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ABSTRACT

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the third leading cause of death in the United States and is predicted to be the third leading cause of death worldwide between 2020 and 2030. Smoking continues to be the primary cause of this disease, which is associated with about 80% of all COPD deaths. Signs and symptoms of COPD can be debilitating; however pulmonary recovery positions may be taught to improve breathing capabilities in impaired individuals. Literature suggests techniques for pulmonary recovery may involve supporting the upper extremities, supporting the head, and leaning forward. The purpose of the current study was to examine the effects of pulmonary recovery positions on EMG activation of accessory muscles of respiration. The goal was to clarify which recovery positions have the greatest activation of the accessory muscles. Eleven healthy adults over the age of eighteen were recruited for this study. Unilateral EMG surface electrodes were placed on the accessory muscles of breathing which included upper trapezius (UT), sternocleidomastoid (SCM), pectoralis major (clavicular head) (PM), serratus anterior (SA), and latissimus dorsi (LD). The subjects were randomly assigned a series of four experimental positions which included: a control position with hands at the sides (Position 1), standing with hands resting overhead (Position 2), leaning forward with hands on knees (Position 3), and sitting with forearms and hands supported by a table (Position 4). In each position, EMG activity was collected during three separate trials of maximal inspiration and maximal expiration, as well as minute ventilation (MV). Results indicated a significant increase in unilateral EMG activity while in Positions 2 and 3. Results found an increase in MV in Positions 2 and 3 though these findings were not significant. Positions 2 and 3 have the greatest possibility to recruit the accessory muscles of ventilation, therefore improving air exchange with patients who suffer from COPD.
CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is an umbrella term used to describe a variety of progressive lung diseases that impede airflow during various phases of the breathing cycle. Two primary forms of COPD exist, emphysema and chronic bronchitis, but diagnoses such as refractory (non-reversible) asthma and certain types of bronchiectasis are also included. Using spirometry, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) has defined the values for diagnosis of COPD as forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁)/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio < 0.7. COPD’s encompassing diseases are a leading cause of mortality. It is predicted that between 2020 and 2030, this debilitating disease will become the third leading cause of death worldwide. COPD has a predicted occurrence rate estimated at 4-10% universally and 37% in the United States. Chief prevalence is seen in smokers especially those who have > 40 pack-years of smoking or are current smokers. Males and people over the age of 45 with a dramatic increase in individuals older than 75 years of age also show increased prevalence. COPD is characterized by progressive dyspnea, productive or non-productive cough, wheezing, and a feeling of tightness or fullness in the chest. Primary risk factors include long-term inhalation of irritants such as cigarette smoke, occupational exposures such as dust, chemical agents, and fumes, and overall inactivity. Typically, dyspnea is the first symptom to appear and correlates to progressive decreases in activity. Dyspnea’s contributing factors may include: secondary
polycythemia, pulmonary hypertension, systemic inflammation, and skeletal muscle dysfunction. Research suggests that a combination of these factors leads to diminished quality of life, reduced exercise tolerance, increased risk of cardiovascular morbidity, and greater risk of death.\textsuperscript{3} Spirometry can be used to monitor regression rate of overall lung function and, ultimately, decreases in quality of life that occur in patients with COPD.

Spirometry testing continues to gain clinical interest, particularly when considering disease severity, functional ability, and lung capacities of those with COPD. In any disease characterized by dyspnea, it should be noted that the FEV\textsubscript{1} is weakly correlated with patients' ratings of dyspnea (r=0.29; 95\% CI, 0.22 to 0.35).\textsuperscript{9} Spirometry can also be used as an outcome measure of therapy, as well as for prognostic purposes. Literature shows that spirometry lung volumes, specifically vital capacity, are reduced in most patients with COPD compared to healthy individuals.\textsuperscript{10} Spirometry results that show progressively lower lung volumes directly correlate with increases in disease severity. Studies show that physicians underutilize spirometry for diagnosis and progression of COPD, which leads to underestimation of disease severity and diagnosis.\textsuperscript{11,12} Physicians properly trained in spirometry testing and interpretation have been shown to increase the use of spirometry as a diagnostic tool, as well as improve accuracy when determining level of severity of COPD.\textsuperscript{13}

Limited diaphragmatic excursion in clients with COPD contributes to lower lung volumes as identified through spirometry. The patients with COPD can utilize accessory respiratory muscles to assist in breathing as a means of improving ventilation of air and respiration of oxygen in the lungs. Some of the accessory muscles utilized for breathing include: upper and middle trapezius, latissimus dorsi, pectoralis major, erector spinae,
internal and external obliques, intercostals, abdominals, and serratus anterior. These skeletal muscles play a vital role in providing the mechanical basis for respiration and movement. However, skeletal muscle dysfunction is prevalent in all stages of COPD and significantly influences symptoms, functional capacity, health-related quality of life, health resource usage, and even mortality. Electromyography (EMG) is a widely accepted tool that uses electrical signals to assess muscular activity. This includes activity of accessory respiratory muscles, which are often recruited in people suffering from COPD. The use of EMG displays acceptable reproducibility in patients with COPD and healthy subjects. The EMG is sensitive enough to detect different breathing patterns when inhaling increased inspiratory loads. Thus, EMG is proven to be a reliable tool in the detection and assessment of action potentials of respiratory muscle tissue.

Lomax et al. (2013) compared the EMG activity of accessory inspiratory muscles of eight college swimmers after front crawl sprints. It was concluded that inspiratory muscle fatigue affected the activity of latissimus dorsi during the sprints. Another study looked at the effects of a physical therapy program on accessory inspiratory muscle usage. After body posture realignment and respiratory training, the EMG recordings indicated less effort of the accessory inspiratory muscles. There are many factors that have a potential effect on inspiratory muscle activity, but additional research is required to conclude which muscles assist the most how to best recruit muscles that augment ventilation.

