



1961

Erratum

North Dakota Law Review

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

North Dakota Law Review (1961) "Erratum," *North Dakota Law Review*. Vol. 37: No. 2, Article 18.
Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol37/iss2/18>

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

in the state. However, the municipality has the power to require licensing and inspection of dairy products under the police power. It must be borne in mind that the purpose of the licensing ordinance must actually be for the public health and not merely a device instituted to exclude competition.

ERRATUM

In the January 1961 issue of the North Dakota Law Review appeared the article *The Uniform Rules of Evidence and the North Dakota Law of Evidence* by Leo H. Whinery. The following corrections should be made:

Page 26, line 22: "had" instead of "that"

Page 30, paragraph 1, line 2: the word "persuasion" instead of "presumption"

Page 31, paragraph 2, line 5: should read ". . . fall within Uniform Rule 14(b)."

Also in the January issue the book **PRODUCTS LIABILITY** by Louis R. Frumer and Melvin I. Friedman is shown at page 136 to be priced at \$4.50; the correct price is \$45.00.

