



1-1-2010

## Annual Index

North Dakota Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

North Dakota Law Review (2010) "Annual Index," *North Dakota Law Review*. Vol. 86 : No. 4 , Article 8.  
Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol86/iss4/8>

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [zeineb.yousif@library.und.edu](mailto:zeineb.yousif@library.und.edu).

ANNUAL SUBJECT INDEX VOLUME 86  
LIST OF SUBJECT HEADINGS

The following is a list of all subject headings in this index, arranged alphabetically.

ABANDONED PROPERTY  
BANKRUPTCY  
BAR ASSOCIATION  
BAR PROCEEDINGS  
BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS  
CAMPAIGN FINANCE  
CHILD PORNOGRAPHY  
CIVIL PROCEDURE  
COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES  
COMMITMENT  
CONSTITUTIONAL LAW  
CORPORATIONS  
CRIMINAL LAW  
DIVORCE  
ELECTION LAW  
EXEMPTIONS  
FIRST AMENDMENT  
HANDICAPPED EDUCATION  
HEALTH LAW  
IMMUNITY  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY  
JURIES  
LAND TITLES  
LIABILITY  
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY  
MENTAL HEALTH  
MINERAL LAW  
MINOR CONSENT  
MUNICIPAL ORDINANCES  
NORTH DAKOTA LAW  
NORTH DAKOTA SUPREME COURT REVIEW  
PHARMACY OWNERSHIP  
PREEMPTION

PRODUCT LIABILITY  
PROPERTY  
PSYCHIATRY  
REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS  
SCHOOLS & SCHOOL DISTRICTS  
SEARCH & SEIZURE  
SEPARATION OF POWERS  
SEXUAL OFFENSES  
SPORTS LAW  
SYMPOSIUM  
TRAFFIC OFFENSES  
TRUSTS & TRUSTEES  
U.S. SUPREME COURT  
VIEWPOINT

#### ABANDONED PROPERTY

86:521, No. 3; A Need for Clarification: North Dakota's Abandoned Mineral Statute. Sara K. Sorenson.

#### BANKRUPTCY

86:559, No. 3; Property Exempt from Process—How House Bill 1039 Updated and Clarified North Dakota's Outdated Exemption Scheme, and a Call for Further Reform. Sara Monson.

86:667, No. 3; Bankruptcy—Exemptions: When an Interested Party Must Object, and Exempting Property with the Intention of Retaining Possession, *Schwab v. Reilly*, 130 S. Ct. 2652 (2010). Jonathan L. Voigt.

#### BAR ASSOCIATION

86:481, No. 2; Proceedings of the One Hundred Eleventh Annual Meeting of the North Dakota State Bar Association.

#### BAR PROCEEDINGS

86:481, No. 2; Proceedings of the One Hundred Eleventh Annual Meeting of the North Dakota State Bar Association.

#### BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS

86:535, No. 3; Filling the Gap: Addressing the Potential Impact of North Dakota Adopting Legislation Creating a New Entity—The Low Profit Limited Liability Company. Mark R. Krogstad.

## CAMPAIGN FINANCE

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation’s Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

## CHILD PORNOGRAPHY

86:205, No. 1; Putting a Price on Child Porn: Requiring Defendants Who Possess Child Pornography Images to Pay Restitution to Child Pornography Victims. Ashleigh B. Boe.

## CIVIL PROCEDURE

86:383, No. 2; Civil Procedure—Pleading: The United States Supreme Court Revisits the Pleading Standard Under *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, Making Surviving a Motion to Dismiss More Difficult, *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009). Benjamin J. Williams.

## COLLEGES &amp; UNIVERSITIES

86:711, No. 4; Let’s Go Sue: The Attorney General’s Historical Perspective on *State of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Wayne Stenehjem & Matthew Sagsveen.

86:747, No. 4; Implementing the Settlement of *State of North Dakota, by and Through the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education, and the University of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Grant H. Shaft.

86:905, No. 4; Constitutional Law – Separation of Powers: The North Dakota Supreme Court Balances the Constitutional Authority of the State Board of Higher Education, the State Legislature, and the Judiciary, *Ellis v. North Dakota State University*, 2009 ND 59, 764 N.W.2d 192. James R. Hoy.

## COMMITMENT

86:259, No. 2; The Tail Still Wags the Dog: The Pervasive and Inappropriate Influence by the Psychiatric Profession on the Civil Commitment Process. William M. Brooks.

## CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

86:1, No. 1; Constitutional Roadkill in the Courts: Looking to the Legislature to Protect North Dakota Motorists Against Almost Unlimited Police Power to Stop and Investigate Crime. Thomas M. Lockney and Mark A. Friese.

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation’s Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

86:905, No. 4; Constitutional Law – Separation of Powers: The North Dakota Supreme Court Balances the Constitutional Authority of the State Board of Higher Education, the State Legislature, and the Judiciary, *Ellis v. North Dakota State University*, 2009 ND 59, 764 N.W.2d 192. James R. Hoy.

#### CORPORATIONS

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation’s Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

#### CRIMINAL LAW

86:1, No. 1; Constitutional Roadkill in the Courts: Looking to the Legislature to Protect North Dakota Motorists Against Almost Unlimited Police Power to Stop and Investigate Crime. Thomas M. Lockney and Mark A. Friese.

#### DIVORCE

86:115, No. 1; All’s Fair in Love and War: But What About in Divorce? The Fairness of Property Division in American and English Big Money Divorce Cases. Margaret Ryznar.

#### ELECTION LAW

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation’s Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

#### EXEMPTIONS

86:559, No. 3; Property Exempt from Process—How House Bill 1039 Updated and Clarified North Dakota’s Outdated Exemption Scheme, and a Call for Further Reform. Sara Monson.

86:667, No. 3; Bankruptcy—Exemptions: When an Interested Party Must Object, and Exempting Property with the Intention of Retaining Possession, *Schwab v. Reilly*, 130 S. Ct. 2652 (2010). Jonathan L. Voigt.

## FIRST AMENDMENT

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation’s Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

## HANDICAPPED EDUCATION

86:587, No. 3; Schools—Handicapped Children: The United States Supreme Court Rules that the 1997 Amendments to Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Do Not Categorically Bar Tuition Reimbursement for Unilateral Private-School Placements, *Forest Grove School District v. T.A.*, 129 S. Ct. 2484 (2009). Dennis Ingold.

## HEALTH LAW

86:63, No. 1; “*Doctor, I’m Pregnant and Fifteen—I Can’t Tell My Parents—Please Help Me*”: Minor Consent, Reproductive Rights, and Ethical Principles for Physicians. Dean J. Haas.

## IMMUNITY

86:689, No. 3; Schools—District Liability: Political Subdivision Liability and School Duties Prevail Over Recreational Use Immunity, *M.M. v. Fargo Public School District No. 1*, 2010 ND 102, 783 N.W.2d 806. Vanessa Anderson.

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

86:641, No. 3; Intellectual Property—Patents: The United States Supreme Court Clarifies the Test for Patent Eligibility of Processes, *Bilski v. Kappos*, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010). Rebecca L. Binstock.

86:759, No. 4; The NFL, Intellectual Property, and the Conquest of Sports Media. Eric E. Johnson.

## JURIES

86:505, No. 3; The Law of Unintended Consequences: The North Dakota Supreme Court Recognizes the Right to a Jury Trial for Noncriminal Traffic Offenses in *Riemers v. Eslinger*. Peter Welte.

## LAND TITLES

86:521, No. 3; A Need for Clarification: North Dakota’s Abandoned Mineral Statute. Sara K. Sorenson.

## LIABILITY

86:689, No. 3; Schools—District Liability: Political Subdivision Liability and School Duties Prevail Over Recreational Use Immunity, *M.M. v. Fargo Public School District No. 1*, 2010 ND 102, 783 N.W.2d 806. Vanessa Anderson.

## LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY

86:535, No. 3; Filling the Gap: Addressing the Potential Impact of North Dakota Adopting Legislation Creating a New Entity—The Low Profit Limited Liability Company. Mark R. Krogstad.

