) of a postalveolar affricate before a non-front vowel, typically in words where the Burmese equivalent also contains a postalveolar affricate.

(5) Postalveolars before non-front vowels with Burmese cognates

a.	[t∫ʰón]	'be loose'	(cf. Burmese /t∫ʰaù/)

- b. $[t] a \dot{u}]$ 'fry' (cf. Burmese /t $] \dot{b} /)$
- c. $[s^{h}it_{j}ain]$ 'song' (cf. Burmese $/\theta \breve{d}t_{j}\tilde{I}$)
- d. $[t_{a?}]$ 'be tight' (cf. Burmese /t_a?/)

Some of these are obviously loanwords (e.g. 5c 'song'), while for others the relationship is less clear. Regardless, it appears that Burmese bilingualism is encouraging the pronunciation of postalveolars in situations that would not be expected from the Velar Palatalization rule.⁶

Another argument in favor of treating the affricates as phonemes comes from unstressed minor syllables, where the vowel reduces to schwa (6).

(6)	Hill Asho	Houghton (1982:120)	
	kŏkón [t∫ŏkón]	kyé-k'o″n (IPA: [tʃèkʰón])	'shrimp'

At the end of the nineteenth century, Houghton gave the word for 'shrimp' ('prawn' in his list) with a full first syllable and a front vowel /e/, which gave the conditioning needed for the velar palatalization rule. Today, however, the vowel of the first syllable is reduced to the schwa of an unstressed syllable, and $/\tilde{a}/$ does not cause palatalization (e.g. in /k^hðjaí/ 'ghost'). Despite the lack of front-vowel conditioning, the initial consonant in 'shrimp' remains a postalveolar affricate, further evidence that these may be on their way to achieving phonemic status.

One final remark about the postalveolar affricates: Through a similar rule, velars in Burmese have also been palatalized as postalveolar affricates. Today, these are normally considered to be distinct phonemes rather than allophones. This parallel with Burmese will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 6.

⁶ Another possibility is that these words contain an onset cluster of /kj/ or $/k^hj/$, and it is the semivowel /j/ that has caused the palatalization.

2.2 Nasals

Asho has nasals at four points of articulation – labial, alveolar, palatal, and velar. At each of these places of articulation there is a voiceless nasal and a voiced nasal, giving eight nasals total.

2.2.1 Bilabial nasals

The examples in (7) show the contrast between the voiceless bilabial nasal /m/ and the voiced bilabial nasal /m/.

(7)	/ m /		/m/	
	a. mú	'stir'	d. mú	'elephant'
	b. maùn	'tattoo'	e. maùn	'dream (n)'
	c. ?ămูón	'truth'	f. ?ə̆mɔ´n	'price'

2.2.2 Alveolar nasals

The examples in (8) show the contrast between the voiceless alveolar nasal /n/ and the voiced alveolar nasal /n/.

(8)	/ņ/			
	a. ņí	'two'	d. ní	'here'
	b. ņ ù	'behind'	e. n ù	'female'
	c. n̥ŋón	'kiss (v)'	f. ņnón	'be smelly'

2.2.3 Palatal and velar nasals

The palatal and velar nasals are much less common, and (9) and (10) include almost all of the words in my data. For some words, either a palatal or velar nasal was considered an acceptable pronunciation (e.g. either [nè] or [ŋè] for 9e 'listen'). Examples (9a) and (10a) show contrast between palatal and velar nasals before the same vowel (/a/).

(9)	/ɲ̊/		/ɲ/	
	a. ɲ̊á?	'cut'	d. ɲaìn	'argue'
	b. ņé	'ashes'	e. лè	'listen'
	c. ůó	'forget'		
(10)	/ŋํ/		/ŋ/	
	a. ŋ́á	'ten'	c. ŋaún	'eggplant'
	b. ŋ́ó	'fish'	d. ŋò	'morning'

2.3 Voiceless Fricatives

Asho has three voiceless sibilants, /s/, $/s^h/$, and $/\int/$, and a voiceless glottal fricative /h/. The phoneme $/s^h/$ is an aspirated voiceless alveolar sibilant, also found in Daai Chin (So-Hartmann 2009:44–45) and in Burmese (Watkins 2001:291). In some Asho dialects $/\dot{g}/$ is found for $/\int/$. The preference for $/\dot{g}/$ continues in related languages to the west, and this distinction explains the naming difference for the "Hyow" people of Bangladesh and the "Asho" (or "Sho") of Myanmar. The examples in (11) show the contrast between the three voiceless sibilants.

(11)	/s/		/ s ^h /		/ ʃ /	
а	. sò	'be shriveled'	d. s ^h ź	'cow'	g. ∫ś	'blanket'
b	. sò	'son'	e. s ^h ò	'flesh, meat'	h. ∫ó?	'walk'
c	. sé	'trample'	f. s ^h èn	ʻjoin'	i. ∫ènpón	'corn'

Finally, there is the glottal fricative /h/, which is contrasted with the glottal plosive /?/ in (12). The examples in (12a) and (12c) highlight the contrast between /h/ and the other voiceless fricatives given above in (11).

(12)	/h/		/?/	
a.	hć	'choose'	d. ?é	'eat'
b.	hà	'gold'	e. ?á	'chicken'
c.	hó	'tooth'	f. ?ò?	'drink'

2.4 Voiced Glottal Fricative /fi/

The voiced glottal fricative /fi/ has a much more restricted distribution than any of the other consonants. It does not appear in the onset of noun or verb syllables, but only in a few grammatical suffixes when preceded by a historical back vowel. Examples (13) and (14) show various forms of the realis and locative suffixes, including examples with /fi/ in (13a) and (14a).

(13) Forms of the realis suffix /-Cx?/

- b. łé-jý? 'buy'
- c. łún-ŋŷ? 'be tall'
- d. łś?-țś? 'set free'

(14) Forms of the locative suffix /-Ca/

a.	kò-fiá	'under'
b.	ní-jà	'here'
c.	hón-ná	'above'
d.	ɗ ú ?-kà	'inside'

e. nklá-lá 'between'

In (13a), the realis suffix is /fir?/ following the back vowel in the verb /i / 'be far'. In (14a), the locative suffix is /fia/ after $/3/.^7$

2.5 Laterals

Asho has a pair of laterals—a voiceless lateral fricative /ł/ and a voiced lateral approximant /l/. Examples of contrast are given in (15).

(15) /ɬ/		/1/	
a. łé	'buy'	d. lé	'write'
b. łò	'be far'	e. lò	'come'
c. ¹ òn	'pour'	f. lòn	'road'

⁷ The forms of these suffixes (realis and locative listed here, as well as a reflexive suffix) certainly be warrant further examination. In closed syllable roots and /-a/ final roots, the onset of the suffix appears to retain traces of a word's historically final consonant.

2.6 Approximants

Asho has a voiced labio-velar approximant /w/ and a voiced palatal approximant /j/. Pronunciation of /j/ can sometimes be [ʒ], following a similar pattern of free variation found in Burmese.

(16) /w/		/j/	
a. weí	'rabbit'	d. jeí	'be heavy'
b. wó	'be left behind'	e. jó	'funeral'
c. waù?	'wildcat'	f. jaú?	'bag'

2.7 Juncture Voicing

When a consonant from the unaspirated series of obstruents (/p/, /t/, /k/, /s/) is preceded by a voiced rime in close juncture, it will be voiced. This commonly happens due to a word initial syllabic nasal (discussed in 5.4 below) as in (17a-d), but it also occurs after vowels in multisyllabic words (17e) and tightly linked compounds (17f).

(17) Juncture voicing

a.	ņpá	[mbá]	'measles'
Ъ.	ņţaìn	[ʰd̪aìn]	'pull'
c.	ņká	[ŋġá]	'step (v)'
d.	ņsí	[ņzí]	'salt'
e.	mwèsaìn	[mwèzaìn]	'face'
f.	s ^h ósú	[sʰɔ́zú]	'cow milk' (/sʰɔ́/ 'cow' + /sú/ 'breast, milk')

For loan words with voiced plosives (typically from Pali via Burmese), the Hill dialect of Asho inserts a syllabic nasal to create the environment for voicing on the plosive (18).

(18) Juncture voicing in Burmese loans

a.	nţù?kʰà?	[ʰd̪ʉ̀?kʰà?]	'suffering' (Burmese ဒုက္ခ /dou?kʰā/)
b.	ņpéţín	[m̥béd̯ín]	'astrology' (Burmese ဗေဒင် /bèdì̈́/)
c.	ņk ú n	[ŋˈgʉ́n]	'honor' (Burmese ဂုဏ် /gǜ/)

As discussed in the next section, the Plains dialect has developed voiced obstruent phonemes in these situations. In the Plains dialect all of the examples in (17) and (18) would be pronounced as voiced plosives without any preceding nasal.

2.8 Dialect Differences

Consonant phonemes between the Plains and Hill dialects are quite similar, but there are a couple of significant differences. Although the Plains dialect has mostly lost the syllabic nasal, consonants that had followed a nasal still retain voicing (see 2.7 above). This adds phonemes /b/, /d/, /g/, and /z/ to the Plains dialect, as seen in (19).

(19)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	ņpòn	bòn	'be thin'
	ь.	ņţaìn	dàn	'pull'
	c.	ņkó	gó	'twenty'
	d.	ņs ù n	zùn	'mountain'

Matisoff has observed a straightforward process throughout many Tibeto-Burman languages where prefixes have caused changes in voicing: "A *voiceless C_i could easily assimilate in voicing to a voiced prefix (e.g. *m-)... The prefix might then drop, leaving only the change in voicing of the C_i as a trace of its former presence (2003:15–16)."
This is the exact situation that seems to have occurred in Plains Asho to create voiced obstruents. The prefixed /n-/ has dropped but the syllable-initial consonant remains voiced.

One other minor difference is that the dental stops /t/ and $/t^h/$ of the Hill dialect are pronounced as alveolar stops /t/ and $/t^h/$ (and /d/) in the Plains dialect. This may reflect an accommodation of the Plains dialect to Burmese, which has alveolar rather than dental plosives.

2.9 Comparison to Earlier Descriptions

Although Houghton (1892) and Joorman (1906) are both focused on Asho grammar, each also provides a brief account of the sounds of Asho. For consonants, the differences from my own description are minor. Neither of them posits a phonemic glottal stop. Both authors list the set of postalveolar affricates as phonemes, with Houghton noting that they are "undoubtedly...a corruption of *ky* and *gy*" (1892:6).⁸ Houghton includes /g/ to complete the set of implosives, which I did not find (and which is not typically found in Southeast Asian languages with implosives). Despite Houghton's inclusion of this in his phonemic inventory, I only find one word from his wordlist that includes it (*g'lo*, apparently a variant of *k'li*, 'air, wind'). He also has a phoneme that he writes as 'y and describes as the sound at the beginning of 'you', but "aspirated" (1892:10–11). This is probably [j] mentioned above as a variant of /ʃ/, but there are no examples of it in his wordlist. Joorman lists *hw*, which may be equivalent to what I have analyzed as /fi/. He also includes *r*, possibly for loanwords with [*x*].

⁸ Houghton only gives two of the three in his inventory—*ky* "as 'ch' in 'church'" and *gy* "as 'j' in 'joke'"—yet his wordlist also has *k*'*y* in some words.

CHAPTER 3 VOWELS

The Hill dialect of Asho Chin uses 11 simple vowels and 3 diphthongs, as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Vowel phonemes of the Hill dialect

There is also a schwa /ə̆/ that is not included on these charts. It is found in minor (unstressed) syllables, and—as will be discussed in Chapter 5—it has a much briefer duration than the other vowels. For the other vowels, acoustic measurements showed no major variation in duration.

3.1 Front Vowels

There are enough vowels that it is challenging to find minimal pairs for every distinction. Instead, in Table 4 I present a few representative example of front vowels, divided by syllable type.

	Open	l	/n/ fir	nal	/?/ fir	nal
	s ^h ì	ʻoil'	ţ ^h ín	'ginger'	ní?	'black'
/i/	'nì	'longyi (female)'	sìn	'green'	mí?	'eye'
	kʰlí	'air, wind'	łín	'thorn'		
			sín	'knife'	s ^h í?	'poison'
/I/			ņţʰín	'liver'	lí?	'shadow, shade'
			?ìn	'house'	mlì?	'leech (water)'
			mýn	'dizzy'	mìy?	'bile, gall'
/Y/			kʰýn	'cover (v)'	'ný?	'day'
			pýn	'heap'		
	mé	'sky'	s ^h én	'intestinal worm'	hé?	'louse (head)'
/e/	mè	'fire'	pén	'hut'	kè?	'tumor'
	ké	'tiger'	sèn	'be near'	pè?	'give'
	meì	'goat'				
/ei/	weí	'rabbit'				
	heí	'east'				
	?wè	'crab'	s ^h én	'red'	s ^h é?	'joint'
/ɛ/	ťμέ	'fruit'	mén	'rattan'	mlé?	'river'
	nè	'soft'	hèn	'silver'		

Table 4. Front vowel examples by syllable type

The distribution of front vowels among the syllable types is somewhat problematic. The vowels /I/ and /Y/ do not occur in open syllables, while /ei/ is never found in closed syllables. It would be convenient to try to join two of these as a set of allophones, but the groupings would appear rather arbitrary. Both of the Asho orthographies, for example, link /I/ and /ei/ (while writing /Y/ with a distinct grapheme), but the pairing /ei/ and /Y/ would also be possible.

Rather than trying to pair Asho vowels from open and closed syllables, I prefer to analyze these as separate phonemes with restrictions on their distribution. As I discuss in section 6.3 below, this same problem occurs in Burmese. Burmese presents a similar (but stronger) split in vowels between open and closed syllables. Given the same choices as with Asho, modern descriptions of Burmese have alternately chosen to link the vowels for the syllable types (Wheatley 2009:726) or to analyze them as distinct phonemes with syllable type restrictions (Watkins 2001:292–293). This latter approach is how I choose to analyze Asho.

