



10-1-1951

## Letter from Representative Burdick to L. R. Nostdal Regarding Indian Definition, October 1, 1951

Usher Burdick

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/burdick-papers>

---

### Recommended Citation

Burdick, Usher, "Letter from Representative Burdick to L. R. Nostdal Regarding Indian Definition, October 1, 1951" (1951). *Usher Burdick Papers*. 40.

<https://commons.und.edu/burdick-papers/40>

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Elwyn B. Robinson Department of Special Collections at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Usher Burdick Papers by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact [und.common@library.und.edu](mailto:und.common@library.und.edu).

October 1, 1951

Air Mail

Re: #555-B  
State vs. Jerome

Mr. L. R. Nostdal  
Attorney-at-Law  
Rugby, North Dakota

Dear Mr. Nostdal:

In reply to your air-mail letter of September 27, concerning the above case, involving the definition of who is legally an Indian, I cite the following:

United States Statutes at Large, 73rd Congress,  
1933-34, Volume 48, Part 1, Public Laws, page 988,  
Sec. 19:

"The term "Indian" as used in this Act shall include all persons of Indian descent who are members of any recognized Indian tribe now under Federal jurisdiction, and all persons who are descendants of such members who were, on June 1, 1934, residing within the present boundaries of any Indian reservation, and shall further include all other persons of one-half or more Indian blood....."

In my opinion a person who is a ward of the government under supervision of the Indian Bureau would also be defined as an Indian.

I hope this will give you the information you desire, and am glad to have been of assistance to you.

Very truly yours,

Usher L. Burdick, M. C.