## MENTAL HEALTH

86:231, No. 1; Mental Health—Sex Offenders: The North Dakota Supreme Court Contemplates the Use of Self-Incriminating Statements While Denying a Petition for Discharge, *In re G.R.H.*, 2008 ND 222, 758 N.W.2d 719. Paul J. Gunderson.

## MINERAL LAW

86:521, No. 3; A Need for Clarification: North Dakota's Abandoned Mineral Statute. Sara K. Sorenson.

## MINOR CONSENT

86:63, No. 1; “*Doctor, I’m Pregnant and Fifteen—I Can’t Tell My Parents—Please Help Me*”: Minor Consent, Reproductive Rights, and Ethical Principles for Physicians. Dean J. Haas.

## MUNICIPAL ORDINANCES

86:505, No. 3; The Law of Unintended Consequences: The North Dakota Supreme Court Recognizes the Right to a Jury Trial for Noncriminal Traffic Offenses in *Riemers v. Eslinger*. Peter Welte.

## NORTH DAKOTA LAW

86:1, No. 1; Constitutional Roadkill in the Courts: Looking to the Legislature to Protect North Dakota Motorists Against Almost Unlimited Police Power to Stop and Investigate Crime. Thomas M. Lockney and Mark A. Friese.

86:231, No. 1; Mental Health—Sex Offenders: The North Dakota Supreme Court Contemplates the Use of Self-Incriminating Statements While Denying a Petition for Discharge, *In re G.R.H.*, 2008 ND 222, 758 N.W.2d 719. Paul J. Gunderson.

86:321, No. 2; Improving the Law Through Codification: Adoption of the Uniform Trust Code in North Dakota. Kirsten Franzen and Bradley Myers.

86:355, No. 2; North Dakota's Pharmacy Ownership Law: An Analysis of the Strictest Pharmacy Ownership Law in the United States. Stefanie Haarsager.

86:505, No. 3; The Law of Unintended Consequences: The North Dakota Supreme Court Recognizes the Right to a Jury Trial for Noncriminal Traffic Offenses in *Riemers v. Eslinger*. Peter Welte.

86:521, No. 3; A Need for Clarification: North Dakota's Abandoned Mineral Statute. Sara K. Sorenson.

86:535, No. 3; Filling the Gap: Addressing the Potential Impact of North Dakota Adopting Legislation Creating a New Entity—The Low Profit Limited Liability Company. Mark R. Krogstad.

86:559, No. 3; Property Exempt from Process—How House Bill 1039 Updated and Clarified North Dakota's Outdated Exemption Scheme, and a Call for Further Reform. Sara Monson.

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation's Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

86:689, No. 3; Schools—District Liability: Political Subdivision Liability and School Duties Prevail Over Recreational Use Immunity, *M.M. v. Fargo Public School District No. 1*, 2010 ND 102, 783 N.W.2d 806. Vanessa Anderson.

86:711, No. 4; Let's Go Sue: The Attorney General's Historical Perspective on *State of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Wayne Stenehjem & Matthew Sagsveen.

86:747, No. 4; Implementing the Settlement of *State of North Dakota, by and Through the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education, and the University of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Grant H. Shaft.

86:905, No. 4; Constitutional Law – Separation of Powers: The North Dakota Supreme Court Balances the Constitutional Authority of the State Board of Higher Education, the State Legislature, and the Judiciary, *Ellis v. North Dakota State University*, 2009 ND 59, 764 N.W.2d 192. James R. Hoy.

#### NORTH DAKOTA SUPREME COURT REVIEW

86:437, No. 2; North Dakota Supreme Court Review.



## PHARMACY OWNERSHIP

86:355, No. 2; North Dakota's Pharmacy Ownership Law: An Analysis of the Strictest Pharmacy Ownership Law in the United States. Stefanie Haarsager.

