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The Effectiveness of Oral Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Agents Versus Steroid Injections in Patients with Shoulder Pain
Darren Pledger PT, DPT, PA-S

Statement of the Problem

- The fact that shoulder pain is the third most common musculoskeletal complaint in primary care shows that clinicians must have an arsenal of quality treatments to address this problem.
- The need for quality research and evidenced-based guidelines is necessary to educate clinicians on their treatment options, the efficacy of these treatments, and their safety profiles.

Literature Review

1. In patients with shoulder pain, is oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents or corticosteroid injections into the glenohumeral joint more effective in reducing shoulder pain?

2. What are the risks and side effects of oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents and corticosteroid injections into the glenohumeral joint when used to treat shoulder pain?

Research Questions

The Effectiveness of Oral Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Agents Versus Steroid Injections in Patients with Shoulder Pain

- Many of the studies comparing corticosteroids to oral NSAIDs yielded similar results (Berry et al., 1989; Dobhan et al., 2013; Petri et al., 1987; Ranelleta et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2015).
- Two studies found that corticosteroids and oral NSAIDs are both superior to placebo in treating shoulder pain (Adebajo et al., 1990; Petri et al., 1987).
- Three studies found with statistical significance, that corticosteroids accelerate pain relief when compared to oral NSAIDs but long-term pain relief was equivocal (Dobhan et al., 2013; Ranelleta et al., 2016; Sun & Lee, 2013).
- Many of the studies in theme one had very small sample sizes.
- There is a small amount of literature directly comparing oral NSAIDs to corticosteroids, in patients with shoulder pain.
- A wide range of shoulder conditions were studied in theme one lacking consistency.
- Many studies in theme one were dated.
- There is a risk for upper gastrointestinal complications (Castellsague et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2011; Garcia Rodriguez & Barrales Tolosa, 2007; Rostom et al., 2011; Nissen et al., 2016).
- Non-selective oral NSAIDs pose the greatest risk followed by selective oral NSAIDs. Although selective oral NSAIDs were found to decrease the risk of upper gastrointestinal complications, they found that aspirin with selective NSAIDs virtually cancels out their protective effect (Garcia Rodriguez & Barrales Tolosa, 2007).
- The studies showed an increase in cardiovascular risk to a varying degree of all NSAIDs (Chang et al., 2011; Nissen et al., 2016; Patrino, 2016).
- All NSAIDs pose a risk to the kidney and AKI is possible in healthy populations as well as the elderly (Chou et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017).

Conclusion

- Both oral NSAIDs and corticosteroid injection are effective in reducing shoulder pain.
- Both treatments can treat a wide range of conditions.
- Both are superior to placebo while neither is superior to the other, thus the decision on what treatment to use should be based on an individuals comorbidities and risk factors.
- There are side effects associated with both treatments.
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Theme 1

1. Adebajo, Nash, and Hazleman, (1990), found both oral NSAIDs (p=0.0268) and triamcinolone injection are superior to placebo with the steroid injection group achieving the greatest results.
- Berry, Fernandes, Bloom, Clark, and Hamilton (1989) found that all groups showed statistically significant improvement in pain and range of motion but no significant difference between groups with no distinct advantage of any treatment.
- Petri, Dobrow, Neiman, Whiting-Of-Oelee, and Seaman (1987) found that steroid injection (p=0.00005) and oral NSAIDs (p=0.0268) were statistically superior to placebo and that there was no statistical significance of combined treatment compared to steroid injection alone.

Theme 2

1. Nissen et al. (2016). Precision Trial, found statistically significant results that there is no greater cardiovascular risk from celecoxib when compared to naproxen or ibuprofen.
- Castellsague et al. (2012), for individual NSAID use, they determined that ibuprofen was in the lowest range of pooled RRs while naproxen was associated with higher RR values depending on certain doses.
- