



2013

Issues with Airport Organization and Reorganization

Kim Kenville
kimberly.kenville@und.edu

James F. Smith

[How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/avi-fac>

Recommended Citation

Kim Kenville and James F. Smith. "Issues with Airport Organization and Reorganization" (2013). *Aviation Faculty Publications*. 57.
<https://commons.und.edu/avi-fac/57>

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Aviation at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Aviation Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.common@library.und.edu.

This PDF is available at <http://nap.edu/22570>

SHARE    



Issues with Airport Organization and Reorganization (2013)

DETAILS

32 pages | 8.5 x 11 | PAPERBACK
ISBN 978-0-309-22386-7 | DOI 10.17226/22570

GET THIS BOOK

FIND RELATED TITLES

CONTRIBUTORS

Kimberly A. Kenville and James F. Smith; Airport Cooperative Research Program; Transportation Research Board; National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine

SUGGESTED CITATION

National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2013. *Issues with Airport Organization and Reorganization*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/22570>.

Visit the National Academies Press at NAP.edu and login or register to get:

- Access to free PDF downloads of thousands of scientific reports
- 10% off the price of print titles
- Email or social media notifications of new titles related to your interests
- Special offers and discounts



Distribution, posting, or copying of this PDF is strictly prohibited without written permission of the National Academies Press. (Request Permission) Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences.

Copyright © National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

AIRPORT COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAM

ACRP SYNTHESIS 40

Issues with Airport Organization and Reorganization

A Synthesis of Airport Practice

CONSULTANTS

Kimberly A. Kenville
Kim Kenville Consulting
Grand Forks, North Dakota
and

James F. Smith
Smith-Woolwine Associates
Floyd, Virginia

SUBSCRIBER CATEGORIES

Administration and Management • Aviation

Research Sponsored by the Federal Aviation Administration

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

WASHINGTON, D.C.
2013
www.TRB.org

AIRPORT COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAM

Airports are vital national resources. They serve a key role in transportation of people and goods and in regional, national, and international commerce. They are where the nation's aviation system connects with other modes of transportation and where federal responsibility for managing and regulating air traffic operations intersects with the role of state and local governments that own and operate most airports. Research is necessary to solve common operating problems, to adapt appropriate new technologies from other industries, and to introduce innovations into the airport industry. The Airport Cooperative Research Program (ACRP) serves as one of the principle means by which the airport industry can develop innovative near-term solutions to meet demands placed on it.

The need for ACRP was identified in *TRB Special Report 272: Airport Research Needs: Cooperative Solutions* in 2003, based on a study sponsored by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The ACRP carries out applied research on problems that are shared by airport operating agencies and are not being adequately addressed by existing federal research programs. It is modeled after the successful National Cooperative Highway Research Program and Transit Cooperative Research Program. The ACRP undertakes research and other technical activities in a variety of airport subject areas, including design, construction, maintenance, operations, safety, security, policy, planning, human resources, and administration. The ACRP provides a forum where airport operators can cooperatively address common operational problems.

The ACRP was authorized in December 2003 as part of the Vision 100-Century of Aviation Reauthorization Act. The primary participants in the ACRP are (1) an independent governing board, the ACRP Oversight Committee (AOC), appointed by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation with representation from airport operating agencies, other stakeholders, and relevant industry organizations such as the Airports Council International-North America (ACI-NA), the American Association of Airport Executives (AAAE), the National Association of State Aviation Officials (NASAO), and the Air Transport Association (ATA) as vital links to the airport community; (2) the TRB as program manager and secretariat for the governing board; and (3) the FAA as program sponsor. In October 2005, the FAA executed a contract with the National Academies formally initiating the program.

The ACRP benefits from the cooperation and participation of airport professionals, air carriers, shippers, state and local government officials, equipment and service suppliers, other airport users, and research organizations. Each of these participants has different interests and responsibilities, and each is an integral part of this cooperative research effort.