## PREEMPTION

86:405, No. 2; Products Liability—Conflict Preemption: The United States Supreme Court Denies Preemption Defense for Drug Manufacturers Using FDA-Approved Warning Labels, *Wyeth v. Levine*, 129 S. Ct. 1187 (2009). Charlotte J. Skar.

## PRODUCT LIABILITY

86:405, No. 2; Products Liability—Conflict Preemption: The United States Supreme Court Denies Preemption Defense for Drug Manufacturers Using FDA-Approved Warning Labels, *Wyeth v. Levine*, 129 S. Ct. 1187 (2009). Charlotte J. Skar.

## PROPERTY

86:115, No. 1; All's Fair in Love and War: But What About in Divorce? The Fairness of Property Division in American and English Big Money Divorce Cases. Margaret Ryznar.

86:559, No. 3; Property Exempt from Process—How House Bill 1039 Updated and Clarified North Dakota's Outdated Exemption Scheme, and a Call for Further Reform. Sara Monson.

86:667, No. 3; Bankruptcy—Exemptions: When an Interested Party Must Object, and Exempting Property with the Intention of Retaining Possession, *Schwab v. Reilly*, 130 S. Ct. 2652 (2010). Jonathan L. Voigt.

## PSYCHIATRY

86:259, No. 2; The Tail Still Wags the Dog: The Pervasive and Inappropriate Influence by the Psychiatric Profession on the Civil Commitment Process. William M. Brooks.

## REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS

86:63, No. 1; "*Doctor, I'm Pregnant and Fifteen—I Can't Tell My Parents—Please Help Me*": Minor Consent, Reproductive Rights, and Ethical Principles for Physicians. Dean J. Haas.

## SCHOOLS & SCHOOL DISTRICTS

86:587, No. 3; Schools—Handicapped Children: The United States Supreme Court Rules that the 1997 Amendments to Individuals with

Disabilities Education Act Do Not Categorically Bar Tuition Reimbursement for Unilateral Private-School Placements, *Forest Grove School District v. T.A.*, 129 S. Ct. 2484 (2009). Dennis Ingold.

86:689, No. 3; Schools—District Liability: Political Subdivision Liability and School Duties Prevail Over Recreational Use Immunity, *M.M. v. Fargo Public School District No. 1*, 2010 ND 102, 783 N.W.2d 806. Vanessa Anderson.

#### SEARCH & SEIZURE

86:1, No. 1; Constitutional Roadkill in the Courts: Looking to the Legislature to Protect North Dakota Motorists Against Almost Unlimited Police Power to Stop and Investigate Crime. Thomas M. Lockney and Mark A. Friese.

#### SEPARATION OF POWERS

86:905, No. 4; Constitutional Law – Separation of Powers: The North Dakota Supreme Court Balances the Constitutional Authority of the State Board of Higher Education, the State Legislature, and the Judiciary, *Ellis v. North Dakota State University*, 2009 ND 59, 764 N.W.2d 192. James R. Hoy.

#### SEXUAL OFFENSES

86:205, No. 1; Putting a Price on Child Porn: Requiring Defendants Who Possess Child Pornography Images to Pay Restitution to Child Pornography Victims. Ashleigh B. Boe.

86:231, No. 1; Mental Health—Sex Offenders: The North Dakota Supreme Court Contemplates the Use of Self-Incriminating Statements While Denying a Petition for Discharge, *In re G.R.H.*, 2008 ND 222, 758 N.W.2d 719. Paul J. Gunderson.

#### SPORTS LAW

86:711, No. 4; Let's Go Sue: The Attorney General's Historical Perspective on *State of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Wayne Stenehjem & Matthew Sagsveen.

86:747, No. 4; Implementing the Settlement of *State of North Dakota, by and Through the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education, and the University of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Grant H. Shaft.

86:759, No. 4; The NFL, Intellectual Property, and the Conquest of Sports Media. Eric E. Johnson.

86:789, No. 4; Negotiating, Drafting, and Implementing Naming Rights Agreements. Gene Allen.

86:813, No. 4; American Professional Sports is a Doper's Paradise: It's Time We Make a Change. Daniel Gandert and Fabian Ronisky.