In physical therapy, patients with COPD are often taught techniques to increase lung capacity, free airways of sputum, conserve energy, and recover from taxing activities relative to the patient’s health status. Suggested positions for pulmonary
recovery include: supporting the upper extremities, supporting the head, and leaning forward. Patients should be encouraged to assume a recovery position that allows for prompt primary and secondary respiratory muscle recovery. In one such study, it was shown that patients with COPD who utilize a forward leaning trunk position with neutral head and neck had relief of dyspnea and decreased airway obstruction at a faster rate than the control group. As a result, pulmonary function was improved.\textsuperscript{17} It was also noted that forward leaning with arm support and forward leaning with head and arm support produced increased activity of inspiratory accessory muscles during inspiration compared to neutral sitting positions for patients with COPD.\textsuperscript{17} In another study conducted by Romei, et.al (2010), using Opto-Electronic Plethysmography, it was found that rib cage expansion, tidal volume, and minute ventilation were greatest in a seated position without back support, and that these three values decreased with the use of back support and continued to decrease as the angle of inclination from supine decreased. Body position had a significant effect on rib cage and abdominal kinematics during quiet breathing. This demonstrates that body position can affect chest volume and ventilatory volumes.\textsuperscript{18} There still remains limited research that compares these different positions and their effects on accessory respiratory muscle activation in relation to lung capacity.

In another study, EMG activity of the sternocleidomastoid, scalenes, and upper trapezius was recorded in patients with severe COPD in seated and supine positions while breathing at rest. It was found that little to no activity was seen in the stated muscles.\textsuperscript{19} Other studies support this evidence; the forward leaning posture is associated with a significant reduction in EMG activity of the scalene and sternocleidomastoid muscles. This is most likely due to an increase in trans diaphragmatic pressure, inspiratory muscle...
pressure, and significant improvement in thoracoabdominal movement.\textsuperscript{20} However, when dyspnea and inspiratory efforts are increased on exertion, EMG activity of the scalene muscles also increase.\textsuperscript{21} Panka et al. (2010) reported there is an increase in sternocleidomastoid activity with unsupported arm elevation while performing ADL’s.\textsuperscript{22} During the use of unsupported arm elevation, two factors became determinants of the altered respiratory pattern: lung hyperinflation and, to a smaller degree, diaphragm reserve strength. Due to dynamic hyperinflation during inspiration, the respiratory muscles are placed in an unfavorable position on the length-tension curve, reducing the force generating capacity.\textsuperscript{22} During arm elevation at rest, there was a significant decrease in vital capacity and a small decrease in functional residual capacity.\textsuperscript{10}

The aim of the current study is to investigate the effects of recovery positions on the EMG activation of accessory muscles of respiration and dynamic pulmonary function using a hand-held spirometer. The data from this study may be utilized to determine which recovery positions may be the most effective for activating muscles of expiration for patients with COPD.
CHAPTER II

METHODS

This project was reviewed and approved by the University of North Dakota Institutional Review Board (IRB-201505-361) prior to initiation of the study (See Appendix).

Subjects

Subjects were recruited from a sample of convenience in the Department of Physical Therapy at the University of North Dakota. The subjects participating in this study were obtained on a voluntary basis and all subjects completed an informed consent form prior to participation (See Appendix). The inclusion criteria included health adults over the age of 18. The exclusion criteria included breathing difficulty with physical activity, injuries to the chest or back in the past three months, and allergic reactions to adhesives. Each subject completed a subject questionnaire and data collection form. The subjects attended one session of approximately one hour of testing in the Department of Physical Therapy on the University of North Dakota campus in the School of Medicine and Health Sciences. The testing was completed in a private research room for the confidentiality and privacy of the subjects. Subjects did not receive compensation for participating in this study.
Instrumentation

Instrumentation for this study included electromyography (EMG) hardware and software. The EMG data collection was performed using self-adhesive pre-gelled EMG surface electrodes. These electrodes were placed over standard electrode sites only on the right side of each test subject. Muscle activity was collected unilaterally on the following muscles: upper trapezius (UT), sternocleidomastoid (SCM), pectoralis major (clavicular head) (PM), serratus anterior (SA), and latissimus dorsi (LD). The EMG data was collected using the Noraxon MyoResearch XP software and the TeloMyo 2400 G2 telemetry system. Data analysis for the EMG data was performed on a laptop computer (Dell Technologies, Inc) using Noraxon MyoResearchXP software (Noraxon, USA, Scottsdale, AZ).

Procedure

Prior to the initiation of the study, the EMG equipment was set up and tested by the researchers to ensure proper signal transmission and reception. The subjects were tested independently in the Department of Physical Therapy located at the University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Sciences. The purpose and procedure for the study was explained to the participants prior to each participant signing a statement of informed consent, completing the intake survey, and initiation of data collection.

The collection of EMG data required electrode site preparation, electrode placement, connection of hardware, and testing of the equipment. The electrode site preparation was performed in a standardized fashion including removal of excess hair from the electrode site with an electric razor, gently rubbing the skin surface with 400 grit
sandpaper, and cleaning the area with isopropyl alcohol. Electrode placement was determined by using standard electrode placement recommendations. (Criswell 2011) Standard silver/silver chloride electrodes were placed in a bipolar configuration at the appropriate sites using an inter-electrode distance of approximately 1.5 cm. The Noraxon skin impedance analyzer was used to assess electrode placement and ensure low (<50kΩ) impedance (Noraxon, USA, Scottsdale AZ). The electrodes were connected to the TeleMyo 2400 G2 transmitter (Figure 1). The EMG signals were transmitted to the TeleMyo 2400 G2 receiver and stored on a laptop computer (Dell Technologies, Inc.). The raw EMG data was later analyzed for intensity of activation of the muscles using the MyoResearch XP software. (Noraxon, USA, Scottsdale AZ).

Figure 1. EMG Electrode placement for the accessory muscles of respiration used during the study.
Initially the subjects performed a mild muscle contraction to determine correct electrode placement. The subjects were instructed in performing isometric maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs) for a duration of 5 seconds in the following positions. For UT, the patient used both hands to pull a cloth belt wrapped under the feet while standing upright. For the SCM, the researcher placed a hand on the forehead of the subject and the subject pushed into the hand. For the LD, the subject performed an isometric seated row using a cloth belt secured to the hi-low table. The PM and SA isometric MVC were tested by wrapping a cloth belt around the back of the chair then pushing forward like a bench press.