Research problem statements for the ACRP are solicited periodically but may be submitted to the TRB by anyone at any time. It is the responsibility of the AOC to formulate the research program by identifying the highest priority projects and defining funding levels and expected products.

Once selected, each ACRP project is assigned to an expert panel, appointed by the TRB. Panels include experienced practitioners and research specialists; heavy emphasis is placed on including airport professionals, the intended users of the research products. The panels prepare project statements (requests for proposals), select contractors, and provide technical guidance and counsel throughout the life of the project. The process for developing research problem statements and selecting research agencies has been used by TRB in managing cooperative research programs since 1962. As in other TRB activities, ACRP project panels serve voluntarily without compensation.

Primary emphasis is placed on disseminating ACRP results to the intended end-users of the research: airport operating agencies, service providers, and suppliers. The ACRP produces a series of research reports for use by airport operators, local agencies, the FAA, and other interested parties, and industry associations may arrange for workshops, training aids, field visits, and other activities to ensure that results are implemented by airport-industry practitioners

ACRP SYNTHESIS 40

Project A11-03, Topic S01-05

ISSN 1935-9187

ISBN 978-0-309-22386-7

Library of Congress Control Number 2012955688

© 2013 National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT INFORMATION

Authors herein are responsible for the authenticity of their materials and for obtaining written permissions from publishers or persons who own the copyright to any previously published or copyrighted material used herein.

Cooperative Research Programs (CRP) grants permission to reproduce material in this publication for classroom and not-for-profit purposes. Permission is given with the understanding that none of the material will be used to imply TRB or FAA endorsement of a particular product, method, or practice. It is expected that those reproducing the material in the document for educational and not-for-profit uses will give appropriate acknowledgment of the source of any reprinted or reproduced material. For other uses of the material, request permission from CRP.

NOTICE

The project that is the subject of this report was a part of the Airport Cooperative Research Program conducted by the Transportation Research Board with the approval of the Governing Board of the National Research Council. Such approval reflects the Governing Board's judgment that the program concerned is of national importance and appropriate with respect to both the purposes and resources of the National Research Council.

The members of the technical committee selected to monitor this project and to review this report were chosen for recognized scholarly competence and with due consideration for the balance of disciplines appropriate to the project. The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied are those of the research agency that performed the research, and, while they have been accepted as appropriate by the technical committee, they are not necessarily those of the Transportation Research Board, the National Research Council, or the Federal Aviation Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

Each report is reviewed and accepted for publication by the technical committee according to procedures established and monitored by the Transportation Research Board Executive Committee and the Governing Board of the National Research Council.

The Transportation Research Board of The National Academies, the National Research Council, and the Federal Aviation Administration (sponsor of the ACRP) do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the clarity and completeness of the project reporting.

Published reports of the

AIRPORT COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAM

are available from:

Transportation Research Board
Business Office
500 Fifth Street NW
Washington, DC 20001

and can be ordered through the Internet at:
<http://www.national-academies.org/trb/bookstore>

Printed in the United States of America

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and technology and to their use for the general welfare. On the authority of the charter granted to it by the Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Charles M. Vest is president of the National Academy of Engineering.

The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, on its own initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president of the Institute of Medicine.

The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. Charles M. Vest are chair and vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

The **Transportation Research Board** is one of six major divisions of the National Research Council. The mission of the Transportation Research Board is to provide leadership in transportation innovation and progress through research and information exchange, conducted within a setting that is objective, interdisciplinary, and multimodal. The Board's varied activities annually engage about 7,000 engineers, scientists, and other transportation researchers and practitioners from the public and private sectors and academia, all of whom contribute their expertise in the public interest. The program is supported by state transportation departments, federal agencies including the component administrations of the U.S. Department of Transportation, and other organizations and individuals interested in the development of transportation. www.TRB.org

www.national-academies.org

TOPIC PANEL S01-05

DAVID J. BOENITZ, *San Diego County (CA) Regional Airport Authority*
ROD BORDEN, *Columbus Regional Airport Authority*
NICOLE DESLOGES, *Greater Toronto Airports Authority*
KEVIN C. DOLLIOLE, *Unison Consulting, Inc.*
CHRISTINE GERENCHER, *Transportation Research Board*
SUSAN WARNER-DOOLEY, *HNTB Corporation, New York, NY*
CHUNYAN YU, *Embry Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL*
ELLIOT BLACK, *Federal Aviation Administration (Liaison)*
LIYING GUO, *Airports Council International—North America (Liaison)*

SYNTHESIS STUDIES STAFF

STEPHEN R. GODWIN, *Director for Studies and Special Programs*
JON M. WILLIAMS, *Program Director, IDEA and Synthesis Studies*
JO ALLEN GAUSE, *Senior Program Officer*
GAIL R. STABA, *Senior Program Officer*
DONNA L. VLASAK, *Senior Program Officer*
TANYA M. ZWAHLEN, *Consultant*
DON TIPPMAN, *Senior Editor*
CHERYL KEITH, *Senior Program Assistant*
DEMISHA WILLIAMS, *Senior Program Assistant*
DEBBIE IRVIN, *Program Associate*

COOPERATIVE RESEARCH PROGRAMS STAFF

CHRISTOPHER W. JENKS, *Director, Cooperative Research Programs*
CRAWFORD F. JENCKS, *Deputy Director, Cooperative Research Programs*
MICHAEL R. SALAMONE, *Senior Program Officer*
JOSEPH J. BROWN-SNELL, *Program Associate*
EILEEN P. DELANEY, *Director of Publications*

ACRP COMMITTEE FOR PROJECT 11-03

CHAIR

JULIE KENFIELD, *Jacobs Engineering, Inc*

MEMBERS

RANDALL P. BURDETTE, *Virginia Department of Aviation*
KEVIN C. DOLLIOLE, *Unison Consulting, Inc.*
LINDA HOWARD, *Bastrop, Texas*
ARLYN PURCELL, *Port Authority of New York & New Jersey*
BURR STEWART, *Burrst, Seattle, Washington*

FAA LIAISON

PAUL DEVOTI

AIRCRAFT OWNERS AND PILOTS ASSOCIATION

JOHN L. COLLINS

TRB LIAISON

CHRISTINE GERENCHER

Cover figure: Teamwork. *Credit:* <http://www.bing.com/images/search?q=teamwork&view=detail&id=5A7D03C60F88029942096262455F8F4C7BF07F7B>.

FOREWORD

Airport administrators, engineers, and researchers often face problems for which information already exists, either in documented form or as undocumented experience and practice. This information may be fragmented, scattered, and unevaluated. As a consequence, full knowledge of what has been learned about a problem may not be brought to bear on its solution. Costly research findings may go unused, valuable experience may be overlooked, and due consideration may not be given to recommended practices for solving or alleviating the problem.

There is information on nearly every subject of concern to the airport industry. Much of it derives from research or from the work of practitioners faced with problems in their day-to-day work. To provide a systematic means for assembling and evaluating such useful information and to make it available to the entire airport community, the Airport Cooperative Research Program authorized the Transportation Research Board to undertake a continuing project. This project, ACRP Project 11-03, "Synthesis of Information Related to Airport Practices," searches out and synthesizes useful knowledge from all available sources and prepares concise, documented reports on specific topics. Reports from this endeavor constitute an ACRP report series, *Synthesis of Airport Practice*.

This synthesis series reports on current knowledge and practice, in a compact format, without the detailed directions usually found in handbooks or design manuals. Each report in the series provides a compendium of the best knowledge available on those measures found to be the most successful in resolving specific problems.

PREFACE

*By Gail R. Staba
Senior Program Officer
Transportation
Research Board*

This report provides airport managers with effective practices airports use to help manage their organizations to best meet the changing needs of the aviation industry. It examines relevant organizational design in the academic literature, along with current trends and practices in airport management.

Twenty-two airport managers representing 36 airports answered an extensive questionnaire that elicited information about their unique experiences with organizational change, and five case studies were chosen for further exploration.