3.2 Central and Back Vowels

Hill Asho has two central vowels /u/ and /a/, and the vowel /r/ can sometimes sound more central than back ([9]). The other back vowels are /u/, /o/, and /ɔ/. There are also two diphthongs that begin with a central vowel—/au/ and /ai/.

	Open		/n/ fina	al	/?/ fina	1
	kú	'porcupine'	s ^h ún	'turtle'	p ú ?	'belly'
/ʉ/	lú	'head'	łùn	'stone'		
	?ù	'frog'	ņml ù n	'heart'		
	ţù	'water'	<u></u> t ^h ún	'lime'	kú?	'arm'
/u/	?ù	'dog'	kún	'year'	?ú?	'skin'
	mú	'elephant'	ņp ^h jùn	'urine'	ņmlú?	'bury'
	lờ	ʻfield (dry)'	łŕn	'wave (n)'	pŕ?	'hole'
/8/	mŕ	'sister-in-law'				
	ņkʰót̪ጵ	'chin'				
	jó	'bamboo'	jón	'monkey'	hò?	'shell'
/0/	hó	'tooth'	jòn	ʻplant (n)'	bò?	'white'
	mó	'master'	món	'gong'	wó?	ʻpig'
	mɔ̀	'feather, fur'	hón	'bear'	wó?	'leech (land)'
/၁/	shố	'cow'	s ^h òn	'hair'	ņsò?	'lung'
	p ^հ ാ	'snake'	lòn	'road'	?5?	'one'
	hà	'gold'	nàn	'village'	hà?	'sharp'
/a/	?á	'chicken'	?àn	'pot'	ká?	'cry'
	k ^h à	'termite'	s ^h án	'voice, noise'	má?	'know'
	ţ ^h aú	'fat'	saún	'rice (uncooked)'	s ^h aú?	'life'
/au/	klaú	'tendon'	k ^h laún	'person'	łaù?	'ladder'
	paú	'language'	maùn	'dream (n)'	waù?	'wild cat'
	laì	'field (paddy)'	haìn	'mosquito'	mlaí?	'grass'
/ai/	maí	'scar'	saín	'finger'	баì?	'branch'
	ņaì	'sand'				

Table 5. Central and back vowel examples by syllable type

The vowel /v/ is somewhat rare in closed syllables. Additional examples can be cited if the search is expanded to grammatical particles. The various forms of the very frequent realis particle provide numerous examples—/t̪v?/, /lv?/, /ŋv?/, /jv?/, and /fiv?/.

3.3 Dialect Differences

The Plains dialect has the same total number of vowels as the Hill dialect—11 simple vowels and 3 diphthongs. The vowel phonemes of the Plains dialect are given in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Vowel phonemes of the Plains dialect

The vowel differences between the Hill and Plains dialects occur in specific environments that are not fully apparent by comparing the phoneme charts above. Unlike with consonants, there does not appear to be a sharp line neatly dividing the pronunciation of vowels in the dialects. Each variation exists on a continuum, and a speaker from the middle of the Asho area may have a mix of "Hill" and "Plains" pronunciations. This section discusses each of the distinctions that I observed from each extreme of the Asho area, divided into sets of changes with high-back vowels, high-front vowels, and with the diphthong /ai/.

3.3.1 Dialect differences from the Hill /u/, / μ /, and / γ /

First of all, there is a set of three differences that in Plains Asho all involve highback vowels. In the Hill dialect, the sequence /-wi/ of the Plains dialect corresponds to /u/, as shown in (20).

(20)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	ţù	twì	'water'
	ь.	?ù	?wì	'dog'
	c.	mú	mwí	'elephant'
	d.	mú	 , mwí	'stir'
	e.	nú	nwí	'laugh'

There are at least two exceptions: the Hill dialect retains the unexpected $/k^hwi/$ 'story' and /?ípwí/ 'boyfriend/girlfriend'.

The /u/ and /v/ of the Hill dialect are pronounced farther back in the Plains dialect, and are better represented as /u/ and /v/, respectively (21-22). In closed syllables, some words that have /u/ in the Hill dialect may also be pronounced as /v/ in the Plains dialect (22e-f).

(21)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	?ăk ú	?ŏkú	'porcupine'
	b.	?ə́l ú	?əlú	'head'
	c.	ņml ú	mlú	'city'
	d.	<u>t</u> ^h ù	$t^h \hat{u}^2 \sim t^h \hat{u}$	'be rotten'
	e.	?ăp ú	pú	'carry on one's back'

(22)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	mýn	mún	'dizzy'
	Ъ.	pýn	pún	'heap'
	c.	ņý?	ņú?	'day'
	d.	ŵţ.	m̥ù?	ʻbile, gall'
	e.	kù?	kù?	'arm'
	f.	?ùn	?ùn	'skin'

3.3.2 Dialect differences from the Hill /i/, /1/, and /ei/

The second set of dialect differences has three sets of correspondences. First, the diphthong /ei/ of the Hill dialect is pronounced as /i/ in the Plains dialect.

(23)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	?ăweí	?ăwí	'rabbit'
	Ь.	meì	?ămì	'goat'
	c.	s ^h eí	s ^h í	'horse, seven'
	d.	jeí	jí	'be heavy'
	e.	?əjeì	?ăjì	'teak'

In open syllables, the /i/ of the Hill dialect is pronounced in the Plains dialect with velarization on the preceding consonant.

(24)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	ņsí	z ^v í	'salt'
	b.	s ^h ðmí	s ^h ðm ^y í	'child'
	c.	ní	n ^y í	'this'
	d.	mlì	ml ^v ì	'ant'
	e.	?ə̆t̪ ^h ì	?ə̃t ^{hy} ì	'blood'

Finally, in closed syllables, the /i/ of the Hill dialect is pronounced as the diphthong /ei/ in the Plains dialect, as shown in (25).

(25)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	?əᠯín	?ə l eín	'thorn'
	ь.	?ə̆tʰín	?ə̆t ^h eín	'ginger'
	c.	?əĭmín	?ămeín	'name'
	d.	?ămí?	?ămeí?	'eye'
	e.	?ə̃ní?	?ə̆neí?	'black'

One result of this set of differences is that the Hill dialect only has /ei/ in open syllables, and the Plains dialect only has /ei/ in closed syllables.

3.3.3 Dialect differences involving /ai/

The last set of differences does not change any phonemes, but it does affect the pronunciation of some words. In closed syllables (ending with /?/ or /n/), the Hill dialect uses /e/ while /ai/ is used in the Plains dialect, as seen in (26).

(26)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	ņdé?	?ə̆ɗaí?	'ground, earth'
	Ъ.	hé?	haí?	'louse (head)'
	c.	pé?	paì?	'give'
	d.	sé?	saì?	'be narrow'

In open syllables, both the Hill and Plains dialects typically have /ai/ (as in 27a-d), but there are some words (e.g. 27e-h) where the Hill dialect uses $/\epsilon/.9$

(27)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	laì	laì	'field (paddy)'
	ь.	ņmlaí	?ămlaí	'navel'
	c.	paí	paí	'fly (v)'
	d.	saì	saì	'do, make'
	e.	ţ ^h é	t ^h aí	'fruit'
	f.	?ə̆hè	?ə̆haì	'firewood'
	g.	né	naí	'be few'
	h.	plé	plaí	'be full'

Finally, in closed syllables, when the Hill dialect has /ai/ the Plains dialect has /a/, as in (28).

⁹ For other Hill speakers (not focused on for this thesis), the examples in 25a-d would be reduced to /a/, and the words in 25e-h would be reduced to $\epsilon/$.)

(28)		Hill	Plains	
	a.	?ə̆haìn	?ə̆hàn	'mosquito'
	b.	?ĕkaínsaín	kánzàn	'chest'
	c.	mlaí?	mlá?	'grass'
	d.	saìn	sàn	'be hard, firm'
	e.	?ə̃m̥wènsaín	mwaìzán	'face'

The Asho word for 'face' in (28e) shows both of these shifts in action. The middle syllable contrasts ϵ / and /ai/, and the last syllable shows /ai/ versus /a/.

3.3.4 Summary of dialect differences

In summary, there are ten vowel differences between the Hill and Plains dialects. Table 6 summarizes the correspondences and the environments where they occur.

Hill	Plains	Environment
/ʉ/	/u/	all
/Y/	/υ/	all
/u/	/υ/	closed syllables
/u/	/-wi/	open syllables
/i/	/ ^y i/	open syllables
/i/	/ei/	closed syllables
/ei/	/i/	open syllables
/e/	/ai/	closed syllables
/8/	/ai/	some open syllables
/ai/	/a/	closed syllables

Table 6. Vowel correspondences between Plains and Hill dialects

3.4 Comparison to Earlier Descriptions

The vowel phonemes found in the early publications on Asho by Houghton and Joorman are mostly comparable to the analysis given here. Houghton distinguishes between what seem to be open central and back vowels /a/ and /b/, which I find to be allophones.¹⁰ Houghton and Joorman list a combination /oi/, which shows up in words that in my data are pronounced as /-wɛ/ (/-wai/ in the Plains dialect). I can attest that the /oi/ pronunciation is still used by some present-day Asho speakers in Rakhine State, so perhaps the/-wɛ/ and /-wai/ pronunciations are a recent development due to Burmese influence.¹¹ Similarly, Joorman also lists /œ/, which corresponds to /-we/ in my data.

¹⁰ He describes them as "a as in 'father'" and "o as in 'don'."

¹¹ Burmese does not have an /oi/ diphthong. English loanwords with /oi/ (like 'point') have entered Burmese with a /wai/ pronunciation (/pwa \tilde{i} /).

CHAPTER 4 TONE

Asho has two level tones—high and low—and this is consistent across the Hill and Plains dialects. Evidence of the two-tone system can be seen in the comparative wordlist of Chin Tone in Luce (1985:II:70-87). Although he never comments on it directly, Luce marks his Asho data with ¹ for a high tone and with ² for a low tone. This same conclusion is reached by Weidert (1987:14–15), although there are several other analyses (discussed below) that have suggested a different number of tones.

The tone distinction can be illustrated by numerous minimal pairs, as seen in (29-34).

(29) a. <u>t</u>^hín 'ginger' b. t^hìn 'tree' (30) a. saún 'rice (paddy)' b. saùn 'rice (pounded)' v 'dance' (31) a. lón b. làn 'road' 'two' (32) a. ní 'longyi (female)' b. nì 'iron' (33) a. nt^hí b. nthì 'crest (bird)' 'carry on head' (34) a. kó? b. kò? 'shoot'

4.1 Alternative Proposals

The two-tone system I am proposing is in contrast to several previous proposals, which have suggested a three-tone, four-tone, or five-tone contrast. I believe that the largest source of discrepancy in each of these stems from a failure to properly analyze the glottal stop in syllable codas, mistaking the phonetic coloring of glottalization for phonemic tone.

4.1.1 Houghton's three tones

The earliest tone description comes from Bernard Houghton, who identified three tones in his late-nineteenth century essay. He mentions a high ("acute") tone, a low ("grave") tone, and a rising tone (1892:12):

> The number of tones in Chin is apparently three, namely, the short acute, the heavy grave, and the rising tones; but, partly owing to typographical difficulties, the two last are not mutually distinguished herein. The rising tone is not, however, I think, of frequent occurrence, and in practice little or no inconvenience is experienced by the amalgamation.

Since he was not able to mark all three tones in his book, it is difficult to fully critique his claims. However, there is some reason to be skeptical. His examples of high tone are the words $w\ddot{o}$ 'pig' and $b\ddot{o}$ 'white,' which he explains "should be pronounced as though one were about to say $w\acute{o}k$, $b\acute{o}k$; but stopped short before pronouncing the final k" (Houghton 1892:12). That description sounds more like glottal closure than tone, and, in fact, I have analyzed these words as /wó?/ and /bò?/, respectively. In my analysis, both end with a glottal stop, but the first is high tone and the second is low tone.

4.1.2 Joorman's five tones

Joorman likewise gives only a brief account of Asho's tonal system, which he explains with five tones: long, rising, falling, checked, and short (1906:4). None of these are marked in his *Chin Grammar*, so not much can be said about them. He says that syllables ending in /n/ tend to either be "long" or "rising" tone. Syllables ending with a vowel (which he confusingly calls "closed syllables") may either be "short" or "long" tone (1906:6). It is possible that his "short" tone refers to vowel reduction in unstressed minor syllables—where the schwa does have a much shorter duration than other vowels (see Chapter 5). The "checked" tone probably refers to syllables with a final /?/, which, as I mentioned with /wó?/ and /bo?/ above, can still carry either a high or low tone.

4.1.3 Gvozdanović's four tones

Gvozdanović has pointed out four tones indicated by the Burmese-based Asho script: "Ashö Chin tones are represented as follows: $/^1_?/=$ low glottalized, $/^2/=$ mid falling, $/^3/=$ high, and $/^3_?/=$ high glottalized" (1985:182). Although her phonetic detail is accurate, these four tones can be reduced to a two-way contrast in tone and another binary contrast in glottalization. That is, what she identifies as a "low glottalized" tone is a low tone syllable with a syllable-final glottal stop, and the "mid falling" is a low tone without a final glottal.

4.2 Asho and Kuki-Chin Tone Patterns

Phases of Pre-Pagan Burma, a posthumous publication of Gordon Luce, introduced the idea of Chin tone patterns. Luce observed that Chin languages tended to group the same words together with the same tones, although the specific tones varied from language to language. For example, low tone words in Mara tended to have high tone cognates in Mizo and rising tone cognates in Falam Lai. Although the tones manifested themselves in different ways in each language, the groupings were consistent across languages. Luce (1985:I:83) suggested that there were three tone groupings ("patterns") that held across Kuki-Chin languages:

(i) Three tones, the origin of Tone-Patterns I, II, and III, were once the norm in Chin languages.

(ii) Each of the three tones affected open, nasal and -l/-r finals.

(iii) Tone-Pattern I did not admit a final stop—with the exception of certain -k/-r finals in the northernmost dialects, where the older final was the -r, probably uvular, and not the -k.

(iv) Where Tone Patterns II and III now divide themselves into a and b, the division is not very ancient nor widespread in Chin, but depended on the original presence or absence of a final stop, stopped finals being confined to IIb and IIIb.

(v) The distinction between IIb and IIIb depended on whether the old medial vowel before the stop was short or long.

(vi) The presence of a large number of apparently open finals in IIIb (e.g. 'father', 'mother', 'children', 'fish', 'flesh', 'bird', 'breast', 'horns') points to the loss (as in Archaic Chinese) of a number of sonant plosives (especially –g) after the long vowel.

VanBik (2009:451–454) took Luce's concept and expanded it with additional data. (He also rearranged Luce's classification of patterns I, II, and III into four proto-tones *1, *2, *3, and *4.) Table 7 recreates Table 164 from VanBik (2009:454), illustrating the tone patterns across Kuki-Chin languages:

PKC Tones	Mara	H. Lai	F. Lai	Mizo	Tedim	T. Kuki	Khumi
*1	Η	F	Н	R	1	F	$L \sim Lc$
*2	Н	L	F	F	1	F	$L \sim Lc$
*3	М	R	L	L	3	L	$L \sim Lc$
*4	L	F	R	Н	2	Н	$F \sim R \sim Hc$

Table 7. Tone patterns in Kuki-Chin languages (from VanBik 2009)

H = high, L = low, M = mid, F = falling, R = rising, Lc = low-checked, Hc = high-checked

In Tedim, I believe that 1 = rising, 2 = falling, 3 = low.

VanBik's data, however, do not provide much explanation for tone in the Southern Chin group. He includes only one language (Khumi) from the Southern Chin group, and the tones from Khumi are the most divergent from the patterns found everywhere else. Khumi words from all of the first three patterns can have either a low or low-checked tone, and words from the fourth pattern can be falling, rising, or high-checked. (VanBik does use Houghton's Asho data elsewhere in his Proto-Kuki-Chin reconstruction, but chooses to ignore it for reconstructing PKC tone—a sensible move given the problems of Houghton's tone observations mentioned earlier in this chapter.) The question raised by VanBik's data is this: Does the rest of the Southern Chin group follow the pattern of the Northern and Central languages, or is Khumi representative of tone in the Southern group? The data from Asho can provide an answer to that question.

For Proto-Kuki-Chin words that VanBik classifies with tone pattern *1 (Luce's pattern IIIb), I found 22 Asho cognates, 20 of which are low tone.

Asho Gloss	Asho	Proto-Kuki-Chin	Asho Tone
'mushroom'	pò	*paa1	L
'claw, fingernail'	(ký?)ţìn	*tin1	L
'nine'	kò?	*kua1	L
'blood'	ţ ^h ì	*thii1	L
'fruit'	ţ ^h ć	*thay1	Н
'iron'	ņţʰí	*thiir1	Н
'crab'	?wè	*?aay1	L
'dog'	?ù	*?uy1	L
'house'	?ìn	*?im1	L
'hair (head)'	s ^h ờn	*sham1	L
'mortar'	s ^h ùn	*shum1	L
'dream (n)'	maùn	*maŋ1	L
'fire'	mè	*may1	L
'feather, fur'	mà	*mul1 × *hmul1	L
'tail'	ņhŏmè	*may1	L
'face'	<u></u> mwèsaìn	*hmaay1	L
'field (paddy)'	laì	*lay1	L
'maggot'	l ù n	*luŋ1	L
'stone'	l ù n	*luŋ1	L
'road/path'	lòn	*lam1	L
'marrow'	ņk ^h lìn	*khlik ≍ *khliŋ1	L

Table 8. Asho cognates of VanBik's *1 tone pattern

Regarding the two Asho words with a high tone in this group: VanBik (2009:458) notes that 'fruit' is also exceptional in Khumi, which is the only Southern Chin language in his data. This could be a difference that is spread throughout the Southern Chin group. The word $/nt^{h_{1}}/$ 'iron' is distinguished from $/nt^{h_{1}}/$ 'crest' (see tone pattern *3 below) only by a difference in tone, and this similarity may have prompted a tonal shift.

The Asho cognates continue to line up within tone pattern *2 (Luce's IIIa). Of the 11 most obvious cognates in Asho, 10 had a low tone. The lone exception is /pá/ 'father', although a word like *pa* or *papa* for 'father' is common enough across languages that it may not mean much for cognates.

Asho Gloss	Asho	Proto-Kuki-Chin	Asho Tone
'nest'	б ù	*ɓuu2	L
'father'	pá	*paa2	Н
'horn'	kì	*kii2	L
'smoke'	(mè)k ^h ù	*khuu2	L
'excrement'	?e?	*?eek2	L
'sesame seed'	S ^h ì	*tshii2	L
'flesh, meat'	s ^h ò	*shaa2	L
'buffalo'	nò	*naa2	L
'fish'	ŋò	*ŋaa2 ≍ hŋaa2	L
'bow'	lì	*lii2	L
'leech (water)'	mlì?	*liit2 × *hliit2	L

Table 9. Asho cognates of VanBik's *2 tone pattern

With tone pattern *3 (Luce's II), 19 of 21 Asho words were high tone.

Asho Gloss	Asho	Proto-Kuki-Chin	Asho Tone
'rice (cooked)'	б ú	*ɓu?3	Н
'belly'	pú?	*poo3	Н
'year'	kún	*kum3	Н
'elbow'	bónkí	*ki(i)w3	Н
'porcupine'	kú	*sha2-ku?3	Н
'thigh'	p ^h é	*phay3	Н
'crest (bird)'	ņţʰì	*thi?3	L
'liver'	ņţʰín	*thin3	Н
'one'	?ó?	*khat3 × *?at3 × *hat3	Н
'salt'	ņsí	*tsii3	Н
'eye'	mí?	*mik3	Н
'female'	n ú /n ù	*nuu3	H/L
'two'	ņí	*ni?3 🗙 *hni?3	Н
'bone'	jó	*ru?3	Н
ʻrain (n)'	jó	*rua?3	Н
'river'	mlé?	*luuy3	Н
ʻpig'	wó?	*wok3	Н
'rabbit'	weí	*sha2-wi?3	Н
ʻnight'	ján	*yaan3	Н
'moon/month'	kʰló	*khlaa3	Н
'sweat'	kʰlón	*khlan3	Н

Table 10. Asho cognates of VanBik's *3 tone pattern

As mentioned above with tone pattern *1, $/n\underline{t}^{h}$ i/ 'crest' and $/n\underline{t}^{h}$ i/ 'iron' are both exceptional—bearing the opposite tone from what is expected. The other exception in tone pattern *3 is $/n\underline{u}$ / 'female', which in Asho is a suffix whose tone varies depending on what it is affixed to.

The fourth tone pattern—VanBik's *4 or Luce's I—contains a much larger set of reconstructed etyma and also a larger number of Asho cognates. There were 35 Asho cognates for VanBik's *4 words, and all but 1 of these were high tone. The only exception (/hàn/ 'otter') also has an unexpected tone in Hakha Lai and in Khumi (VanBik 2009:493).

Asho Gloss	Asho	Proto-Kuki-Chin	Asho Tone
'egg'	ţwé	* $du(u)y4 \times tu(u)y4$	Н
'bamboo shoot'	ţwé	*tuay4	Н
'tiger'	ké	*kay4	Н
'ginger'	t ^h ín	*thiiŋ4	Н
'three'	ţ ^h ún	*thum4	Н
'bee'	k ^h wé	*khuay4	Н
'barking deer'	s ^h aù?k ^h í	*sha2-khii4	Н
'chicken'	?á	*?aar4	Н
'shell'	hó?	*hooŋ4	Н
'mango'	hwé	*haay4	Н
'tooth'	hó	*haa4	Н
'hawk'	mú	*muu4 × *hmuu4	Н
'name'	mín	*miŋ4 × *hmiŋ4; *min4 × *hmin4	Н
'five'	ŋó	*ŋаа4	Н
'pus'	ņaí	*hnaay4	Н
'bamboo'	jó	*rua4	Н
'navel' / 'middle'	ņm̥laí / laí	*laay4	Н
'debt'	lé	*lay4-ɓaa4	Н
'four'	mlí	*lii4	Н
'head'	lú	*luu4	Н
'heart'	ņml ú n	*luŋ4	Н
ʻlog'	tٍ ^h ìn⁴ón	*thiŋ1-luaŋ4	Н
'tongue'	mlébòn	*lay4	Н
'otter'	hàn	*hram4	L
'rooster'	łú	*hluy4	Н
'flea'	?ùłí	*?uy1-hlii4	Н
'thorn'	łín	*hliŋ4	Н
'bear'	hón	*wom4	Н
'husk'	hó?	*waay4	Н
'beer'	jú	*yuu4	Н
'monkey'	jón	*yooŋ4	Н
'air, wind'	k ^h lí	*khlii4	Н
'person'	k ^h laún	*khlaaŋ4 ≍ *khlooŋ4	Н
'tears (n)'	mí?k ^h lí	*mik3-khlii4	Н
'needle'	p ^h én	*phrim4	Н

Table 11. Asho cognates of VanBik's *4 tone pattern

To summarize: Words that fell into VanBik's Proto-Kuki-Chin tones *1 and *2 show up overwhelmingly as low tone words in Asho. Proto-Kuki-Chin words with tones *3 and *4 are overwhelmingly high tone in Asho. Given this, it is possible to update VanBik's chart with more data from the Southern group, as shown in Table 12:

		Maraic	Central		Northern Peripheral		Southern Peripheral		
Luce	Proto- Kuki- Chin	Mara	Hakha Lai	Falam Lai	Mizo	Tedim	Kuki- Thaadow	Khumi	Asho
IIIa	*1	Н	F	Η	R	R	F	$L \sim Lc$	L
IIIb	*2	Н	L	F	F	R	F	$L \sim Lc$	L
II	*3	Μ	R	L	L	F	L	$L \sim Lc$	Н
Ι	*4	L	F	R	Η	L	Н	$\begin{array}{l} F \sim R \\ \sim Hc \end{array}$	Η

Table 12. Tone patterns in Kuki-Chin, including Asho

The two tones of Asho fall into the expected patterns seen throughout Kuki-Chin. Asho's low-tone words are from VanBik's *1 and *2 patterns, and high-tone words developed from *3 and *4. This particular arrangement is unlike anything else in VanBik's dataset; no other language in his data shows this same two-tone grouping. The Northern Peripheral languages and Mara have combined tones *1 and *2, but nowhere else has a language merged the tones for *3 and *4.

4.3 Implications for the Kuki-Chin Classification

Adding Asho to the previously studied tone patterns offers two important implications for Kuki-Chin classification. First, a distinction in tone between Khumi languages and the rest of Southern Chin would be further evidence for placing Khumi languages in a separate branch of Southern Chin languages. VanBik split the Khumi group out due to their absence of verb-stem alternation—a feature present throughout the rest of the Kuki-Chin group (2009:34). From this data it would also appear that the Khumi tonal system is fairly divergent from the rest of Kuki-Chin, assuming that the other Cho languages pattern similarly to Asho.

Secondly, the Asho tone data provide one further reason to group Northern and Southern Chin languages into a broader "peripheral" group. Unlike the Central Chin languages, which maintain a distinction between tone patterns *1 and *2, the Chin languages on the periphery have merged *1 and *2 into a single tone. Asho, and perhaps other Southern Chin languages, have gone a step further than the Northern Chin languages and also merged *3 and *4 into one tone.¹²

4.4 Tone and Glottalization

I mentioned above that the primary issue with earlier analyses of Asho Chin tone is that they did not distinguish glottalization and tone. This is a reasonable approach, as tone (or register) in Southeast Asian languages may not be simply a matter of pitch, but a combination of pitch with phonation type and other factors. In Burmese, for example, the four tones are often described as "high," "low," "creaky," and "killed/checked" (Wheatley 2009:727; Watkins 2001:293). The first two are distinguished primarily by pitch, but the second pair are determined more by the state of the glottis than by pitch.

My analysis of Asho, however, is that tone and glottalization are distinct from each another. Words that end with a glottal stop can have either a high or low tone, as seen in the minimal pair of /k5?/ and /k3?/ given above in (34). And, as shown in various examples from Tables 8-11, words with final glottal stops occur across all four tone

¹² An alternative explanation, suggested by Luce (1985:I:85) and Button (2011:27), is that the Northern "three-tone" pattern was original, with the Central languages splitting one of the tones into two. This does not change the apparent closeness of Northern and Southern languages, but suggests that one group (Central) has split tones while another (Southern) has merged tones.

patterns. The glottal stop also prevents Juncture Voicing (section 2.7 above), which shows that it is clearly a part of the syllable coda. This is illustrated in (35), where /sò/ 'child' is combined with animal terms to create a compound for young animals.

(35) a. wó?-sò [wós:ò] 'piglet'b. meì-sò [meìzò] 'kid-goat'

In (35a), the glottal stop in the coda of /wó?/ 'pig' is voiceless and thus does not cause the following /s/ to be voiced. If the glottalization of /wó?/ were suprasegmental, one would expect the following /s/ to be voiced as [z], as in (35b).