The subjects were randomly assigned a series of four different experimental positions including the control position of standing with hands at sides. Experimental positions included: standing with hands overhead, leaning forward with hands on knees, and sitting with forearms and hands supported by a table (See Figure 2). In each position, EMG activity was collected during three separate trials of maximal inspiration and
maximal expiration using a hand-held spirometer (JaegerSpiroPro+® SensorMedics Corp. Yorba Linda CA). The ventilation volumes retained for further analysis included forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), and minute ventilation (MV). During spirometry, the subjects utilized a nose clip to force all air through the mouth opening. To obtain FEV₁ and FVC, one of the researchers held the spirometer at a comfortable position for the subject. The subject then performed a slow deep expiration followed by a forceful inspiration, forceful expiration, and completed with a final forceful inspiration. For minute ventilation, the subject was asked to inhale and exhale as deeply and controlled as possible for 15 seconds. The MV results were extrapolated by multiplying the volume by four in the data collection. Subjects performed three maximal breaths and two minute ventilation activities for each position. At least one minute of rest separated each of the trials. Following the completion of data collection in all experimental positions, the electrodes were removed from the subjects followed by cleaning the areas with isopropyl alcohol to remove any remaining gel and adhesive.

**Data Analysis**

Raw EMG data was analyzed using the Noraxon Myoresearch Software Program. The EMG data was normalized to the maximal voluntary contractions, rectified, and smoothed using the root mean square (RMS) option set at 50 millisecond collection frames. Composite data is presented as average±SD for all subjects within an experimental condition.
Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. A one-way, repeated measures analysis of variance was utilized to determine differences between the experimental trials for each individual muscle (alpha≤0.05). Mauchley's Test of Sphericity was performed followed by the ANOVA. When the data did not meet the assumption of sphericity, a Lower Bound correction was utilized to determine the critical F-value for the ANOVA. Pairwise comparisons were reviewed where the critical F-value was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05).
CHAPTER III

RESULTS

Eleven subjects between the ages of 22 and 28 participated in the study including seven females and four males (Table 1). The data and analysis are combined for reporting purposes. The average age of the subjects was 25±2 years. The average height of the subjects was 66.4±3.2 inches while the average weight was 160±29 pounds.

<p>| Table 1. Subject Demographics |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height (in)</th>
<th>Weight (lbs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25±2</td>
<td>64.5±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24±2</td>
<td>69.6±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25±2</td>
<td>66.4±3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A one-way repeated measures ANOVA was calculated comparing unilateral EMG activity of the UT, SCM, PM, SA, and LD of 11 subjects in four different positions: arms at sides (control), hands on head, hands on knees, and arms supported on table (Figure 2:1-4). When recording EMG activity during maximal inspiration (Table 2), there were significant differences in unilateral EMG activity in the UT, SCM, PM, and SA. No significant differences were found in the LD in any of the four positions. The UT had a significant increase in EMG activity in Position 2 compared to Positions 1, 3, and 4. The SCM had a significant increase in EMG activity in Position 3 when compared to Positions 2 and 4. The SCM EMG activity was significantly lower in Position 4 as compared to the other three positions. The PM had a significant increase in
EMG activity in Position 3 when compared to the other three positions. The SA had a significant increase in EMG activity in Position 1 as compared to Positions 2 and 3, and Position 3 had a significant increase in EMG activity when compared to Position 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Hands at Side (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Head (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Knees (M±SD)</th>
<th>Arms supported on table (M±SD)</th>
<th>F value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>5.1±2.7</td>
<td>25.0±20.2</td>
<td>5.0±2.7</td>
<td>5.3±4.3</td>
<td>F (1,10)=11.553, p&lt;.007, (\eta^2=.536, \text{power}=.864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM</td>
<td>42.6±26.3</td>
<td>47.7±30.8</td>
<td>33.0±18.1</td>
<td>16.2±8.1</td>
<td>F (1,10)=12.099, p&lt;.006, (\eta^2=.547, \text{power}=.879)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>12.7±13.1</td>
<td>12.7±8.6</td>
<td>19.8±11.9</td>
<td>12.5±10.7</td>
<td>F(3,30)=3.862, p&lt;.019, (\eta^2=.729, \text{power}=.769)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.2±11.3</td>
<td>25.1±15.0</td>
<td>30.4±22.3</td>
<td>19.5±17.1</td>
<td>F(3,30)=3.586, p&lt;.025, (\eta^2=.264, \text{power}=.734)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>12.5±11.7</td>
<td>13.2±9.4</td>
<td>16.4±9.8</td>
<td>14.5±14.8</td>
<td>NSD, \text{power}=.101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UT = Upper Trapezius, SCM = Sternocleidomastoid, PM = Pectoralis Major, SA = Serratus Anterior, LD = Latissimus Dorsi

During the expiration phases of FVC (Table 3), we found significant differences in EMG activity with the UT, SCM, PM, and LD. For the UT, there was higher EMG activity found in Position 2 compared to the other positions tested. For the SCM, we found that Position 2 provided the highest EMG activity compared to the others. The PM showed significant differences in Position 3 and 4 compared to Positions 1 and 2. For the LD, we found significantly higher EMG activity in Position 3 compared to the others.
Table 3. Electromyographic (EMG) activity of the accessory muscles of ventilation during different pulmonary recovery positions during expiration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Hands at Side (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Head (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Knees (M±SD)</th>
<th>Arms supported on table (M±SD)</th>
<th>F value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>3.3±1.7</td>
<td>18.4±15.2</td>
<td>3.8±2.6</td>
<td>6.0±6.9</td>
<td>F(1,10)=11.030, p=.008, η²=.524, power=.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM</td>
<td>13.8±7.4</td>
<td>14.1±8.0</td>
<td>11.8±5.5</td>
<td>6.6±4.6</td>
<td>F(1,10)=12.330, p=.006, η²=.552, power=.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>7.8±5.1</td>
<td>8.4±5.1</td>
<td>12.5±6.4</td>
<td>5.3±3.8</td>
<td>F(1,10)=8.609, p=.015, η²=.463, power=.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>16.4±11.2</td>
<td>26.3±19.3</td>
<td>28.8±18.4</td>
<td>14.8±9.8</td>
<td>NSD, power=.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>15.0±13.0</td>
<td>11.3±6.5</td>
<td>24.1±16.0</td>
<td>10.2±7.4</td>
<td>F(3,30)=5.653, p=.003, η²=.361, power=.914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UT = Upper Trapezius, SCM = Sternocleidomastoid, PM = Pectoralis Major, SA = Serratus Anterior, LD = Latissimus Dorsi

When comparing unilateral EMG muscle activity in each position during minute ventilation (Table 4), the UT showed significantly higher muscle activity in position 2 when compared to Positions 1, 3, and 4. The SCM showed no differences between the positions. Comparing the positions of the PM, significantly higher activity in Position 3 was observed compared to 1 and 4. For the SA, significantly higher activity was observed in Position 2 compared to Positions 1 and 4, and significantly higher activity in Position 3 compared to 1 and 4. For the LD, we observed no significant difference across positions.
Table 4. Electromyographic (EMG) activity of the accessory muscles of ventilation during different pulmonary recovery positions during minute ventilation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Hands at Side (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Head (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Knees (M±SD)</th>
<th>Arms supported on table (M±SD)</th>
<th>F value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>3.14±1.57</td>
<td>12.59±6.80</td>
<td>3.22±2.21</td>
<td>2.63±1.37</td>
<td>F(1,7)=18.687, p=.003 (\eta^2=.727,) power = .957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCM</td>
<td>16.31±11.69</td>
<td>15.24±8.09</td>
<td>11.55±7.52</td>
<td>12.97±8.43</td>
<td>F(3,21)=1.13, p=.359 (\eta^2=.139,) power = .260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>5.69±3.61</td>
<td>8.21±5.45</td>
<td>10.78±5.32</td>
<td>6.05±5.21</td>
<td>F(3,21)=6.638, p=.003 (\eta^2=.487,) power = .941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>8.58±5.94</td>
<td>19.24±15.60</td>
<td>21.04±16.13</td>
<td>5.67±4.30</td>
<td>F(1,7)=7.493, p=.029 (\eta^2=.517,) power = .653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>8.88±10.65</td>
<td>9.75±8.68</td>
<td>14.81±16.60</td>
<td>8.09±10.45</td>
<td>F(1,7)=3.237, p=.115 (\eta^2=.316,) power = .343</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UT = Upper Trapezius, SCM = Sternocleidomastoid, PM = Pectoralis Major, SA = Serratus Anterior, LD = Latissimus Dorsi

A one-way repeated measures ANOVA was calculated comparing FVC, FEV1, and MV average values of 11 subjects in the four different positions (See Figure 1). No significant effect was observed for the pulmonary ventilation values. (See Table 5).

Table 5. Pulmonary volumes observed during spirometry in four different pulmonary recovery positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pulmonary Measure</th>
<th>Hands at Side (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Head (M±SD)</th>
<th>Hands on Knees (M±SD)</th>
<th>Arms supported on table (M±SD)</th>
<th>F value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>3.90 ± .80</td>
<td>3.87 ± .74</td>
<td>3.99 ± .79</td>
<td>4.06 ± .89</td>
<td>NSD, power = .312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>3.54 ± .79</td>
<td>3.54 ± .76</td>
<td>3.64 ± .83</td>
<td>3.71 ± .81</td>
<td>F(3,30)=3.284 p=.034 (\eta^2=.247,) power = .692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>10.00 ± 5.98</td>
<td>11.55 ± 9.34</td>
<td>12.90 ± 7.73</td>
<td>9.92 ± 4.67</td>
<td>NSD, power = .323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FVC = Forced Vital Capacity, FEV1 = Forced Expiratory Volume, MV = Minute Ventilation
CHAPTER IV

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to examine the effects of various pulmonary recovery positions on EMG activity of the accessory muscles of respiration using a handheld spirometer. The sample subjects tested were healthy, young adults. Data was applied and related to COPD to determine which recovery position may be the most effective for dyspnea associated with reduced pulmonary ventilation. Findings of this study demonstrate that different recovery positions do not provide a significant difference in MV and FVC lung volumes. Bhatt et al. (2009) researched spirometry, maximal inspiratory and expiratory measures, and diaphragmatic excursion during tidal volume and vital capacity. The specific positions tested were sitting, supine, and tripod, which consisted of sitting forward with hands supported on the knees. Although the tripod position is not identical to any of the four positions in the current study, it combines two of the current study’s testing positions, sitting with arms on table (Position 4) and hands on knees (Position 3). It was found that there is no difference in tripod position compared to sitting and supine positions measuring spirometry, maximal inspiratory and expiratory pressures and diaphragmatic excursion. Therefore, the results of the current study parallel previous research in concluding that there are insignificant differences in resting respiratory volumes in recovery positions compared to a control position.
The positions have shown changes in how respiratory muscles are utilized. The results of the current study concluded that there was a significantly higher level of unilateral EMG activity during inspiration when the hands were placed over head (Position 2) for the UT, SCM, and SA. There was also a significant difference in EMG activity shown with the hands on knees (Position 3) for the PM, SCM, and SA.

In a review article, O’Donnell et al. (2015) identified the abnormalities of pulmonary gas exchange, respiratory mechanics, and compensatory adaptations of the respiratory system that develop in response to increasing physiological impairment, such as with mild COPD. It was concluded that patients with mild COPD had an increased contractile respiratory muscle effort. This increased effort is used to assist in effective alveolar ventilation to counteract the body’s decreased ability to exchange gases within the lungs. Since COPD causes an increase in accessory respiratory muscle activity, we aim to understand how body positions could assist with respiratory muscle activation, as well as overall ventilation. Finding a pulmonary recovery position that provides increased accessory muscle activation and increased ventilation volume should provide a more efficient means of relieving dyspnea in patients with COPD status. The current study produced results that indicate standing with hands on head (Position 2) and standing with hands on knees (Position 3) are two positions that significantly increase activation of respiratory accessory muscles. Notably, the hands on head (Position 2) and hands on knees (Position 3) revealed trends of increased MV volumes, although the findings were not significant.