Kimberly A. Kenville, Ph.D., C.M., Kim Kenville Consulting, Grand Forks, North Dakota, and James F. Smith, Ph.D., P.E., Smith-Woolwine Associates, Floyd, Virginia, collected and synthesized the information and wrote the report. The members of the topic panel are acknowledged on the preceding page. This synthesis is an immediately useful document that records the practices that were acceptable within the limitations of the knowledge available at the time of its preparation. As progress in research and practice continues, new knowledge will be added to that now at hand.

CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY
3	CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION Background, 3 Study Methodology, 3
5	CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW Theory of Organizational Structure, 5 Possible Indicators for an Organizational Change, 9 Metrics for Assessing Organizational Design, 10 Other Industry Trends in Organizational Design, 10 Organizational Change, 10 External Facilitator/Consultant, 11 Barriers to Organizational Change, 11
12	CHAPTER THREE SURVEY RESULTS Introduction, 12 Common Themes, 14
15	CHAPTER FOUR CASE EXAMPLES Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority—Nashville International Airport (KBNA), 15 Louisville Regional Airport Authority—Louisville International Standiford Field (KSDF), 16 Salt Lake City International Airport (KSLC), 17 Rapid City Regional Airport (KRAP), 18 Colorado Springs Airport (KCOS), 19
21	CHAPTER FIVE CRITICAL CONSIDERATIONS
23	CHAPTER SIX FLIGHT PLAN FOR ORGANIZATIONAL REDESIGN
25	CHAPTER SEVEN CONCLUSIONS
26	GLOSSARY
27	ACRONYMS
28	REFERENCES
29	APPENDIX A SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE
31	APPENDIX B AIRPORT RESPONDENTS
32	APPENDIX C AIRPORT ORGANIZATIONAL CHARTS

APPENDIX C, BEGINNING ON PAGE 33 IS WEB-ONLY AND CAN BE FOUND AT WWW.TRB.ORG,
SEARCH ON “ACRP SYNTHESIS 40.”

Note: Many of the photographs, figures, and tables in this report have been converted from color to grayscale for printing. The electronic version of the report (posted on the web at www.trb.org) retains the color versions.

ISSUES WITH AIRPORT ORGANIZATION AND REORGANIZATION

SUMMARY Today's airport managers face unprecedented political, environmental, and economic pressures. In many cases, traditional organizational structures no longer address the complex nature of airport management. This lack of congruence between policy and practice is triggering widespread reevaluation of organizational planning. To develop an optimal structure, it is useful to examine past and current practices in operational design and explore sensible, effective approaches to organizational change.

This project provides airport managers with improved tools to help manage their organizations to best meet the changing needs of the aviation industry. It examines relevant organizational design in the academic literature, along with current trends and practices in airport management. Twenty-two airport managers representing 36 airports answered an extensive questionnaire that elicited information about their unique experiences with organizational change, and five case examples were chosen for further exploration. A discussion and synthesis of the literature with real-world experience, along with a "flight plan" detailing successful strategies, aims to support airport leaders as they strive to best align personnel and thrive in today's rapidly changing environment.

Organizations can determine the best fit by considering the key elements of work specialization, departmentalization, chains of command, span of control, centralization, and formalization in tandem with observations and assessments of current practice. Examining the nature of the industry (e.g., formal, mechanistic, regulated), the type of employees (e.g., management, workers), along with mission and vision, can help airports find their most advantageous structure.

Organizational structures range from functional, centralized, and hierarchical to more free-flowing, decentralized, and collaborative: boxes and straight lines yield to circles and arrows. Over the past two decades, new approaches have been gaining support, such as team-based, modular, organizational network analysis, and boundaryless organizational design.

This report provides airport operators with a synthesis of methodologies, processes, and factors to develop, implement, and evaluate organizational structures; a discussion of the advantages, disadvantages, constraints, risks, and opportunities of traditional and alternative organizational concepts and frameworks; and selected examples and lessons learned from five airports that recently implemented substantial changes in their organizational structure.