CHAPTER 5 SYLLABLES

5.1 Major and Minor Syllables

Southeast Asian languages across multiple language families (Tibeto-Burman, Austro-Asiatic, and Tai-Kadai) have often been described as having something variously described as "minor syllables" or "semisyllables" or "presyllables."¹³ The choice of terminology varies among the sources, as does the definition of what constitutes a minor syllable. Often they are defined as a syllable with some or most of the following characteristics (Kiparsky 2003:156; Cho & King 2003:187; Herr 2011:38):

- No stress
- No tone
- No vowel
- No coda
- No branching onsets
- Restricted segmental inventory
- Restricted position (e.g. a word edge)

In the Mon-Khmer and Tibeto-Burman languages of Southeast Asia, minor syllables cannot fall on the right word edge, and so they must always be followed by a major syllable. This common disyllabic pattern—a word made up of a minor syllable and a

¹³ For an in-depth review of the terminology and features of minor syllables, see Herr (2011:19–40).

major syllable—has been labeled by Matisoff (1973:86) as "sesquisyllabic," a word composed of one and a half syllables.

The phenomenon of major and minor syllables across Southeast Asia is best understood as analogous to the stress systems found elsewhere. Regarding minor syllables in Cambodian (Khmer), Hayes (1995:262) writes:

Here, the iamb serves not so much as an algorithm for stress assignment as a template for word structure. Cambodian distinguishes so-called **major** and **minor** syllables, which may plausibly be identified with /-/ and /-/ respectively. Major syllables are freely distributed, but minor syllables may only occur when directly followed by a major syllable. All major syllables are stressed, all minor syllables are stressless, and main stress is final. (...) The segmental phonology of Cambodian is iambic in character, in that it includes rules that reduce and shorten vowels of minor syllables, thus reinforcing the durational contrast of the iambic foot.

According to Hayes, major syllables in Khmer are simply stressed syllables and minor syllables unstressed syllables.

This observation concerning Khmer applies equally well across Southeast Asia languages, including Asho Chin. Looking at Southeast Asian languages from several families, Butler (2015:449) analyzed sesquisyllables as disyllables with "word-final prosodic prominence and phonological reduction of the penultimate syllable." Butler points out that there is nothing which "differentiates sesquisyllables from words that are maximally disyllabic iambs that exhibit a weak-strong pattern." With this analysis in

60

mind, I will use "minor syllable" interchangeably with "unstressed syllable," and "major" with "stressed."

5.2 Major Syllables

Major syllables in Asho fit within the maximal syllable template [CCVC] with an obligatory onset. A glottal stop in the onset is not only found phrase-initially, but remains even during compounding. For example, the word /nthí?e?/ 'rust' is a compound of the words 'iron' /nthí? and 'excrement' /?e?/, and the glottal stop in the onset of the second word is preserved between the vowels.

The syllable template of Asho could also be described as in (36):

(36) $C_i (C_m) V (C_f)$

The onset of an Asho syllable consists of an initial consonant (C_i) followed by an optional medial consonant (C_m) that is restricted to only /l/, /j/, and /w/. The nucleus is a simple vowel or diphthong. The optional final consonant (C_f) can only be /?/ or /n/. Examples of each type of syllable are given in (37) and (38).

(37)	a.	kờ	'have a fever'	CV
	b.	k ^h ló	'moon'	CCV
	c.	kòn	'go down'	CVC
	d.	k ^h lán	'garden'	CCVC
	e.	k ^h laún	'person'	CCVC

(38)	a.	mí	'be hurt'	CV
	b.	mlí	'four'	CCV
	c.	mí?	'eye'	CVC
	d.	mlí?	'be small'	CCVC
	e.	mlaí?	'grass'	CCVC

5.2.1 Onset (C_i and C_m)

Any consonant can occur in the C_i position of the onset, but the C_m position is restricted to /l/, /j/, and /w/. Medial /l/ and /j/ only occur after certain labial or velar C_i consonants, but /w/ can follow a wider range of phonemes, as shown in Table 13.

Table 13. Possible combinations of initial and medial consonants

	/p/	/ p ^h /	/6/	/ m /	/m/	/k/	/k ^h /	/?/	/s/	/ s ^h /	/h/	/1/	/ł/
/1/	+	+	+	+	+	+	+						
/j/	+	+	+	+	+								
/w/	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

The absence of /j/ following velars is explained by the same historical palatalization rule discussed in Chapter 2—any occurrence of a velar followed by /j/ is pronounced as a postalveolar affricate. Although neither of my consultants did this, I have heard some speakers insert a medial /j/ between a labial consonant and a front vowel. For example, /?ǎpʰɛ́n/ 'needle' is pronounced as [?ǎpʰjɛ́n], and /mìnsán/ 'cat' is pronounced [mjìnzán] by some speakers.

The phoneme /w/ in C_m position shows a much different distribution than /l/ and /j/. Whereas /l/ and /j/ follow only labials and velars, /w/ occurs after many kinds of C_i consonants (any plosive or fricative, as well as bilabial nasals /m/ and /m/).

Furthermore, /l/ and /j/ can be followed by all kinds of vowels, and yet /w/ only occurs before /e/, ϵ /, and the central vowel /a/ and never before any back vowels.

This unique distribution makes it worth considering whether this is a vowel /u/ rather than a semivowel /w/. However, there are several problems with this alternative analysis. First of all, these potential /u-/ diphthongs (*/ue/, */uɛ/, and */ua/) never occur after a complex onset with medial /l/ or /j/—there are no words like */klué/ or */pjuà/. This is easily explained if this is a medial /w/ that cannot occur with another medial consonant, but difficult to explain as a vowel. There are numerous examples of the other diphthongs that occur after a complex onset, like /k^hlaún/ 'person' or /?ə̆mjaín/ 'clan'.

The word for 'boat' (39) may have been one exception, at least historically:

(39)	Joorman (1906:19)	Hill Asho	Plains Asho	
	hmlui (IPA: m̥lui)	mlú	mlú	'boat'

If Joorman's transcription is accurate, it would create a problematic CCCV word */mlwi/ in my analysis. As (39) shows, however, neither of my consultants pronounced the word as he wrote it. The present pronunciation in the Hill dialect is expected, following the shift from /wi/ to /u/ described in section 3.3.1 above. The Plains dialect normally retains the *Cwi* sequence, but doing so here would create an exceptional CCCV syllable */mlwi/. Reducing the combination from /wi/ to /u/ offers the Plains dialect an option for adapting this word to fit changes to the syllable template.¹⁴

¹⁴ I believe Joorman's transcription is accurate, as I have heard present day Asho speakers also pronounce this with /ui/. I have not analyzed those dialects in detail, but my impression is that they are avoiding /ml/ onsets by pronouncing a syllabic /m/, giving a two syllable word /m/.lwi/.

A second problem with considering this as /u/ rather than /w/ is that it creates a triphthong */uai/ in words like */k^huaín/ 'permission', which I have analyzed as /k^hwaín/. The result would be a CCVVVC maximal syllable template that never actually has any CCVVVC syllables, only CVVVC and CCVVC.

Although it may not work to analyze this as /u/ in present-day Asho, it does appear that this was historically a vowel. This would explain the differing distribution from other C_m consonants: As a vowel, /u/ could follow any consonant, but could only occur with certain other vowel combinations. In fact, in other Chin languages it is typically analyzed as a vowel and not as a consonant. I think it is significant that, although no other Chin language has been shown to have a *Cw* onset, this *is* a combination that is found in Burmese. The development of a *Cw* onset would be yet another example of Asho phonology following the phonology of the more proximate Burmese and diverging from the other, more isolated Chin languages.

5.2.2 Nucleus (V)

The nucleus of an Asho syllable can be either a simple vowel or one of three diphthongs, /ei/, /au/, or /ai/. Just as it was necessary above to consider analyzing the medial semivowels as vowels, it is worthwhile to entertain the possibility that the second vowel of these diphthongs is actually a coda semivowel /j/ or /w/. This analysis is also problematic, however, because there are examples (e.g. /k^hlaún/ 'person' or /t^haín/ 'be unripe') where these sequences are followed by a syllable final /-n/ or /-?/. Treating this as a VC combination rather than diphthongs creates an unnecessarily complex coda. This is once again a contrast with most Kuki-Chin languages (which contain cognates with syllable-final /-j/) but a shared development with Burmese.

5.2.3 Coda (C_f)

Closed syllables in Asho can either end in a glottal plosive /?/ or a nasal /n/. Although most Chin languages have a wide array of possible final consonants, these

64

distinctions have largely been lost in Asho. The final plosives of other Chin languages are all /?/ in Asho, and the various final nasals are all /n/.¹⁵ While I am analyzing this as a homorganic nasal consonant, Asho currently finds itself in an unclear place in the transition between the influence of its Kuki-Chin roots and the influence of Burmese. Although Burmese is sometimes transcribed with a final nasal (e.g. Wheatley 2009), it is more accurate to describe it as vowel nasalization that may be realized as a nasal consonant (i.e. when anticipating the consonant onset of a following syllable). Asho is at present somewhere in between a clear nasal consonant and vowel nasalization. In many utterances from my informants, vowel nasalization was used interchangeably with a clearer nasal consonant in the coda. ¹⁶

Interestingly, Houghton (1892) does list final consonants with place distinctions on many words, indicating that, at that point in the late nineteenth century, Asho still may have preserved some of the Kuki-Chin final stops. Example (40) compares some of Houghton's wordlist (in his own transcription system) showing final stops with the forms in my own data.

¹⁵ Traces of the final stops can still be found in the verbal morphology. What had once been a final /-k/ shows up in the realis marker /kx?/, a form that only follows verbs that end in /?/. For example, VanBik (2009:130) reconstructs a Proto-Kuki-Chin word **thuuk* (IPA: /t^hu:k/) 'deep', which is attested across many present-day Chin languages with a final /k/. In Asho, the word is /t^hû?/, but in the realis mood is /t^hû?-ký?/.

In addition to $/k\gamma?/$ for PKC *-*k*, the Hill dialect also has realis forms of $/t\gamma?/$ (PKC *-*t* and *-*p*) and $/l\gamma?/$ (PKC *-*l* and *-*r*) used with certain verbs, additional evidence of the now-neutralized final stops.

¹⁶ In fact, the development in Asho Chin mirrors the shift in Burmese from syllable final nasals /m/, /n/, and $/\eta/$ (preserved in the Burmese writing system) to the vowel nasalization used today.

(40)		Houghton (1892)	Present Hill Asho	
	a.	akʻling	ņk ^h lìn	'marrow'
	Ъ.	ak'laung″	k ^h laún	'person'
	c.	a'lauk	?ə l aù?	'ladder'
	d.	hèk	hé?	'louse (head)'
	e.	t'ün″-kyit	t ^h únkí?	'thirty'
	f.	a'nu″t ~ 'nu″t	?ə̈ŋý?	'day'

It appears that these distinctions faded during the early part of the twentieth century. For example, no place distinctions are made in the orthography, as seen from an Asho literacy primer from a slightly later time (Samo Hla U 1952). Stern (1962) also observed the weakening of place distinctions in his informant, Samou U Aye:

> Houghton in 1892 distinguished PC final /-m -n -ŋ -t -k/; and in the speech of Samuo [sic] U Aye, who as a boy was Houghton's contemporary, there are still audible two lightly articulated nasals /-n -ŋ/ and unreleased /-t' -k' -?'/, which become released upon and assimilated to a following stop.

> The attitude of Samou U Aye toward these final contrasts is notable. Although his articulation was consistent under repetition, he seemed largely unaware of their existence and, when pressed, claimed that their discrimination was not essential to correct pronunciation. In brief, he reacted in each series like a naive speaker to an allophonic distinction in his own language. It is possible that the light articulation of the nasals and the unreleased character of the final stops provides one indication of their weakening.

> > 66

A younger relative of Samou U Aye, like him fluent in Plains Chin, Burmese, and English, had lost any audible contrast in her syllable-finals of each series.

In my own data, there were just a few words (exemplified in 41) where the final nasal retained a pronunciation of $[\eta]$ (although these are transcribed as /n/ in Houghton's wordlist).

(41)	a.	?ə̃mín [?ə̃míŋ]	'name'
	b.	?ələn [?ələŋ]	'road'

5.3 Minor Syllables

In Asho, minor syllables follow many of the restrictions mentioned above in 5.1. They can only have a single onset consonant followed by a brief schwa vowel (Cə̃) with no coda. The short duration of the schwa compared to other vowels is illustrated by the spectrograms for /?ás^hé/ 'star' and /?ə̃s^hi/ 'sesame' in Figure 8.



Figure 8. /?ás^hé/ 'star' (above) and /?
ə̆s^hì/ 'sesame' (below)

Minor syllables cannot occur on the right word edge (and thus cannot stand alone), but must be followed by a major syllable. Any consonant can occur in the onset of a minor syllable, as illustrated by (42).

(42)	a.	p¹ă∫ò	'bird'
	b.	păţò	'man'
	c.	k ^h ðní	'sun'
	d.	sănaì	'sand'
	e.	hămé?	'chili pepper'

Tone on Asho minor syllables warrants further study. Pitch on lexical minor syllables did not seem to be significant. However, high tone syllables that became minor syllables through iambic weakening (5.3.1 below) often retained a high pitch.

5.3.1 Iambic weakening

Asho Chin has an iambic stress pattern, and the Iambic/Trochaic Law (Hayes 1995:79–85) predicts that iambic languages will either lengthen stressed syllables or shorten unstressed syllables in order to increase the durational contrast within a foot. Asho (like most Southeast Asian languages) chooses to reduce unstressed syllables, and it is this process that often generates the frequent minor syllables.

For disyllabic words like those given in (42), the weakening of the first syllable is lexicalized, and the original nucleus is unrecoverable. However, in a compound of two monosyllabic morphemes where the first syllable has undergone weakening, the details of the process are revealed. Syllables that undergo weakening lose vowel place features, coda consonants, and tone. The weakening is inconsistent but is more common in fast or casual speech, as seen in (43).

(43) Iambic weakening of compounds in casual speech

a.	s ^h ð-n ú	'cow'	$(/s^{h}5/'cow' + /nt/'female')$
b.	jə̆-haún	'beer'	(/jʉ́/ 'beer' + /haún/ 'liquid')
c.	n ə -kì	'buffalo horn'	(/nò/ 'buffalo' + /kì/ 'horn')

5.4 Syllabic Nasal

The Hill dialect of Asho has a syllabic nasal, with an allophone /fi/ that can occur before sonorants or /h/. My speaker of the Plains Dialect never used a syllabic nasal, either omitting that syllable or substituting the common unstressed syllable /?ǎ/. The syllabic nasal assimilates to the place of the following consonant (see also 5.5 below).

Grammatically, this syllabic nasal in the Hill dialect probably stems from an earlier Tibeto-Burman prefix, which has lost much of its semantic function and been lexicalized onto the word with which it occurs. On nouns, it is especially common with body parts (although there are many non-body words where it is present, and many body parts where it is not). A few examples of words where this syllabic nasal shows up in the Hill dialect are given in (44). A phonetic transcription is given to show juncture voicing (44d and 44e) and nasal place assimilation.

(44)	a.	ņţʰín	[n៉tʰín]	'liver'
	b.	ņp ^h jun	[mpʰjùn]	'urine'
	c.	ņs ^h é	[ņsʰé]	'market'
	d.	ņţón	[nˈdjźn]	'calf (of leg)'
	e.	ņkón	[ŋɡón]	'be stupid'
	f.	ņɗé?	[ņdé?]	'ground, earth'
	g.	ņl ú	[ĥl <mark>ú</mark>]	'head'
	h.	ņŋó	[ກຸ່ກຸວ໌]	'warthog'
	i.	ņh ù	[ĥhʉ̀]	'open (tr)'

The Burmese-based orthography (typically most reflective of the Plains dialect) includes a grapheme for the nasal in (44c), but not for any of the others.

The nasal also appears before many verbs that have a causative meaning (as in 44i). Without delving into the grammar of the prefix here, it appears to function similarly to the prefix *m*- of Daai Chin, which can likewise appear before names of body parts and before causative/transitive verbs (So-Hartmann 2009:55–56; Matisoff 2003:117–119).

Notice that this syllabic nasal has a different pronunciation than a minor syllable with /n/ in the onset (/n \breve{a} /). For consonants in minor syllables, including /n/, there is always an epenthetic \breve{a} between the consonant and the following syllable. There is no epenthesis with a syllabic nasal, which simply has the sonorant nasal as the nucleus. The spectrograms in Figure 9, despite some background noise on the recording, still provide a clear contrast between the syllabic nasal in /nkó/ 'twenty' and a minor syllable in /n \breve{a} kó/ 'rainbow'.


Figure 9. /nkó/ 'twenty' (above) and /někó/ 'rainbow' (below)

5.5 Assimilation of /?/ and /n/

In compounds or in connected speech, a glottal plosive in a coda may fully assimilate to a following consonant, producing a lengthened form of that consonant.

(45) a.	bú?-kỳ?	[búk:ŷ?]	'cook (v)'
b.	wó?-sò	[wósːò]	'piglet'

A nasal in a syllable rime (i.e. a coda nasal or syllabic nasal) will assimilate to the place of a following consonant. (However, there is obviously no assimilation if a coda nasal is pronounced only as nasalization on the preceding vowel, as discussed above in 5.2.3.) Examples involving the syllabic nasal are in (44) above, and examples with nasal codas are given in (46).

(46) a.	waún-ŋŷ?	[waúŋːŷ?]	'enter'
b.	k ^h ònk ^h ó	[k ^h òŋk ^h ó]	'grave'
с.	∫ánɓòn	[∫ámɓòn]	'corn'

5.6 Summary

The present-day Asho syllable is complicated to describe, due to the external influences that have acted on it. I have described it here as [CCVC], which matches the syllable structure of Burmese. However, there are numerous places where it retains traces of its historical syllable structure—a structure that looks more like other Kuki-Chin languages. The interaction between this historical patterning and the influence of Burmese explains most of the problematic areas, such as the irregular distribution of *Cw*- onsets, the issues with /ui/ vowels for some dialects, and the occasional pronunciation of final nasals as velar nasals. The influence of Burmese on Asho phonology will be discussed more in the next chapter.

CHAPTER 6 TYPOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Perhaps most interesting about Asho phonology is an observation that has been repeated throughout this paper: In many ways, changes in the phonology of Asho have followed the pattern of Burmese rather than the (genetically) more closely related Kuki-Chin languages.

The commonalities with Burmese are more pronounced in the Plains dialect, which has been in even greater contact with Burmese. Almost anytime that the Plains dialect diverges from the Hill dialect, it does so in a way that shares features with Burmese. This chapter will discuss the most notable commonalities between Asho and Burmese (as described by Wheatley 2009), some of which have already been mentioned in earlier chapters.

6.1 Consonants

There are two significant shifts in Asho consonants that have moved the language closer to Burmese. The first, seen only in the Plains dialect, is the development of voiced plosives. The second is the palatalization of velars, which is found (for at least some speakers) in both the Hill and Plains dialects.

6.1.1 Development of voiced plosives

Southern Chin languages typically do not have voiced plosives, although [b] and [d] may be allophones of the implosives /b/ and /d/. Plains Asho is unique, then, in its

development of /b/, /d/, and /g/, in addition to the implosives /b/ and /d/. Although other Southern Chin languages do not have these voiced plosive phonemes, all three of these are phonemes in Burmese.

6.1.2 Velar palatalization

In Burmese, a comparison of written and spoken forms reveals some of the historical shifts in the language. One shift is seen in the palatalization of velar consonants before /j/, producing postalveolar phonemes /tʃ/, /tʃ^h/ and /dʒ/ (see Wheatley 2009:729). Asho also has palatalization of velar plosives, although it can occur before any front vowel and not only the semivowel /j/. It is also possible that postalveolar affricates in Asho are moving toward phonemic status, as discussed in section 2.1.2 above.

6.2 Vowels

Regarding vowels, there are at least three ways that Asho is similar to Burmese. Neither Asho nor Burmese have contrastive vowel length, and each of them has vowels that are restricted to only occurring in open or closed syllables. The Plains dialect of Asho bears further similarity to Burmese by shifting the Hill dialect's /ʉ/ and /y/ to match Burmese phonemes.

6.2.1 Lack of vowel length

Kuki-Chin languages typically have a low number of vowels but contrastive vowel length. For example, Falam (Central Chin) has five simple vowels and two diphthongs (Khar Thuan 2008:28). Daai (Southern Chin) has seven vowels (So-Hartmann 2009:41– 42). But the possibility of long vowels—found in both Falam and Daai—essentially doubles the number of contrastive nuclei. Asho does not have contrastive vowel length, although its 14 vowels still provide about the same number of vowel contrasts as other

75

Kuki-Chin languages. But this is another example of Asho patterning like Burmese which does not have vowel length contrasts—rather than other Kuki-Chin languages.

6.2.2 Vowel restrictions in open and closed syllables

Not only does Burmese not have long vowels, but its vowels are restricted to certain syllable types. Table 14 shows one system (Wheatley 2009:726) for pairing the approximately 14 phonetic forms, although it differs somewhat from the pairs used in the orthography.¹⁷

Open	Nasalized	With final glottal
i	I	I
е	еі	еі
3		З
а	а	а
Э		
	аі	аг
	au	au
0	ΟU	00
u	U	U

Table 14. Burmese vowels by syllable type

In Burmese, vowels in nasalized or closed syllables tend to be either a diphthong or a more central vowel. Asho does not exhibit quite this degree of separation based on syllable type, but there are some analogous situations. The more central vowels /I/ and /Y/ do not occur in open syllables. The Plains dialect also turns the Hill /i/ in closed

¹⁷ I arrive at 14 by counting /a/ in open syllables as distinct from /a/ in closed or nasalized syllables, where it is "slightly more fronted and centralised" (Watkins 2001:293) and could more appropriately be transcribed as /ɐ/.

The orthography groups /e1/ with /i/, /au/ with /o/, and /a1/ with /o/.

syllables into the diphthong /ei/. While the distinction is less pronounced than in Burmese, Asho does show some preference for centralized or diphthongized vowels in closed syllables.

6.2.3 Movement toward Burmese vowel pronunciation

One other aspect of vowel phonology for Plains Asho also show the influence of Burmese. As discussed in 3.3.1 above, Plains Asho has shifted the pronunciation of the Hill dialects /ʉ/ and /ɣ/ further back to /u/ and /u/. Significantly, Burmese does not have either /ʉ/ or /ɣ/ phonemes, but does have /u/ and /u/. The change in pronunciation in the Plains dialect could easily be explained as movement toward pronunciations that are found in Burmese.

6.3 Syllable Structure

Asho's syllable structure is unlike any other Chin language, but it is identical to the syllable structure of Burmese. Wheatley (2009:725) describes Burmese syllable structure as in (47):

(47) $C_i (C_m) V (C_f) T$

In Burmese, the initial consonant, vowel, are tone are required, and V can be either a simple vowel or diphthong. The only allowable C_f are /n/ and /?/ (although in Burmese these are debatably analyzed as nasalization and glottalization rather than coda consonants, as Wheatley acknowledges). All of this is equivalent to the description of the Asho syllable given in (35), but quite divergent from other Southern Chin languages. Daai, for example, does not have an obligatory onset and does not have complex onsets. It has also been analyzed with complex codas like /-j?/ or /-w?/. In all

77

of these ways, the Asho syllable consistently patterns like Burmese rather than like Daai or other Kuki-Chin languages.

6.4 Summary

Taken individually, each of these similarities could be written off as incidental. Together, however, they point to a general trend: When Asho diverges from other Kuki-Chin languages, it does so in a way that patterns similarly to Burmese. And when the Hill and Plains dialects of Asho are different from each other, typically the Plains dialect is even closer to Burmese. All of this is what is expected based on degree of contact. Asho people have lived closer to Burmese areas than other Chin people have, and the Plains Asho have had greater proximity than the Hill Asho.

CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSION

This thesis has attempted to provide a preliminary overview of the phonology of Asho Chin, building on studies from over a century before. There are two significant observations that I would like to reiterate in conclusion.

First, I showed in Chapter 4 that the two-tone system of Asho—an unusual pattern among Kuki-Chin languages—fits neatly into the tone patterns that Luce (1985) first suggested. It is more similar to the tonal systems of Northern Chin languages than to Central Chin languages, supporting Peterson's grouping of Northern and Southern Chin into a "Peripheral" branch. It also highlights the dissimilarity of Khumi tone and confirms a distinction Khumi and Cho subgroupings within Southern Chin.

Secondly, in many aspects of phonology, Asho shows similarities with Burmese rather than the Kuki-Chin languages that are genetically more closely related. This is evidenced clearly in Asho's palatalization of velar consonants and loss of most coda consonants. The similarities are even stronger within the Plains dialect, where one also finds the development of voiced plosives. Asho's geographical proximity to Burmesespeakers, which is what allowed Asho to be the first Kuki-Chin language to receive linguistic study, has also been one of the most significant factors in the language's development.

APPENDIX

ASHO WORD LIST

The following is a selection of Asho words based on my recordings of the Hill dialect. For words that undergo velar palatalization or juncture voicing, I have given a phonetic transcription in addition to the phonemic transcription.

1	1PL, we	mè
2	1sg, I	kè [t∫è]
3	2pl, you	naùn.mè
4	2sg, you	naùn
5	be able	?ə̆.saì.kʰó-fiớ?
6	abstain	?əૅ.∫ón-ŋŕ?
7	add	ņ.p ^h ón-ŋứ?
8	adult	k ^h laún. l én
9	be afraid	?ð.kí-jŕ? [?ð.t∫í-jŕ?]
10	air, wind	k ^h lí
11	be alive	hén-ŋỳ?
12	all	pwé.pwé
13	ancestor	?ə̆.pó?.?ə̆.paì?
14	be angry	ņ.mán.pó?.kỳ?
15	ant	mlìn
16	area under house	?ìn.kò
17	arm	?ə̃.kù?
18	armpit	?ə̃.∫aù.kʰó
19	arrow	?ə̃.lə̃.t̪ʰɔ̀
20	be ashamed	∫aú?-?é-jŕ?