Pulmonary recovery positions used by patients with COPD allow accessory muscles of respiration to be placed in a more favorable position to facilitate increased
muscle force production. However, these respiratory recovery positions may serve another purpose. In a study conducted by Gosselink et al. (2004), a rehabilitation program was utilized for COPD patients. It consisted of breathing techniques, pursed lip breathing, forward leaning, active expiration, and inspiratory muscle training. The forward leaning posture showed an increase in intra-abdominal pressure, which provides support for the diaphragm. This forward leaning posture also showed decreased EMG activity of the scalene and SCM. In the current study, Positions 3 and 4 both include forward leaning. These positions displayed decreased SCM muscle activity in comparison to Positions 1 and 2, which assume more of an upright position along with an increase in SCM activity. Thus, this decrease in activity of accessory musculature in Positions 3 and 4 in combination with similar findings in FVC and FEV1 values in all four positions show that there are other means of obtaining increased ventilation volumes than accessory muscle activity alone. Another study measured multiple respiratory muscle electromyograms, gastric, esophageal, and trans diaphragmatic pressures, and thoracoabdominal diameters in the supine, standing, erect seated, and forward leaning (seated) positions during quiet breathing. It was found that patients in the forward leaning position had a profound relief of dyspnea as compared to the other positions. Accessory inspiratory muscle EMG activity was augmented to a significantly greater degree on assuming the standing and seated postures when compared to patients who did not experience postural relief. Overall, this was attributed to increased efficiency of the diaphragm because of the improved length-tension state, and increased activity of accessory muscles of respiration. It can also be noted that FEV1 and FVC values were
not significantly different across positions, suggesting recovery positions decrease dyspnea without volume changes in single breath activities such as FEV₁ and FVC.²⁶

In a study by Gerling et al. (2013), the LD muscle’s ability to generate force was analyzed. It was found that, despite the LD muscle’s large cross-sectional area, its lack of sarcomere distribution in parallel provides only moderate force production.²⁷ However, this large cross-sectional area can provide accessory breathing capabilities with the arms extended and, with its attachment to the trunk, moderate expansion of the chest for breathing. Although the current study did not find a significant change in EMG activity across positions, there was an overall increase in EMG activity of LD in the hands on knees position (Position 3). This position allows upper extremity weight bearing with the arms extended to allow chest movement supported by LD.

The results of the current study are similar in results to previous studies published. The small sample size consisted of individuals who were moderately active and healthy. Future studies should include much larger sample sizes which will help in the generalizability of the results. Additionally, a more diverse sample size, including patients with obstructive or restrictive pulmonary diseases, would be helpful since we cannot reproduce the effects of the disease accurately with healthy subjects. Excluded data points in the spirometry data indicated by a reduction of three participants, also affected our already small sample size further reducing our generalizability. The excluded data points could be a result of interference of electrodes or the wires attached to them, utilizing newer wireless electrodes in the future could eliminate this problem. With our large standard deviation, it should be noted that further studies should include more practice for spirometry data collection. Performing spirometry is a skill which
requires multiple practice sessions. The variances in minute ventilation data may be improved with consistent and standardized instructions along with different equipment. In order to accommodate the MV data collection we only had subjects perform for 15 seconds and extrapolated the data to ease the performance. This may have affected our data collection, and should be examined further. Follow up studies could also include relevance to athletes including exercise before and after data collection.

![Accessory Muscle Use During Inspiration](image)

Figure 3. Accessory muscle use during inspiration in four different test positions. †=significantly different from all other muscle activity at p≤0.05. *= significantly different from positions without the same symbol at p≤0.05.
CHAPTER V
CONCLUSION

This study shows that there is an increase in EMG activity for accessory muscles of breathing with the hands on head when standing (position 2) and the hands on knees (position 3). We found no significant difference in MV between the positions, though the overall trend also favored positions 2 and 3. These positions may provide more effective pulmonary ventilation volumes for patients with COPD, despite the results shown on healthy individuals.
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WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY?
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In the event that this research activity results in an injury, treatment will be available including first aid, emergency treatment and follow-up care as needed. Payment for any such treatment is to be provided by you (you will be billed), or your third-party payer, if any (such as health insurance, Medicare, etc.). No funds have been set aside to compensate you in the event of injury. Also, the study staff cannot be responsible if you knowingly and willingly disregard the directions they give you.

IS THIS STUDY VOLUNTARY?

Your participation is voluntary. You may choose not to participate or you may discontinue your participation at any time without penalty or loss of benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. Your decision whether or not to participate will not affect your current or future relations with the University of North Dakota.
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□ YES or □ NO Continuation/Renewal □ YES or □ NO Student Research Project
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□ YES or □ NO Does your project involve abstracting medical record information? If yes, complete the HIPAA Compliance Application and submit it with this form.

□ YES or □ NO Does your project include Genetic Research?

Subject Classification: This study will involve subjects who are in the following special populations: Check all that apply.

□ Children (< 18 years) □ UND Students

□ Prisoners □ Pregnant Women/Fetuses

□ Cognitively impaired persons or persons unable to consent

□ Other

Please use appropriate checklist when children, prisoners, pregnant women, or people who are unable to consent will be involved in the research.

This study will involve: Check all that apply.

□ Deception (Attach Waiver or Alteration of Informed Consent Requirements) □ Stem Cells

□ Radiation □ Discarded Tissue
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I. Project Overview

Please provide a brief explanation (limit to 200 words or less) of the rationale and purpose of the study, introduction of any sponsor(s) of the study, and justification for use of human subjects and/or special populations (e.g., vulnerable populations such as children, prisoners, pregnant women/fetuses).

During physical activity, individuals with pulmonary disease experience shortness of breath, fatigue, and limited function. Fear of shortness of breath spirals into sedentary behavior and declining physical function. Recovery positions, such as leaning forward while supporting forearms onto a table, have been proposed to augment breathing control and reduce shortness of breath. It has been postulated that increased activity of muscles on the trunk, called accessory muscles of breathing, is a key component of breath recovery. The purpose of this study is to assess accessory muscle activity using electromyography (EMG) and size of breath volume in different recovery positions.

II. Protocol Description
Please provide a thorough description of the procedures to be used by addressing the instructions under each of the following categories.

