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Abstract

Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and its sulfated ester (DHEA-S) are important pre-hormones that also have direct neurohormonal effects on the central nervous system. Over the last twenty years, data have revealed that serum DHEA peaks in a person’s mid-20s and steadily declines throughout their lifetime. In addition, women tend to have lower values than men. This is of note because lower serum values tend to be correlated with increased risk of depression, worse depressive symptoms, and increased risk of relapse into depression. A review of literature concerning DHEA’s age related values, its association with depression, and its use in the treatment of depression was performed. It was found that supplementation with DHEA appears to alleviate the symptoms of depression even though a dose-response relationship or a clear mechanism have been established. For providers who choose to use DHEA to alleviate the symptoms of depression, they should consider that even the best studies concerning its effectiveness are underpowered, it lacks FDA approval, and, if given as a supplement, may lack any active ingredient. Despite those warnings, the AAPA, CANMAT, and Dynamed all agree that evidence exists as to its efficacy.

Introduction

• Major depressive disorder, depression, and dysthymia are often overlooked and undertreated conditions in the primary care field. This exists for a variety of reasons: social stigma, provider experience, concerns over medications side-effects, and cost of treatment, to name a few. Considering that in many cases treatment of depression can be the difference between life and death for a patient, using every tool available seems imperative. Dehydroepiandrosterone and its sulfate ester (DHEA-S), a physiological marker and predictor for depression, especially in the elderly, is established despite some conflicting studies. The purpose of this paper is to ascertain if DHEA supplementation is a way to treat depression. However, the lack of powerful studies in the data leaves us with a few questions yet about its best practice use. What dose does the literature support? What serum level is important? Is it effective only as a sole agent? Are its side effects acceptable?

• Because there has yet to be a dose-response relationship established, starting with the lowest tested dose—30 mg—and titrating up to the largest dose—400 mg (Pieuxto et al. 2014)—is reasonable. Indeed, because of the lack of dose-response relationship any, even the lowest, prescription of DHEA might prove effective. Furthermore, because of a lack of a dose-response relationship, in DHEA therapy for depression we are not attempting to achieve an effective serum level and if symptomatic alleviation is our goal, serum testing is moot. At least one study (Hough et al., 2018) has recommended that DHEA might be efficacious as co-therapy for treatment of depression.

• Best practice for prescriptions of DHEA might include prescribing as a sole agent for mild to moderate depression or when the patient finds the side effects of other therapies intolerable. Its use as a sole agent might be more effective in elderly men. It could be prescribed as co-therapy with more traditional antidepressants. Above all, treatment with DHEA should be done so with its particular side effects in mind, as well as the added risk of increased suicidality. Also of key importance is DHEA’s lack of FDA approval for the treatment of depression, meaning every provider who prescribes DHEA for depression should be prepared to plainly state why they did not treat with FDA approved drugs. Finally, if a provider chooses to treat depression with DHEA they should note that while it is available over the counter as a supplement, only pharmaceutical DHEA is sure to contain DHEA; supplements routinely fail to demonstrate they contain any claimed active ingredient. With this in mind, the aim of this paper was to provide another tool for the primary care provider to manage the sometimes life-threatening scourge of depression.

Research Question

• For patients older than 30 who have major depression, depressive symptoms, or depressive episodes, does supplementation with DHEA improve symptoms or lessen episodes of depression?