21	ashes	mè.ŋaù / mè.ŋ́é
22	be awake	kʰaí?-kጵ?
23	axe	pó?.ț ú
24	back	?ə̃.ŧìn
25	backward	?əૅ.ņʉ̀-fiá / ?əૅ.ņʉ̀-pɔ́? [?əૅ.ņʉ̀-bɔ́?]
26	bag	?ə̃.jaú?
27	bake in ashes	?ə̆.p ^h ʉ̀n-nứ?
28	bamboo	jó
29	bamboo shoot	?ă.țwé
30	banana	ņó.ț ^h é
31	bark (v)	ņ.naù?-kŕ?
32	bark (tree)	tʰìn.hó?
33	barking deer	s ^h aù?.k ^h í
34	bat	p ^h ð.łaù?
35	bathe	ţù.?əૅ.łó-fié-jớ?
36	beak, bill	?ə̃.món
37	bear	?ə̆.hón
38	be beautiful	pwé-jé-jŷ?
39	bee	k ^h wé
40	beer	jú / jð.haún

41	behind, back	?əॅ.ņ ù -fiá
42	(side)	1-614 14 :50
42	Delch	K"ll.KWE-JY7
43	believe	jún-ŋé-jŕ?
44	bell	s ^h èn.lén
45	belly	?ə̃.p ú ?
46	bend	kù?-kứ?
47	beside	ņ.pè-já [ṃ.bè-já]
48	betel nut	kón.ț ^h ź
49	between	ņ.klá-lá [ŋɡlálá]
50	be big	łén-nỳ?
51	bile, gall	?ə̃.m̥ỳ?
52	bird	pă.∫ò
53	bite	ņ.só-fiŕ? [ņ.zó-fiŕ?]
54	be bitter	k ^h ò-fiứ?
55	black	?ð.ní?
56	bladder	ņ.p ^h jùn.?ú?
57	blanket	∫ó
58	bleed	?ə̃.ț ^h ì.s ^h ò?-țứ?
59	be blind	bé-jỳ?
60	blind person	mí?.m ú
61	blood	?ə̃.ț ^h ì
62	blow	ņ.m̥ù?-t̪ứ? / ṇ.m̥ù?- kứ?
63	be blue	pjá-lỳ?
64	be blunt	ņ ɔ́-lờ?
65	boar (male	wó2 hàn
03	pig)	wor.nan
66	boat	mlú
67	body	?ə̆.pùn

68	boil	?ə.plʉ?-kứ?
69	bone	?ə̆.jó
70	be born	t̪aù?-?é-jứ?
71	bottom	ņ.sř [ņ.zř]
72	bow (as in greeting)	?əૅ.lʉ́.kùn-nÝ?
73	bow (weapon)	?əॅ.lí
74	bowl	ņ.ţć? [ģ.dć?]
75	boy	pə̃.t̯ò.só [pə̃.t̪ò.zó]
76	boyfriend, girlfriend	?í?.pwí
77	brain	?əૅ.lʉ́n.kʰlò?
78	branch	tʰìn.ɓaì?
79	break (tr)	?ə̃.k ^h lè?-kớ?
80	breast	?ə̃.sú
81	breastbone	?ə̃.kaín.jó
82	breath	?ə̃.∫ɔ́.ņ.ᡎló-fié-jጵ?
83	breathe	?əૅ.∫ɔ̀.ᡎló.fié.jŕ?
84	brother (older)	?ə.țá
85	brother (younger), sister (younger)	nð.?aú / naú
86	brother-in- law	?ìn.kwć
87	be brown	ງາ⁄າ-1່າ?
88	buffalo	nò
89	bull (male cow)	s ^h ð.nð.s ^h ú
90	bundle (n)	?ă.pó?

91	burn (intr), blaze	?ò-fiý?
92	burn (tr)	mè.?ə̆.sʰí-jứ?
93	bury	ņ.mlú?-tŕ?
94	buy	?ə̃.łé-jŷ?
95	calf (of leg)	ņ.ţón.ţaú? [ʰ̯.d̯ón.t̪aú?]
96	calf (young cow)	sʰɔ́.sò [sʰɔ́.zò]
97	cane, rattan	?ə̃.mɛ́n
98	carry in arms	?ə̃.bí-jŷ?
99	carry on back	?ə̃.p ú -wỳ?
100	carry on head	?ə̃.kɔ́?-tŷ?
101	cat	mìn.sán [mìn.zán]
102	catch	?mòn-ný?
103	cave	k ^h ó
104	cemetery	ņ.só [ņ.zó]
105	chest	?əॅ.kaín.saìn [?əॅ.kaín.zaìn]
106	chew	n.k ^h èn-né-jý? [nt∫ ^h èn-né-jý?]
107	chick	?á.sò [?á.zò]
108	chicken	?á
109	child, baby	s ^h ð.mí
110	chin	ņ.kʰú?t̪ጵ
111	choose	?ə̆.hɛ´-jứ?
112	city	ņ.ml ú
113	clan	ņ.k ^h ón
114	clap (hands)	?ə̆.kù?.ɓeí-jé-jớ?
115	claw, fingernail	?ə̆.kú?.t̪ìn

116	close, shut (tr)	ņ.bín-ŋŕ?.kʰá-lŕ?
117	cloud	?ə̃.mé.seì
118	cobra	p ^h ð.s ^h ó?
119	cockroach	pə̆.k ^h aún
120	coconut palm	?ʉ́n.jón
121	be cold	jón-ŋé-jś?
122	color	?ə̃.jaún
123	colt	s ^h eí.sò [s ^h eí.zò]
124	come	lò-fiứ?
125	be confused	∫ ù ?-kứ?
126	cook	?ə̃.b ú ?-kŕ?
127	cooking pot (earthenware)	ņ.dé?.?àn
128	be cool	jè-jý?
129	cool season	s ^h í?.k ^h ó
130	corn	∫àn.ɓón
131	corpse	jò?
132	be correct	m̥ɔ́n-nɣ͡?
133	cough	ņ.k ^h ú -fiý?
134	count	?ə̆.s ^h è?-tứ?
135	country, ethnic area	né
136	cousin	p ú ?.?ó?.kaì?
137	cover (v)	ņ.k ^h ýn-ný?
138	cow	s ^h ó
139	cow (female)	s ^h ð.n ù
140	crab	?əॅ.?wè
141	crest (bird)	ņ.ţ ^h ì

142	crocodile	?ə̃.s ^h án
143	cry	ká?- <u>t</u> ỳ?
144	cut (hair)	?ə̆.jàn-né-jŕ?
145	cut (tr)	?ə̆.kʰɔ̆-lớ?
146	dance	lón-nỳ?
147	be dark (outside)	∫ ú n-ŋỳ?
148	daughter	səૅ.ņ ú
149	daughter-in- law	ņ.naú.ņ ú
150	day	?ə̃.ņÝ?
151	be dead	dʉ́.ņì-jŷ?
152	be deaf	?əૅ.ņó.paùn-ŋś?
153	death	ɗ ú -ɗỳn
154	decide	ņ.s ^h ún.p ^h lá?-ţứ?
155	decrease (intr)	jò?-ᢩtŕ?
156	be deep	t̪ ^h ʉ̀?-kứ?
157	die	d ú -fiỳ?
158	be difficult	keí.kaìn-ŋŕ? [tʃeí.kaìŋːŕ?]
159	dig	?əૅ.sứ-fiừ?
160	be dirty (clothes)	só?.saí?-kỳ?
161	ditch	ņ.mlón
162	be dizzy	mýn-ný?
163	do, make	?ə̃.saì-jŕ?
164	dog	?ù
165	door	ɗaùn.k ^h ó
166	dove	wə̆.kʰó

167	down	?ə̃.kɔ̀
168	dream	?ə̃.maùn.taù?-?é-jŕ?
169	dress	?ə̃.wɔ́?-t̪ứ?
170	drink	?ò?-kŕ?
171	drum (n)	s ^h àn.plón
172	be drunk (alcohol)	?ə̃.lá?.ņ.mwé-jỳ?
173	be dry	sá-lờ?
174	dry	?ə̆.pʰý-fiý?
175	dust	t̪əັ.m̥ú?
176	dwell	?òn-ný?
177	ear	?əॅ.ņón.kaùn
1//	Cal	[?ə̆.ņóŋ.gaùn]
178	east	?əૅ.heí
179	be easy	lweí-j ŷ ?
180	eat	?é-jŕ?
181	egg	ţwé
182	eggplant	ŋaún.țʰé
183	eggshell	?ə̆.hó?
184	eight	s ^h è?
185	eighteen (18)	ŋ̊á.sʰɛ̀ʔ
186	eighty (80)	s ^h è?.kí? [s ^h è?.tʃí?]
187	elbow	bón.kí [bón.t∫í]
188	elephant	mú
189	eleven (11)	ŋá.?ó?
190	be empty	?əॅ.lɔ́?
191	enter	waún-ŋጵ?
192	ewe	ţò.n ú
193	exchange	?əૅ.țʰɔ̆-lé-jɤ́? / ?əૅ.țʰɔ̆- lɤ̀?

194	excrement	?è?
195	eye	?ð.mí?
196	eyebrow	?ə̃.mí?.k ^h ʉ́
197	eyelid	?ə̃.mì?.hó?
198	face	?ə̃.m̥wɛ̀.saìn
199	fail	s ^h ún-né-jỳ?
200	fall	klò-fiứ?
201	be far	łò-fiŕ?
202	be fast	jaìn-ŋứ?
203	fasten, bind (load)	ņ.k ^h ùn-nś?
204	be fat	t̪ʰaú-wỳ? / wà?-t̪ጵ?
205	fat, grease	?ə̃.t̪ʰaú
206	father	?ə̃.pá
207	father's brother (uncle)	p ^h aú?
208	father's older sister (aunt)	sí.łén
209	father's younger sister (aunt)	sí.sò [sí.zò]
210	fear (n)	kì-jé-ɗỳn [t∫ì-jé-ɗỳn]
211	feather, fur, body hair	?ə̃.m̥ɔ̀
212	feed (animals)	?ə̃.hɔ́-lớ?
213	fence (n)	són.ján
214	ferment (v)	j ú .?ð.sʰaìn.ŋứ?
215	fever	?ə̃.kó

216	be few	né-jừ?
217	field (dry)	lờ
218	field (paddy)	?ə̃.laì
219	be fierce	?ə̆.mán.pó?-kỳ?
220	fifteen (15)	ŋ̊á.ŋ̊ó
221	fifty (50)	haù?.kí? [haù?.t∫í?]
222	fig	?ə̆.hè.t̥ʰź
223	fill	ņ.p ^h lé-jŕ?
224	finger	?ə̆.kù?.saín
225	fire	mè
226	firewood	?ə̆.hè
227	fish	ŋò
228	fishhook	ņ.k ^h á
229	fishing net	?ə̃.wá
230	fist	?ə̆.kú?.ŋ`vn
231	five	ຖໍວ໌
232	five hundred	p ^h ià.nó
	(500)	P Juijo
233	flea	?u.₄í
234	flesh, meat	?ə̃.s ^h ò
235	floor	?ìn.mlaí
236	flow	ţù.lón-ŋé-jś?
237	flower	pàn.pá
238	fly (n)	?ə̆.pjɔ̀
239	fly (v)	paí-jỳ?
240	foam	ţù.wón
241	fold (v)	ņ.k ^h lé?-tٟx́? / ņ.k ^h ó?-
242	food	KÝ?
242	1000	16.101

243	forget	?ə̆.ɲ̊ɔ´-ɦ͡ɤ? / ?ə̆.ɲ̊ɔ´-lɤ́?
244	forty (40)	m̥lè?.kí? [m̥lè?.tʃí?]
245	forward	?ə̃.m̧òn.kón-pó? [?ə̃.m̯òn.gón-bó?]
246	four	mlí
247	fourteen (14)	ŋ̊á.m̧lí
248	friend	t ^h aí.pwí / ?òn.pwí
249	frighten	kó-fiỳ?
250	frog	?əॅ.? ù
251	front (of	?ə̆.m̧ɔ́n.kɔ́n-ná
2.51	something)	[?ə̃.m̥ɔ́n.gɔ́n-ná]
252	fruit	ţ ^h ìn.ţ ^h é
253	fry	?əૅ.t∫aú-fiớ?
254	be full	plé-jỳ?
255	garlic	k ^h wè.s ^h ón.ɓò?
256	get up (from bed)	tʰờ‑ĥớ?
257	ghost	k ^h ð.jaí
258	gift	laú?.s ^h ón
259	ginger	?ə̃.ț ^h ín
260	girl	nă.ţò.só [nă.ţò.zó]
261	give	?ə̃.pè?-kŕ?
262	gizzard	?ð.mlć?
263	go	sí?-ṯỳ?
264	go down	kòn-nứ?
265	go out	s ^h wà?-ṯứ?
266	go up	kwé-jỳ?
267	goat	meì
268	gold	hà
269	gong	món

270	be good	pwé-jỳ?
271	granary	?ə̃.k ^h é [?ə̃.t∫ ^h é]
272	grandchild, niece, nephew	ţù
273	grass	mlaí?
274	grave	k ^h ùn.k ^h ó
275	be great, be powerful	łén.mlá?-ţỳ?
276	green	?ə̆.sìn
277	grind	?ə̆.kì?-kớ?
278	groan (with pain)	ký-fié-jỳ?
279	ground, earth	ņ.dé?
280	grow up	łén-lò-fiứ?
281	growl	ŋò-fié-jŷ?
282	guest, visitor	?é.s ^h é
283	hair (head)	?ə̃.s ^h òn
284	handle	?ə̃.lén
285	be happy, be joyful	pjó-lờ?
286	be hard, firm	saìn-ŋứ?
287	hate	ņ.mún-ŋŕ?
288	have a fever	kò-lứ? / kò-fiứ?
289	have, possess	mwé-jŕ?
290	hawk	?ə̃.mʉ́
291	head	?əૅ.lʉ́
292	headache	?əૅ.lʉ́.meí-jỳ?
293	heal (tr), cure (v)	?ə̆.kú-fié-jý?
294	be healthy, be	kaìn-ŋứ?