1. **Subject Selection.**

   a) Describe recruitment procedures (i.e., how subjects will be recruited, who will recruit them, where and when they will be recruited and for how long) and include copies of any advertisements, fliers, etc., that will be used to recruit subjects. Subjects will be recruited from the UND professional physical therapy classes. A flier (see attached) will be displayed in the department during the summer 2015 and fall 2015 semesters. The principle investigator will announce the posting of the flier to students enrolled in the professional program.

   b) Describe your subject selection procedures and criteria, paying special attention to the rationale for including subjects from any of the categories listed in the “Subject Classification” section above. Subjects must be apparently healthy, 18 years of age, free of pulmonary disease, and no recent injuries or conditions affecting the ability to breathe or assume the experimental positions. The use of UND students in the professional physical therapy program reflects a sample of convenience.

   c) Describe your exclusionary criteria and provide a rationale for excluding subject categories. Under the age of 18 due to the inability to provide their own consent (minor). Subjects with pulmonary disease or recent injuries or conditions affecting the ability to breathe or assume experimental positions are excluded because the study is expected to challenge the pulmonary system during assessment of the volume of breathing with a spirometer. Additionally, injuries or conditions affecting the ability to breathe or assume the testing positions could produce undue discomfort for the subject. The EMG electrodes utilize a tape-like adhesive for adherence to the skin and therefore individuals with tape allergies will be excluded. Therefore, apparently healthy individuals over the age of 18 will be utilized for the study.

   d) Describe the estimated number of subjects that will participate and the rationale for using that number of subjects. It is estimated that 30 subjects will be recruited into the study. Each subject will serve as their own control in the different breathing recovery positions. The 30 subjects are expected to provide a normative distribution of EMG and pulmonary function testing for healthy individuals. At the same time, this number of subjects should provide the statistical power needed to identify significant differences in EMG activity or lung volumes due to the different recovery positions.

   e) Specify the potential for valid results. If you have used a power analysis to determine the number of subjects, describe your method. A statistical power analysis was not performed. However, in previous studies with EMG we have observed 20-30 subjects provides adequate data to determine effectiveness of position or movement changes on the muscles being studied.

2. **Description of Methodology.**

   a) Describe the procedures used to obtain informed consent. The principle investigator will announce the availability of the study to students in the professional program. A flyer will be used to provide additional information. Potential participants will be provided with an overview of the purpose, study design, risks and results/outcomes of the study. Each subject will be informed of the potential for adverse effects. Subjects will be provided with the written consent form. Time will be allowed for any potential questions or concerns. Prior to the initiation of subject participation, each subject must provide verbal and written consent (see consent form).

   b) Describe where the research will be conducted. Document the resources and facilities to be used to carry out the proposed research. Please note staffing, funding, and space available to conduct this research. The research will be conducted in the Department of Physical Therapy in the School of Medicine & Health Sciences at the University of North Dakota. The department has both electromyography (EMG) software and hardware available for this project. The Noraxon Telemyo 2400 unit for EMG data collection and analysis is available within the department. In addition, the department has a SpiroPro (SensorMedics Corp, Yorba Linda CA) spirometer to obtain breathing volumes. The
principle investigator has experience in utilizing both pieces of equipment and software. The UND PT department will provide the EMG electrodes and spirometer mouthpieces as needed.

c) Indicate who will carry out the research procedures.
   The principle investigator, Dr. David Relling, will perform subject consent and oversee all aspects of the research project. Students in the professional physical therapy program (Joel Kramer, Kelsey Meyer, Eric Nefstead, and Daniel Vilaubi) will assist with subject recruitment, intervention (data collection) and data analysis. Dr. Relling has training and experience using both the EMG system and SpiroPro spirometer. Joel Kramer, Kelsey Meyer, Eric Nefstead, and Daniel Vilaubi have undergone training on the EMG system and will obtain proficiency with the SpiroPro spirometer prior to initiation of the study.

d) Briefly describe the procedures and techniques to be used and the amount of time that is required by the subjects to complete them.
   Subjects will initially be fit with pre-gelled, self-adhesive surface electrodes placed over the motor points of the relevant muscles. The electrodes collect underlying electrical activity within the subject’s muscles but do not provide any electrical shock or current to the subject. The muscles to be monitored include upper trapezius, sternocleidomastoid, latissimus dorsi, pectoralis major, and serratus anterior (See attached diagram). The subjects will be asked to wear tank tops to facilitate access to the muscles and protect modesty. Prior to electrode placement, the skin will be prepared in a standardized fashion and skin impedance will be measured to ensure adequate electrical conduction at each site. Preparation of the skin includes removing excess hair from the electrode site with an electric clipper and wiping the skin surface lightly with 400 grit sandpaper followed by wiping the area with isopropyl alcohol wipes. The electrodes will be connected to a transmitter which will be placed in a belt around the subject’s waist. The EMG signals will be transmitted to a receiver and then to a computer. Raw EMG data will be obtained for analysis. Breathing volumes will be assessed using the SpiroPro spirometer. Nose clips will be placed on the subjects nose to assure accurate breathing volume through the spirometer. Subjects will place the spirometer mouthpiece into the mouth and breath deeply for 15 seconds. The volume in 15 seconds will be used to calculate maximal minute volume. Each subject will perform one session of deep breathing at each of the body positions used for the study (relaxed standing, standing with hands clasped overhead, standing with hands on knees, and sitting with arms supported on a table). The EMG activity will be collected while the subject is performing the deep breathing to determine the activity in the accessory muscles of breathing. The four experimental body positions will be assigned randomly with 2 minutes of rest provided between each session of deep breathing.

e) Describe audio/visual procedures and proper disposal of tapes.
   No audio or visual data will be collected during the study.

f) Describe the qualifications of the individuals conducting all procedures used in the study.
   David Relling is a faculty member in the department of physical therapy. He has performed research projects with EMG and spirometers in the past. All key personnel have received training on the EMG equipment. All key personnel have completed IRB training.

g) Describe compensation procedures (payment or class credit for the subjects, etc.).
   No compensation will be provided for subjects participating in the study.