Literature Review

• Bloclo, Schmidt, Danacea, Adams, & Rubinow (1999) was one of the first double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled studies of the effect of DHEA administration on the effects of depression. Though statistically significant reductions in depression were noted, participants in the DHEA treatment group of depression were demonstrated with promising p-values—many at 0.01—the study is likely underpowered. Because the ANOVA data have been withheld, the effect size cannot be calculated; however, because this study only has an N of 15 participants, the effect size to reach a power of 0.8 would need to be quite large. Bloclo et al’s colleagues Schmidt et al. (2005) attempted to recreate and expound on some of the findings of Bloclo et al. with larger N and with varying doses. Schmidt et al.’s study found similar confidence values in their double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study across indicators and indexes of depression; though, this time with an N of 46, the power of successive studies are growing. Differing in Schmidt et al.’s study were doses increasing up to 450 mg with washout periods in between. Finding a dose response relationship between DHEA and depression would have further cemented DHEA’s effectiveness in treating depression; however, this link was not established. It is not until Pieuxto, Cheda, Nardi, Veras, & Cardoso (2014) that we see a meta-analysis of many of the existing, albeit underpowered, studies deliver consistent results. Though Pieuxto et al. (2014) did not attempt to group studies providing a single large N value due to differing methodologies between studies, the consistency of results is beginning to suggest that DHEA is effective in the treatment of depression despite all studies being likely underpowered.

Discussion

• The presented data seem conclusive about the life-span and gender changes of DHEA and DHEA-S—that DHEA declines with age after peaking in our twenties, and typically men have more serum DHEA than women. However, attempts to correlate the existing data to depression, risk of depression, severity of symptoms, and remission of symptoms are less clear. Though, a trend among many smaller, under-powered studies is emerging: i.e. that lower DHEA is associated with risk of depression in the elderly, that a lower DHEA response to depression is associated with worse, or intractable depression, that higher levels of DHEA are protective against, or might signal remission of depression.

• Data suggests a mechanism to hormonally correct depression by raising levels of DHEA, but that impairment by physiologically low levels of DHEA, or low levels due to advanced age, might hinder this mechanism. Bolstering this idea is evidence that when depression is treated even with SSRIs and SNRIs, serum DHEA rises (Hough et al., 2017). The limits of this response appear to be personal and highly variable as no dose response mechanism for DHEA and depression has been identified by the literature. Specifically, Bloch et al. (1999) and Schmidt et al. (2005) found no increasing benefits with doses as high as 400 mg, whereas other studies only tested—and had success with—doses as high as 90 mg.

Applicability to Clinical Practice

• There is agreement among AAPA (Slawson, 2005), CANMAT (Ravindran et al., 2016), and Dynamed (2018) that exogenous DHEA may be useful in the treatment of depression. However, the lack of powerful studies in the data leaves us with a few questions yet about its best practice use. What dose does the literature support? What serum level is important? Is it effective only as a sole agent? Are its side effects acceptable?

• Because there has yet to be a dose-response relationship established, starting with the lowest tested dose—30 mg—and titrating up to the largest dose—400 mg (Pieuxto et al. 2014)—is reasonable. Indeed, because of the lack of dose-response relationship any, even the lowest, prescription of DHEA might prove effective. Furthermore, because of a lack of a dose-response relationship, in DHEA therapy for depression we are not attempting to achieve an effective serum level and if symptomatic alleviation is our goal, serum testing is moot. At least one study (Hough et al., 2018) has recommended that DHEA might be efficacious as co-therapy for treatment of depression.

• Best practice for prescriptions of DHEA might include prescribing as a sole agent for mild to moderate depression or when the patient finds the side effects of other therapies intolerable. Its use as a sole agent might be more effective in elderly men. It could be prescribed as co-therapy with more traditional antidepressants. Above all, treatment with DHEA should be done so with its particular side effects in mind, as well as the added risk of increased suicidality. Also of key importance is DHEA’s lack of FDA approval for the treatment of depression, meaning every provider who prescribes DHEA for depression should be prepared to plainly state why they did not treat with FDA approved drugs. Finally, if a provider chooses to treat depression with DHEA they should note that while it is available over the counter as a supplement, only pharmaceutical DHEA is sure to contain DHEA; supplements routinely fail to demonstrate they contain any claimed active ingredient. With this in mind, the aim of this paper was to provide another tool for the primary care provider to manage the sometimes life-threatening scourge of depression.
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