	well	
295	heap (n)	?ð.pýn
296	heap up (v)	?ă.pýn-ný?
297	hear	jaù?-kŕ?
298	heart	ņ.ml ú n
299	be heavy	jeí-jỳ?
300	heel	?əૅ.k ^h ùn.s ú [?ə̆.k ^h un.z ú]
301	he-goat, billy	meì.hàn
302	heifer	s ^h ð.nð.sò [s ^h ð.nð.zò]
303	hen	?ə̃.n ù
304	here	ní-jà
305	hide oneself	wì-jớ?
306	hit, beat	?ə̃.kón-ŋỳ?
307	hold	k ^h é?-kŕ? [tʃ ^h ék:ŕ?]
308	hole	?ə̃.pŕ? / ?ə̃.k ^h ó
309	honey	k ^h wé.haún
310	hoof	k ^h ð.seí
311	hope (v)	?əૅ.lòn.sʰò?-?é-jứ?
312	horn	?ə̆.kì [?ə̆.tʃì]
313	hornbill	kó?.kĕ.laín
314	horse	s ^h eí
315	host	?ìn.mó
316	be hot	łó?-kỳ?
317	hot season	k ^h á.k ^h ó
318	house	?ìn
319	hundred	p ^h já.ló? / p ^h já.?ó?
320	be hurt	mí-jŕ?
321	husband, wife	pəັ.∫á

322	hut (in the field)	pén
323	be impatient	?ə̃.mlʉ́n.sʰaú-lá?
324	in front of, before	?ə̃.m̧òn.kón-ná [?ə̃.m̥òn.gón-ná]
325	increase	ţ ú -fiỳ?
326	insect	pú
327	inside	?ə̃.d́ʉ́?-kà
328	be intelligent	p ^h lé?- <u>t</u> ỳ?
329	intestinal worm	?ə̃.s ^h én
330	intestines	?ə̃.k ^h é [?ə̃.t∫ ^h é]
331	iron	ņ.ţ ^h í
332	be itchy	ņ.ţʰaú?-?é-jŕ?
333	joint	?ə̃.s ^h é?
334	jump	dòn-ŋứ?
335	jungle	tʰìn.kʰaún
336	kernel (of corn)	?əॅ.?ú
337	kid (child goat)	meì.sò [meì.zò]
338	kill	?əॅ.ț ú ?-kớ?
339	kitten	mìn.sán.sò
		[mìn.zán.zò]
340	knee	ņ.kʰʉ́?.?ə̃.lʉ́
341	knife	?ə̃.sín
342	know	?ə̃.m҉á?-t̪ứ?
343	knuckle	?əૅ.kú?.sʰɛ́?
344	ladder	?əॅ.4aù?
345	ladle	k ^h ð.pè
346	lamb	ţò.só [ţò.zó]

347	language	раú
348	laugh	?ə̆.nú-jỳ?
349	be lazy	d``n-ný?
350	leaf	tʰìn.ņó
351	learn	?ə̆.sò?-?é-jŕ?
352	leech (ground)	?əॅ.wɔ́?
353	leech (water)	ņ.mlì?
354	left side	kʰè.t̪òn [t∫ʰè.t̪òn]
355	leg	?ə̃.k ^h ó
356	lick	?ə̃.mlè?-?é-jŕ?
357	lie down	t̯ún.mlún-ŋé-jỳ?
358	life	?ə̃.s ^h aú?
359	lift	?əॅ.t̪á-l͡ʔ? / ?ə̆.t̪á-fi͡ʔ?
360	light (n)	?ə̃.wá / ?ə̃.waín
361	be light (weight)	∫aùn-ŋứ?
362	lime (for betel chew)	ţ ^h ún
363	lip	ņ.món
364	listen	ɲè-jŕ?
365	liver	ņ.ț ^h ín
366	load, burden (n)	kó?-dỳn
367	be long	s ^h aù-fiứ?
368	longyi (female)	ůj
369	longyi (male)	k ^h è [t∫ ^h è]
370	look at	∫wà?-ự∕x?
371	be loose	kʰón-ŋጵʔ [t∫ʰóŋ:ጵʔ]

372	be lost,	^b 1ú2_b <u>እ</u> 2
572	disappear	K 101-K 8 1
373	louse (head)	hé?
374	love	ņ.mlá?.nò-fiŕ? /
		k ^h é?-kŕ? [tʃ ^h ék:ŕ?]
375	lung	ņ.sò? [ņ.zò?]
376	mad person	?ə̃.jó
377	malaria	haí?.kó
378	man	pə̃.t̯ò
379	mango	?ə̆.hwé.ț ^h é
380	be many	n ù -ĥŕ?
381	mare (female horse)	s ^h eí.n ù
382	market (n)	ņ.s ^h é
383	marrow	ņ.k ^h lìn
384	master	?ə̃.mó
385	mat	p ^h ð.?í
386	medicine	təॅ.laí
387	middle	?ə̃.laí-jà /
		?ə̃.laí.mlʉ́n-ŋà
388	milk (cow) (n)	s ^h ó.sú [s ^h ó.zú]
389	mist/fog	ţù.p ^h ú
390	mix (v)	?əૅ.ņʉ́-fiỳ?
391	money	pé.sá [pé.zá]
392	monkey	jón
393	moon	kʰló
394	morning	?ə̃.ŋò
395	mortar	?ə̃.s ^h ùn
396	mosquito	?ə̆.haìn

397	mother	?ð.? ú
398	mother's brother	pú
399	mother's sister	ņ.dí?
400	mountain	ņ.sʉ̀n [ņ.zʉ̀n]
401	mouth	haù?.k ^h ó
402	mushroom	?ə̃.pò
403	name	?ə̆.mín [?ə̆.míŋ]
404	be narrow	sè?-kŕ?
405	navel	ņ.m̥laí
406	be near	sèn-ŋứ?
407	neck	?əૅ.lə̆.bứn
408	need (v)	l ú -fiỳ?
409	needle	?ə̃.p ^h én
410	NEG	la?
411	neighbor	?ìn.sèn.pwí [?ìn.zèn.bwí]
412	nest	pe∫ò.ɓ ù
413	new	?ə̃.ț ^h á
414	news	s ^h ð.țaún
415	night	?ð.m ú
416	nine	kò?
417	nineteen (19)	ŋํá.kò?
418	ninety (90)	kò?.kí? [kò?.t∫í?]
419	nose	ņ.nə̃.ț ^h ó
420	notice (v)	s ^h ð.țí.țaù?-mí-j⁄s?
421	now	t ú .fià
422	oil	?ə̃.s ^h ì
423	old	?ə̃.p ^h én

424	be old	?ú-fiỳ?
	(person)	
425	one	?5?
426	be open	pwaín-ŋứ?
427	open	ņ.h ù -hŕ?
428	opium	ņ.pìn [ṃ.bìn]
429	otter	?ə̆.hàn
430	outside	?ə̆.plaún-ŋá
431	over, above	?əૅ.hɔ́n-ná
432	palm	?ə̆.kù?.p ^h ón
433	pangolin	s ^h ð.p ^h ù
434	papaya	s ^h èn.p ^h ò.ț ^h é
435	parrot	?əૅ.kì [?ə̆.tʃì]
436	be patient	?ə̃.ml ú n.s ^h aù-fi⁄x?
437	pay	?ə̆.mɔ́n.pè?-kɤ́?
438	peel (v)	?ə̆.hó?.?ə̆.k ^ʰ òn-ŋứ?
439	person	kʰlaún
440	pick up	ņ.s ^h í?-kứ?
441	pig	wó?
442	pigeon	waù?.kʰó
443	piglet	wó?.sò
444	pity (n)	mén.s ^h é-ɗỳn
445	plant	?əૅ.lìn-ŋŕ?
446	play	mlaù?-?é-jỳ?/.saí?- kỳ?
447	pluck (feathers)	?ð.p ^h ù?-kứ?
448	poison	?ə̃.s ^h í?
449	be poor	s ^h ún.laí-jỳ?
450	porcupine	?ə̃.k ú

451	pot	?àn
452	pot (for	tùn sú [tùn zú]
132	water)	çun.su [çun.zu]
453	pound	?ə̆.naún-ŋứ?
454	pour	?əૅ.lòn-nứ?
455	price	?ə̃.món
456	pull	ņ.t̪aìn-ŋứ? [ʰ̯.d̪aìŋ- ŋứ?]
457	puppy	?ù.sò [?ù.zò]
458	pus	?əૅ.ņaí
459	push	bù?-ký?
460	put, place	?əૅ.t̪aù?-kớ?
461	rabbit	?ă.weí
462	rain (n)	jó
463	rainbow	nð.kó.hán
464	rainy season	só.k ^h ó
465	ram	<u>t</u> ò.hàn
466	rat	pe∫ ù
467	red	?əૅ.s ^h én
468	red pepper	hə̆.mɛ́?.țʰɛ́
469	rejoice	?ón.mlaú-fiỳ?
470	relative (by blood)	mó.s ^h ờ
471	rest	ņ.d`rn-n´r?
472	return	bó.lò-fiŕ?
473	rib	ņ.ņaú?.jó
474	rice (cooked)	б ú
475	rice (paddy)	saún
476	rice (pounded)	?ə̃.saùn

		× 1 X
477	rice husk	wð.kỳ
478	be rich	t̪ʰón-nỳ?
479	right side	laí?.pán
480	ring	k ^h wè.sí? [k ^h wè.zí?]
481	ringworm	pú
482	be ripe	mín-nỳ?
483	river	mlé?
484	road/path	?əૅ.lòn [?ə̆.lòŋ]
485	roast	?əॅ.laú-fiŕ?
486	roof	ņ.kl ù n [ŋ.glʉ̀n]
487	rooster	?əૅ.lú
488	root	t ^h ìn.j ù n
489	rope	?əૅ.jwè
490	be rotten	t̪ ^h ʉ̀-fiý?
491	be round	pə̆.lún-nỳ?
492	rub	?əॅ.t̪ứ?-kứ?
493	run	sòn-nứ?
494	rust (n)	ņ.ţ ^h ì.?è?
495	be sad	wòn.nè-jứ?
496	saliva	ņ.sə.ţù [ņ.zə.ţù]
497	salt	ņ.sí [ņ.zí]
498	be salty	ŋán-nỳ? / k ^h lún-nỳ?
499	sand	s ^h ð.naì
500	sap	?ə.plì
501	say	paú-fiỳ?
502	scar, mark	?ə̃.wɛ́.t̪wé / ?ə̃.m̧aí
503	scratch oneself	?ə̃.k ^h ò?-țớ?
504	sea	paín.laí

505	season	k ^h ó
506	see	m ú -fiý?
507	seed (tree)	tʰìn.t̥ʰɛ́.?ú
508	sell	?ə̃.jeí-jŕ?
509	set free	?əॅ.ɬɔ´?-țứ?
510	seven	s ^h eí
511	seventeen (17)	ҧ゚á.s ^ʰ eí
512	seventy (70)	s ^h eí.kí? [s ^h eí.dʒí?]
513	sew	?əૅ.kʰwé-jứ?
514	shadow, shade	?əॅ.lf?
515	be shallow	plŕ-fiŕ?
516	shame (n)	∫aú?-?é-dỳn
517	be sharp	hà?-ṯứ?
518	sharpen	?əૅ.t̯ò-fiứ?
519	shave	?ə̃.ján-nỳ?
520	sheep	ţò
521	she-goat, nanny goat	meì.n ù
522	shin	ņ.ţźn.jó [ʰ̯.d̯źn.jó]
523	shine	wá-lỳ?
524	shiver	só?-?é-jỳ?
525	shoot	?ə̃.k ^h ò?-t̪ứ?
526	be short	nèn-ný?
	(height)	
527	be short	swè-jứ?
528	shoulder	?ă pà
529	shout	hè paín-ný?
525	Silvut	nc.pani-i) • i

530	show	ņ.p ^h lá-lứ?
531	shrimp	kŏ.kón [t∫ŏ.kón]
532	be shy	∫aú?-?é-jѷ?
533	side (of	ņ.pè-já [ṃ.bè-já] /
	something)	ņ.bén-ŋá [m.béŋ-ŋá]
534	silver	hèn
535	sing	?ə̆.haú-fiớ?
536	sister (older)	?ə̃.sì
537	sister-in-law	?ə̃.m⁄r
538	sit (remain)	?òn-nứ?
539	six	s ^h ó?
540	sixteen (16)	ŋ̊á.sʰóʔ
541	sixty (60)	s ^h ó?.kí? [s ^h ó?.t∫í?]
542	skeleton	?ə̃.jó.pÝn
543	skin	?əॅ.?ùn
544	skull	?əૅ.lʉ́.jó / ?əૅ.lə̆.jó
545	sky	?ə̃.mé
546	slave	ņ.mjá
547	sleep	?í?-țŷ?
548	sleeping area	?í?.d`rn
549	be sleepy	ŋ ù -ƙứ?
550	be slow	ţɔ̀.mú-jŕ? / ţɔ̀.mú- fiŕ?
551	be small	ņ.mlí?-kỳ?
552	smell (tr)	?ə̆.ná?.kʰɔ̀n-ný?
553	be smelly	nón-nỳ?
554	smoke (n)	mè.k ^h ù
555	snail	kə̆.kò?
		[t∫ð.kò?.~.kð.kò?]
556	snake	pʰɔ́

557	sneeze	ņ.s ^h eí-jŕ?
558	snore	ņ.ŋ̊á-lỳ?
559	be soft	nè-jŕ?
560	sole (of foot)	?ə̃.k ^h ə̃.p ^h ón
561	son	?ə̃.sò
562	son-in-law	ņ.s ^h ð.mai?
563	sorrow	wòn.nè-ɗÝn
564	soup, broth	?òn.haún
565	be sour	t̪ ^h ò-fiứ?
566	sow (female pig)	wó?.n ù
567	spear	s ^h aù?.k ^h è
507	зреаг	[sʰaù?.t∫ʰè]
568	be spicy	ņ.ţ ^h ì?-ţứ?
569	spider	?ə̃.k ^h ʉ́
570	spider web	?ə̆.k ^h ʉ́.?ìn
571	spill	ņ.k ^h ù?-kŕ?
572	spine, backbone	?əૅ.łìn.jó
573	spit	ņ.s ^h ó-fiứ?
574	split	?aì?-kŕ?
575	squeeze	ņ.p ^h é?- <u>t</u> ý?
576	squirrel	?əૅ.ɨ̀è / ?ə̆.ɨ̀ù
577	stab	?ă.pló?-tŕ?
578	stallion (male horse)	s ^h eí.hàn
579	stamp (with foot)	?ə̆.kʰó.kʰlʉ̀n-ŋé-jớ?
580	stand	n.tún-ŋỳ? [h.dún- ŋỳ?]
581	star	?ə̃.s ^h eí

582	steal	?ə̃.mjò?-?é-jứ?
	steer	
583	(castrated	wón.țaún
	male cow)	
584	sten	ņ.ká-lứ? [ŋ.gá-lứ?] /
		ņ.ká-fiŕ? [ŋ.gá-fiŕ?]
585	stir	?ə̃.mú-jŕ? / ?ə̃.wè-
		jŕ?
500	stomachache,	
586	upset	?ə.pu?.mei-Jŵ?
	stomach	
587	stone	?ð.l ù n
588	store (up)	ņ.sú?-t̪ứ? [ņ.zút̪-t̪ứ?]
589	story	k ^h wí
590	be straight	pjún-ŋỳ? / pjún-nỳ?
591	be strong	?əॅ.?ó.lɛ́n-nỳ?
592	be stubborn	?əૅ.lʉ́.saìn-ŋŕ?
593	stump	t̪ʰín.m̥ù
50/	be stupid	ņ.kón-ŋỳ? [ŋ.góŋ-
554		ŋ ` ?]
EOF	submerge	tù né?-tỳ?
555	something	
596	subtract, take	?ə̆.nò?-kứ?
	away	
597	succeed	?ón.mlaín-ŋጵ?
598	suck	?ə̃.mjòn-nứ?
599	suffer	?ŏ.kʰòn.lá-lứ?
600	sun	k ^h ð.ní
601	swallow	?ə̃.mjé-jỳ?
602	sweat (n)	?ə̃.k ^h lón
603	sweat (v)	?ə̆.kʰlɔဴn.sʰò?-t̪ɤ́?
604	be sweet	ţú-fiŷ?