Several issues were evident throughout the research: a clear vision and strategic plan was critical in driving any organizational change. Endorsement from the governing entity was essential; the primary role of the leadership was to involve key employees in determining the type of organizational structure that would best serve the new strategic business objectives. An overarching theme in each case example interview was that it takes time to initiate and implement organizational change, so patience needs to prevail, and the small successes should be celebrated along the way.

Although the airport managers' experience and insights often matched best practices in the literature, there is no "one size fits all" approach. Each approach has advantages and disadvantages, and each airport faces unique local, state, and federal obligations and pressures. Strong, informed leadership and vision, coupled with a patient and informed approach, can drive positive, effective change.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION**BACKGROUND**

Organizational design is a complex and difficult task, yet it is one of the most important tasks undertaken by CEOs and their senior management teams. Successful design of an organization requires deeply understanding the context for which the organization is being designed—the environment in which the firm competes, and the business strategies and models it will use to compete, and the capabilities it needs to compete (Beckman 2009).

Over the past decade, most airports have faced many new challenges, such as irregular operations, increased competition, changing regulatory issues, and increasing economic pressures. These challenges have provided opportunities for management to review current business strategies and adjust organizational structures to best meet their core business strategies.

External pressures have triggered changes in operations; in some cases, changes in business models and strategies have led airports to remain self-sustaining organizations that are flexible during times of change. In other cases, however, airports are struggling to meet the challenges of this era of rapid change. To make the best decisions before embarking on restructuring an airport, it is useful to examine past and current practices in operational design and observe real-world approaches to organizational change. Airports may find that they need to update their organizational structure as a result of political, environmental, or economic triggers. Articulating business goals and developing an effective strategic plan can lead airport operators to examine and modify their organizational structure. A well-understood and effective organizational structure can provide much-needed support for airports seeking to meet strategic, operational, and business goals while facilitating successful delivery of core services.

According to Droege (n.d.), “changing an organization’s structure is a daunting managerial task, and the immensity of such a project is at least partly why organizational structures change infrequently” (para. 4). It is a daunting but necessary task that requires sound leadership and high-level collaboration. Many airports are examining their internal organizational structure to rebalance workloads and identify possible outsourcing opportunities to attain greater efficiencies. Some are find-

ing that their original organizational chart needs to be completely overhauled.

This project aims to facilitate and support the change process by providing airport managers with specific, effective organizational practices to meet their strategic, operational, and business goals and delivery of core services in a time of changing needs within the aviation industry. Key points from current academic literature regarding organizational structures and design features are explained, and a discussion of a survey completed by 22 airport operators representing 36 airports follows. Five in-depth case examples further illustrate specific triggers, processes, and challenges learned during the change process. Finally, a practical flight plan of critical considerations synthesizes the current literature, survey data, and case example information as it affects real-world practice, providing busy airport leaders with a helpful guide to follow as they navigate organizational change.

STUDY METHODOLOGY

A questionnaire (see Appendix A) was designed to elicit information from airport operators. Airport executives were asked to identify their type of governance structure, their current type of organizational structure, the number of employees in their workforce, which employees or job functions were outsourced, and how they defined and determined organizational effectiveness and efficiency.

Twenty-two executives representing 36 airports completed surveys (Appendix B); all surveys were completed, yielding a 100% response rate. The airports ranged in size from 7 to 1,850 employees and represented each type of governance structure in each category of the National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems (NPIAS).

After the survey data were analyzed, five airports were selected for more detailed examination. All five airports had experienced a recent significant change in organizational structure and were willing to share lessons learned, along with advice to those initiating change in organizational structure and design. The five case example airports or airport systems are as follows:

1. Metropolitan Nashville Airport Authority medium hub

4

2. Louisville Regional Airport Authority small hub/significant cargo
3. Salt Lake City International Airport (city) large hub
4. Rapid City Regional Airport (city) non hub
5. Colorado Springs Airport (city) small hub