86:845, No. 4; A Taxpayer's and a Politician's Dilemma: Use of Eminent Domain to Acquire Private Property for Sport Facilities. Arline F. Schubert.

86:879, No. 4; Before the Redskins Were the Redskins: The Use of Native American Team Names in the Formative Era of American Sports, 1857-1933. J. Gordan Hylton.

#### SYMPOSIUM

86:711, No. 4; Let's Go Sue: The Attorney General's Historical Perspective on *State of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Wayne Stenehjem & Matthew Sagsveen.

86:747, No. 4; Implementing the Settlement of *State of North Dakota, by and Through the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education, and the University of North Dakota v. National Collegiate Athletic Association*. Grant H. Shaft.

86:759, No. 4; The NFL, Intellectual Property, and the Conquest of Sports Media. Eric E. Johnson.

86:789, No. 4; Negotiating, Drafting, and Implementing Naming Rights Agreements. Gene Allen.

86:813, No. 4; American Professional Sports is a Doper's Paradise: It's Time We Make a Change. Daniel Gandert and Fabian Ronisky.

86:845, No. 4; A Taxpayer's and a Politician's Dilemma: Use of Eminent Domain to Acquire Private Property for Sport Facilities. Arline F. Schubert.

86:879, No. 4; Before the Redskins Were the Redskins: The Use of Native American Team Names in the Formative Era of American Sports, 1857-1933. J. Gordan Hylton.

86:905, No. 4; Constitutional Law – Separation of Powers: The North Dakota Supreme Court Balances the Constitutional Authority of the State Board of Higher Education, the State Legislature, and the Judiciary, *Ellis v. North Dakota State University*, 2009 ND 59, 764 N.W.2d 192. James R. Hoy.

#### TRAFFIC OFFENSES

86:505, No. 3; The Law of Unintended Consequences: The North Dakota Supreme Court Recognizes the Right to a Jury Trial for Noncriminal Traffic Offenses in *Riemers v. Eslinger*. Peter Welte.

## TRUSTS &amp; TRUSTEES

86:321, No. 2; Improving the Law Through Codification: Adoption of the Uniform Trust Code in North Dakota. Kirsten Franzen and Bradley Myers.

## U.S. SUPREME COURT

86:383, No. 2; Civil Procedure—Pleading: The United States Supreme Court Revisits the Pleading Standard Under *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, Making Surviving a Motion to Dismiss More Difficult, *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S. Ct. 1937 (2009). Benjamin J. Williams.

86:405, No. 2; Products Liability—Conflict Preemption: The United States Supreme Court Denies Preemption Defense for Drug Manufacturers Using FDA-Approved Warning Labels, *Wyeth v. Levine*, 129 S. Ct. 1187 (2009). Charlotte J. Skar.

86:587, No. 3; Schools—Handicapped Children: The United States Supreme Court Rules that the 1997 Amendments to Individuals with Disabilities Education Act Do Not Categorically Bar Tuition Reimbursement for Unilateral Private-School Placements, *Forest Grove School District v. T.A.*, 129 S. Ct. 2484 (2009). Dennis Ingold.

86:619, No. 3; Constitutional Law—Elections: Citizens Divided: Balancing the First Amendment Right to Free Speech and the Role of Private Corporations in Our Nation's Elections, *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S. Ct. 876 (2010). Nathan R. Martindale.

86:641, No. 3; Intellectual Property—Patents: The United States Supreme Court Clarifies the Test for Patent Eligibility of Processes, *Bilski v. Kappos*, 130 S. Ct. 3218 (2010). Rebecca L. Binstock.

86:667, No. 3; Bankruptcy—Exemptions: When an Interested Party Must Object, and Exempting Property with the Intention of Retaining Possession, *Schwab v. Reilly*, 130 S. Ct. 2652 (2010). Jonathan L. Voigt.

## VIEWPOINT

86:149, No. 1; The Law as Justification: A Critical Rationalist Analysis. Michael F. Duggan.

86:197, No. 1; An Introduction to Native America: The Experience of a Russian Lawyer. Ruslan Garipov.