Attachments Necessary: Copies of all instruments (such as survey/interview questions, data collection forms completed by subjects, etc.) must be attached to this proposal.


a) Clearly describe the anticipated risks to the subject/others including any physical, emotional, and financial risks that might result from this study.
   The potential physical risks associated with this study are minimal. The EMG electrode placement and analysis is a non-invasive procedure utilized in clinical practice. Minor skin irritation from the skin preparation and EMG electrodes is possible. The use of a spirometer and assessing breathing
volumes with minute ventilation may lead to dryness and irritation of the mouth. Water will be available for subjects during the study.

b) Indicate whether there will be a way to link subject responses and/or data sheets to consent forms, and if so, what the justification is for having that link.

Subject's names will not be used in any reports of the results of this study. Each participant will complete a written consent form. There will be no association between the written consent form and the subjects data. At the completion of the study, the research data and the consent forms will be stored in separate, locked locations in the Department of Physical Therapy for 3 years at which point the forms and data will be destroyed. Data will only be reported in aggregate form to protect the confidentiality of all subjects.

c) Provide a description of the data monitoring plan for all research that involves greater than minimal risk.

N/A

d) If the PI will be the lead-investigator for a multi-center study, or if the PI's organization will be the lead site in a multi-center study, include information about the management of information obtained in multi-site research that might be relevant to the protection of research participants, such as unanticipated problems involving risks to participants or others, interim results, or protocol modifications.

N/A

4. Subject Protection.

a) Describe precautions you will take to minimize potential risks to the subjects (e.g., sterile conditions, informing subjects that some individuals may have strong emotional reactions to the procedures, debriefing, etc.). Each subject will be provided with their own spirometer mouthpiece. New, clean disposable electrodes will be utilized for each subject. The possibility of skin irritation will be minimized by proper skin preparation and subject screening prior to participation. Subjects will be informed of the placement of electrodes to prevent emotional reactions to concerns about impeding on the subjects personal space. Investigators will use appropriate draping of the subject during preparation and placement of EMG electrodes. The investigator(s) or participant may stop the experiment at any time if the participant is experiencing discomfort, pain, fatigue, or any other symptoms that may be detrimental to their health. All subjects will be allowed to terminate their participation in this study at any time without prejudice.

b) Describe procedures you will implement to protect confidentiality and privacy of participants (such as coding subject data, removing identifying information, reporting data in aggregate form, not violating a participants space, not intruding where one is not welcome or trusted, not observing or recording what people expect not to be public, etc.). If participants who are likely to be vulnerable to coercion and undue influence are to be included in the research, define provisions to protect the privacy and interests of these participants and additional safeguards implemented to protect the rights and welfare of these participants.

Subject data will not be linked to the consent form in order to protect the confidentiality of the subjects. Data collection forms and electronic data collection EMG files will be linked together using an alphanumeric code. Data will only be reported in aggregate form to assure privacy of the subjects.

Participants will wear appropriate clothing to allow access to the EMG electrode sites. However, researchers will utilize appropriate draping with sheets and towels during placement of the electrodes to assure patient comfort and modesty.

c) Indicate that the subject will be provided with a copy of the consent form and how this will be done.

Prior to participation in this study, each subject will read and sign a consent form. Participants in this study will all be capable of independent decision making and will sign a consent form stating their understanding and willingness to participate in this study. Participants will be encouraged to ask any questions regarding the consent form to ensure their understanding of the document. Each participant will be provided the opportunity to receive a copy of the consent form for their records.

d) Describe the protocol regarding record retention. Please indicate that research data from this study and consent forms will both be retained in separate locked locations for a minimum of three years following the completion of the study.
Describe: 1) the storage location of the research data (separate from consent forms and subject personal data)
2) who will have access to the data
3) how the data will be destroyed
4) the storage location of consent forms and personal data (separate from research data)
5) how the consent forms will be destroyed

Participant consent forms and data (collection sheets and electronic files) will be stored separately and secured in separate locked locations in the Department of Physical Therapy. Only the principal investigator will have access to both the consent forms and data. Subject data AND CONSENT FORMS WILL BE RETAINED IN RM 1521 IN SEPARATE, LOCKED FILE CABINETS. After a period of 3 years from the completion of the study, the consent forms and data collection sheets will be shredded. Electronic data will be deleted or destroyed from all disks/drives.

e) Describe procedures to deal with adverse reactions (referrals to helping agencies, procedures for dealing with trauma, etc.).
   The investigators or participant may stop the experiment at any time if the participant is experiencing discomfort, pain, abnormal fatigue, or any other symptoms that may be detrimental to their health. If subjects consent to participate they will be allowed to terminate their participation in this study at any time without prejudice or jeopardizing any future relationships with the UND Department of Physical Therapy. Medical treatment will be provided to each subject as needed, including first aid, CPR, and follow-up care as that provided to a member of the general public in a similar circumstance.

f) Include an explanation of medical treatment available if injury or adverse reaction occurs and responsibility for costs involved.
   In the event an adverse event occurs during participation in this study, the subject will be prompted to seek immediate medical attention. All incurred medical expenses will be the responsibility of the subject or the subject’s third-party payer.

III. Benefits of the Study
Clearly describe the benefits to the subject and to society resulting from this study (such as learning experiences, services received, etc.). Please note: extra credit and/or payment are not benefits and should be listed in the Protocol Description section under Methodology.

   Possible benefits of this study include but are not limited to: 1) furthering the knowledge concerning the muscle activity used in different breath recovery positions; 2) furthering the knowledge concerning the breath volume in different recovery positions; 3) further research may be stimulated. Subjects participating in the study will not receive any compensation nor will they incur any cost associated with this study.

IV. Consent Form
Clearly describe the consent process below and be sure to include the following information in your description (Note: Simply stating ‘see attached consent form’ is not sufficient. The items listed below must be addressed on this form.):

1) The person who will conduct the consent interview
2) The person who will provide consent or permission
3) Any waiting period between informing the prospective participant and obtaining consent
4) Steps taken to minimize the possibility of coercion or undue influence
5) The language to be used by those obtaining consent
6) The language understood by the prospective participant or the legally authorized representative
7) The information to be communicated to the prospective participant or the legally authorized representative

The person to conduct the consent interview will be Dr. David Relling. Consent will be provided by the subject. Since the study is a one time event with minimal risk, there will be no waiting time between informing the subject and consent. The investigator will announce the opportunity to participate and post the appropriate sign while key personnel recruit subjects. Removing the PI from recruitment should decrease undue influence from the faculty lead study. ENGLISH WILL BE USED FOR OBTAINING CONSENT AND ALL SUBJECTS MUST BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND AND UTILIZE ENGLISH. Information communicated to the prospective participant will include a reading of the study purpose and what will happen from the informed consent form.

