



10-18-1919

## Letter from John F. Sullivan to Assistant Attorney General Cox Regarding the Ole Skrukud Case, October 18, 1919

John F. Sullivan  
*Sullivan & Sullivan, Attorneys*

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/langer-papers>

---

### Recommended Citation

Sullivan, John F., "Letter from John F. Sullivan to Assistant Attorney General Cox Regarding the Ole Skrukud Case, October 18, 1919" (1919). *William Langer Papers*. 204.  
<https://commons.und.edu/langer-papers/204>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Elwyn B. Robinson Department of Special Collections at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in William Langer Papers by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [und.common@library.und.edu](mailto:und.common@library.und.edu).

JNO. F. SULLIVAN  
W. J. SULLIVAN

J. A. HEDER  
C. D. COOLEY  
E. B. HAIGHT

SULLIVAN & SULLIVAN

ATTORNEYS

MANDAN, NORTH DAKOTA

OFFICE  
FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING  
ROOMS 1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 12

October 18th, 1919.

Attorney General's Office,

Bismarck, N.D.

My Dear Cox:

Attention Mr. Cox.

Just a line to call your attention again to our conversation of the other day with reference to the Ole Skrurud case at Beach.

As I stated to you I am at this time engaged in trial work at Mandan and it is practically impossible for me to get away. You very kindly suggested that while you were not going to Beach that you were satisfied that the State's Attorney of Golden Valley County would make no objection to an arrangement for a continuance of the preliminary examination, and that he was at Bismarck at that time and that you would see him before he got away. As I indicated to you there probably is no question but what I will waive the examination after you and I have had an opportunity to discuss the evidence that would be introduced at the preliminary examination. As I indicated to you, my theory is that the preliminary examination would simply disclose to us the facts upon which you intend to rely for a conviction, and if you will advise me of those facts I am willing to take your word for it and waive the examination, as I understand this is satisfactory to you.

I am dropping you this line, however, for fear that with the rush of your work you may have over-looked the matter.

Thanking you very much for the courtesies extended, I wish to remain,

Yours very truly,

JFS-EH

Jno. F. Sullivan

SULLIVAN & SULLIVAN  
ATTORNEYS  
NEW YORK

W. J. SULLIVAN  
C. D. SULLIVAN  
ATTORNEYS  
NEW YORK

October 18th, 1918

Attorney General's Office

Washington, D. C.

My Dear Sir:

Attention Mr. Cox

Just a line to call your  
again to our conversation of the other day  
re the O'Sullivan case.

As I stated to you I am of this time  
engaged in trial work and it is not  
possible for me to get away from  
that while you were not going to  
find that the State's Attorney of  
would make no objection to an arrangement  
of the preliminary examination, and that he was at  
at that time and that you would see him before he got away.  
As I indicated to you there probably is no question but what  
I will wait the examination after you and I have had an  
opportunity to discuss the evidence that would be introduced  
at the preliminary examination. As I indicated to you, my  
theory is that the preliminary examination would simply  
disclose to us those upon which I intend to rely for  
a conviction, and if you will advise me of those facts I am  
willing to take the word for it and waive the examination,  
as I understand it is expected to you.

I am dropping you this line, however, to  
let that with the truth of what you say have covered  
the matter.

Thinking you would be glad for the courtesy  
extended, I wish to remain,

Yours very truly,

JTS:EH

20

20

20

20

20

20

20

18

15

20

26

Haum

De

9