605	swim	ţù.jŷ-jý?
606	be swollen	pʰlín-ŋỳ?
607	tail	ņ.hə̆.mè [ḥ.hə̆.mè]
608	take	ņ.lŕ-fié-jř?
609	take out	ņ.s ^h ó-fiứ?
610	be tall	łú n-ŋỳ?
611	taste	?ə̆.són-nứ?
612	teach	ņ.sò?-paì?-kŕ? [ņ.zòp:aìk:ŕ?]
613	teacher	s ^h ð.mó
614	teak tree	?ə̃.jè
615	tears (n)	?ə̃.mí?.k ^h lí
616	tell about	ņ.pʰaù-plá-fiờ
617	ten	ΰá
618	termite	?ə̃.k ^h à
619	that	ţó
620	there	sú-fià
621	they (3p)	nð.hé
622	be thick	s ^h ó-fiỳ?
623	thigh	?ă.p ^h é
624	be thin (thing)	ņ.pòn-ný? [ṃ.bòn- ný?]
625	think	ņ.kʰín.ɗaín-ŋś? [ņ.tʃʰín.ɗaíŋ:śʔ]
626	be thirsty	t̪ù.hà-lé-jứ?
627	thirteen (13)	ŋ̊á.t̪ʰún
628	thirty	t̪ ^ʰ ʉ́n.kí? [t̪ʰʉ́n.dʒí?]
629	this	ní
630	thorn	?ŏ.łín
631	thousand	tʰón.ŋó?

632	three	t ^h ún
632		
633	throat	?ə.lə.ko
634	throw	?ə̃.wɔ́-fíx?
635	thumb	?ə̆.kú?.nʉ̀
636	tie	?ə̆.kʰùn-ŋứ?
637	tiger	?ă.ké [?ă.t∫é]
638	be tight	sé-jỳ? / ká?-ṯỳ?
639	time (period)	?ə̃.kʰín [?ə̃.t∫ʰín]
640	be tired	jaì-jứ?
641	toe	?ə̃.k ^h ə̃.saín
642	tongue	?ə̃.mlé.bòn
643	tooth	?ə̆.hó
644	top, up	?ə̆.hón
645	towards	pò
646	trample	?əૅ.sɛ́-jŕ?
647	tree	tٍ ^h ìn.jòn
648	tribe, ethnic	kʰlaún.mjʉ́
649	tumor	?ə̆.kè? [?ə̆.t(è?]
650	turn around (intr)	tén-né-jỳ?
651	turtle	?ə̃.s ^h ún
652	tusk	mú.hó
653	twelve (12)	ŋ̊á.n̥í
654	twenty	ņ.kó [ŋ.gó]
655	twenty-eight (28)	ņ.kó.s ^h è? [ŋ.gó.s ^h è?]
656	twenty-five (25)	ņ.kó.ŋํó [ŋˈ.gó.ŋ̊ó]
657	twenty-four	ņ.kó.ņlí [ŋ.gó.ņlí]

	(24)	
658	twenty-nine (29)	ņ.kó.kò? [ŋ.gó.kò?]
659	twenty-one (21)	ņ.kó.?ó? [ŋ.gó.?ó?]
660	twenty-seven (27)	ņ.kó.s ^h eí [ŋ.gó.s ^h eí]
661	twenty-six (26)	ņ.kó.s ^h ó? [ŋ.gó.s ^h ó?]
662	twenty-three	ņ.kó.ț ^h ún
002	(23)	[ŋˈ.gó.t̪ʰún]
663	twenty-two (22)	ņ.kó.ņí [ŋ.gó.ņí]
664	twin	ņ.p ^h leí
665	two	ņí
666	two hundred	p ^h jà.ní
	(200)	
667	two thousand (2000)	t ^h ón.ņí
668	be ugly	?ə̆.jʉ̀?.sʰʉ́-fiጵʔ
669	umbilical cord	ņ.m̥laí.jwè
670	uncover	?ə̃.lín-nứ?
671	under, below	?əૅ.kɔ̀-fiá
672	undress	?ð.łaí-jŕ?
673	unwrap (v)	ņ.m̧jà?-t̪ớ?
674	urine	ņ.p ^h jùn
675	vein	?ə̆.klaú
676	village	nàn
677	vine	?ə̆.jún
678	voice, noise, sound	?ə̃.s ^h án

679	vomit	mlò-fiý?
675	1	
680	vulture	laun.țo
681	waist ¹⁸	?ə̃.kén [?ə̃.dʒén]
682	wake up	tʰɤ̀-ɦɤ́? / kʰaíʔ-kɤ̀?
683	walk	?əૅ.lòn.∫ɔ́?-kớ?
684	wall	?ə̃.paún
685	want, desire (v)	ņ.l ú -fié-jŕ?
686	be warm	?ú?-k`r?
687	warthog	ņ.ŋ ó
688	wash (clothes)	ņ.s ^h ò?-kứ?
689	wash (hands)	?ə̆.pjó-fiứ?
690	water	ţù
691	wave (n)	łŕn
692	be weak	?əॅ.?ó.nɛ́-jŷ?
693	weave	?əॅ.t̪aú?-kớ?
694	west	?ə̆.nó?
695	be wet	ţù.só-fi % ?
696	what	?ə̃.baún
697	whistle	ņ.m̧j ù -fi⁄s?
698	white	?ə̃.bò?
699	who	ņ.ní
700	be wicked	kó?-kỳ?
701	be wide	jaú-fiỳ?
702	widow	ņé.ņ ú

¹⁸ There is not a clear explanation for the voicing on this word.

703	widower	ņé.pó [ņé.bó]
704	wild cat	?ə̃.waù?
705	wing	?ə̃.p ^h já
706	winnow (rice)	?ə̆.heí-jỳ?
707	wipe	?ə̆.hó-fiờ?
708	woman	ņð.ţò
709	work (v)	?əૅ.lù?.lù?-kŷ?
710	wound, sore	?əૅ.meí.?ə̆.m̧á
711	wrap up (v)	?ə̃.bé-jŕ?
712	write	ņ.lé-jŕ?
713	be wrong	kʰɛ́-jɤ̀ʔ [tʃʰɛ́-jɤ̀ʔ]
714	yawn	ņ.hán-n [°] ?
		[ĥ.hánːŷ?]
715	year	?ə̆.kún
716	yellow	?əॅ.?wé
717	yesterday	ján. <u>t</u> à
718	young man	k ^h laún.mlí? /
		kʰlaún.∫aùn
719	young woman	həૅ.ņ ú

REFERENCES

- Bradley, David. 1997. Tibeto-Burman languages and classification. In David Bradley (ed.), *Tibeto-Burman Languages of the Himalayas* (Papers in Southeast Asian Linguistics 14). Canberra: Pacific Linguistics.
- Butler, Becky. 2015. Approaching a phonological understanding of the sesquisyllable with phonetic evidence from Khmer and Bunong. In N. J. Enfield & Bernard Comrie (eds.), *Languages of Mainland Southeast Asia: The state of the art*, 443–499. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton.

Button, Christopher. 2011. Proto Northern Chin. Berkeley: University of California.

- Cho, Young-mee Yu & Tracy Holloway King. 2003. Semisyllables and universal syllabification.In Caroline Féry & Ruben van de Vijver (eds.), *The syllable in optimality theory*, 183–212.Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Condict, E. Carroll. 1952. Preface. *Ashö Southern Chin Primer*. Rangoon: Baptist Board of Publications.
- Gvozdanović, Jadranka. 1985. *Language system and its change: On theory and testability* (Trends in Linguistics Studies and Monographs 30). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Hayes, Bruce. 1995. *Metrical stress theory: Principles and case studies*. Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Herr, Kristen Elizabeth. 2011. The phonological interpretation of minor syllables, applied to Lemi Chin. Chiang Mai, Thailand: Payap University M.A. thesis.

Hopple, Paulette. 2008. Expanded wordlist. (Trans.) Khu Shee.

- Hornéy, Christina Scotte. 2012. A phonological analysis of Mro Khimi. Grand Forks: University of North Dakota M.A. thesis.
- Houghton, Bernard. 1892. Essay on the language of the Southern Chins and its affinities. Rangoon: Government Printing, Burma.

Joorman, Herman. 1906. Chin grammar. Rangoon: American Baptist Mission Press.

- Kee Shein Mang. 2006. A syntactic and pragmatic description of verb stem alternation in K'Cho, a Chin language. Chiang Mai, Thailand: Payap University M.A. thesis.
- Khar Thuan. 2008. A phonological description of Falam. Chiang Mai, Thailand: Payap University M.A. thesis.
- Kiparsky, Paul. 2003. Syllables and moras in Arabic. In Caroline Féry & Ruben van de Vijver (eds.), *The syllable in optimality theory*, 147–182. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Luce, Gordon H. 1985. *Phases of pre-Pagán Burma: Languages and history*. 2 vols. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Matisoff, James A. 1973. Tonogenesis in Southeast Asia. In Larry M. Hyman (ed.), *Consonant types and tones* (Southern California Occasional Papers in Linguistics 1), 71–95. Los
 Angeles: The Linguistics Program, University of Southern California.
- Matisoff, James A. 2003. *Handbook of Proto-Tibeto-Burman*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Otsuka, Kosei (大塚 行誠). 2014. アショー・チン語におけるinverse marker mă- [An inverse marker mă- in Asho Chin]. 東京大学言語学論集 [Tokyo University Linguistic Papers (TULIP)] 35. 263–276.
- Otsuka, Kosei (大塚 行誠). 2015a. アショー・チン語の音韻と文字 [Asho Chin phonology and orthography]. *九州大学言語学論集* [Kyushu University Papers in Linguistics] 35. 239–253.
- Otsuka, Kosei (大塚 行誠). 2015b. Person marking system in Asho Chin. In Linda Konnerth, Stephen Morey, Priyankoo Sarmah & Amos Teo (eds.), *North East Indian Linguistics 7 (NEIL* 7), 125–137. Canberra: Asia-Pacific Linguistics.

Peterson, David A. 2000. On the status of the Southern Chin subgroup. *The 33rd International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics*. Ramkhamhaeng University, Bangkok.

Samo Hla U. 1952. Ashö Southern Chin primer. Rangoon: Baptist Board of Publications.

Simons, Gary F. & Charles D. Fennig (eds.). 2018. *Ethnologue: Languages of the world*. 21st ed. Dallas: SIL International. http://www.ethnologue.com (13 March, 2018).

Snider, Keith & James Roberts. 2006. SIL comparative African wordlist. SIL International.

- So-Hartmann, Helga. 1988. Notes on the Southern Chin languages. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 11(2). 98–119.
- So-Hartmann, Helga. 2009. *A descriptive grammar of Daai Chin* (STEDT Monograph 7). Berkeley: University of California.
- Stern, Theodore. 1962. Language contact between related languages: Burmese influences upon Plains Chin. *Anthropological Linguistics* 4(4). 1–28.
- VanBik, Kenneth. 2009. Proto-Kuki-Chin: A reconstructed ancestor of the Kuki-Chin languages (STEDT Monograph 8). Berkeley: University of California.

Watkins, Justin. 2001. Burmese. Journal of the International Phonetic Association 31(2). 291–295.

- Watkins, Justin. 2013. A first account of tone in Myebon Sumtu Chin. *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 36(2). 97–127.
- Weidert, Alfons. 1987. *Tibeto-Burman tonology: A comparative analysis* (Currents in Linguistic Theory 54). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Wheatley, Julian K. 2009. Burmese. In Bernard Comrie (ed.), *The World's Major Languages*, 724–740. 2nd ed. New York: Routledge.
- Yip, Moira. 2004. Phonological markedness and allomorph selection in Zahao. *Language and Linguistics* 5(4). 969–1001.