A copy of the consent form must be attached to this proposal. If no consent form is to be used, document the procedures to be used to protect human subjects, and complete the Application for Waiver or Alteration of Informed Consent Requirements. Refer
to form IC 701-A, Informed Consent Checklist, and make sure that all the required elements are included. Please note: All records attained must be retained for a period of time sufficient to meet federal, state, and local regulations; sponsor requirements; and organizational policies. The consent form must be written in language that can easily be read by the subject population and any use of jargon or technical language should be avoided. The consent form should be written at no higher than an 8th grade reading level, and it is recommended that it be written in the third person (please see the example on the RD&C website). A two inch by two inch blank space must be left on the bottom of each page of the consent form for the IRB approval stamp.

Necessary attachments:

- Signed Student Consent to Release of Educational Record Form (students and medical residents only);
- Investigator Letter of Assurance of Compliance; (all researchers)
- Consent form, or Waiver or Alteration of Informed Consent Requirements (Form IC 702-B)
- Key Personnel Listing
- Surveys, interview questions, etc. (if applicable);
- Printed web screens (if survey is over the Internet); and
- Advertisements (flyer, social media postings, email/letters, etc.).

By signing below, you are verifying that the information provided in the Human Subject Review Form and attached information is accurate and that the project will be completed as indicated.

Signatures:

[Signature]

(Principal Investigator) Date: [Date]

(Student Advisor) Date: [Date]

**All students and medical residents must list a faculty member as a student advisor on the first page of the application and must have that person sign the application.**

Requirements for submitting proposals:

Additional information can be found on the IRB website at: [http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/index.cfm](http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/index.cfm)

Original, signed proposals and all attachments, along with the necessary number of copies (see below), should be submitted to: Institutional Review Board, 264 Centennial Drive Stop 7134, Grand Forks, ND 58202-7134, or brought to Room 106, Twamley Hall.

Required Number of Copies:

- Expedited Review: Submit the signed original and 1 copy of the entire proposal.
- Full Board Review: Submit the signed original and 22 copies of the entire proposal by the deadline listed on the IRB website: [http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/meeting-schedule.cfm](http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/meeting-schedule.cfm)
- Clinical Medical Subcommittee and Full Board Review: Submit the signed original and 24 copies of the entire proposal by the deadline listed on the IRB website: [http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/meeting-schedule.cfm](http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/meeting-schedule.cfm)

Prior to receiving IRB approval, researchers must complete the required IRB human subjects’ education. Please go to: [http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/human-subject-education.cfm](http://und.edu/research/resources/human-subjects/human-subject-education.cfm)

The criteria for determining what category your proposal will be reviewed under is listed on page 3 of the IRB Checklist. Your reviewer will assign a review category to your proposal. Should your protocol require full Board review, you will need to provide additional copies. Further information can be found on the IRB website regarding required copies and IRB review categories, or you may call the IRB office at 701 777-4279.

In cases where the proposed work is part of a proposal to a potential funding source, one copy of the completed proposal to the funding agency (agreement/contract if there is no proposal) must be attached to the completed Human Subjects Review Form if the proposal is non-clinical; 5 copies if the proposal is clinical-medical. If the proposed work is being conducted for a pharmaceutical company, 5 copies of the company’s protocol must be provided.
INVESTIGATOR LETTER OF ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE
WITH ALL APPLICABLE FEDERAL REGULATIONS FOR THE
PROTECTION OF THE RIGHTS OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

I, David P. Relling, PT, Ph.D.,
(Name of Investigator)

agree that, in conducting research under the approval of the University of North Dakota Institutional Review Board, I will fully comply and assume responsibility for the enforcement of compliance with all applicable federal regulations and University policies for the protection of the rights of human subjects engaged in research. Specific regulations include the Federal Common Rule for Protection of the Rights of Human Subjects 45 CFR 46. I will also assure compliance to the ethical principles set forth in the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research document, The Belmont Report.

I understand the University’s policies concerning research involving human subjects and agree to the following:

1. Should I wish to make changes in the approved protocol for this project, I will submit them for review PRIOR to initiating the changes. (A proposal may be changed without prior IRB approval where necessary to eliminate apparent immediate hazards to the subjects or others. However, the IRB must be notified in writing within 72 hours of any change, and IRB review is required at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the full IRB.)

2. If any problems involving human subjects occur, I will immediately notify the Chair of the IRB, or the IRB Coordinator.

3. I will cooperate with the UND IRB by submitting Research Project Review and Progress Reports in a timely manner.

I understand the failure to do so may result in the suspension or termination of proposed research and possible reporting to federal agencies.

Investigator Signature: [Signature]

Date: 5/3/15

10/1/06
This document identifies the EMG electrode placements for the study titled EMG Activity of Accessory Muscles of Breathing during Recovery Positions.
TITLE: EMG Activity of Accessory Muscles of Breathing during Recovery Positions

Subject Questionnaire and Data Collection

DOB: ___________________ Height (in) ___________________
Gender: _M/F_ Weight (lbs) ___________________

1) Do you have any difficulty with breathing or physical activity? YES/NO
   If YES, please explain: _______________________________________________________________________

2) Have you had any injuries to your chest or back in the past 3 months? YES/NO
   If YES, please explain: _______________________________________________________________________

3) Have you experienced itching, rash or irritation from bandages or adhesives on tape? YES/NO
   If YES, please explain: _______________________________________________________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITION</th>
<th>VCIM</th>
<th>FVC</th>
<th>FEV1</th>
<th>FEV1%</th>
<th>PEF</th>
<th>MV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standing Arms at Sides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Hands on Head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing Hands on Knees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting Arms Supported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VCIm = Vital capacity inspiration
FVC = Forced expiratory vital capacity
FEV1 = Forced expiratory volume after 1 second
FEV1% = FEV1 in % of maximal vital capacity
PEF = Maximal expiratory flow (peak flow)
MV = Minute Ventilation
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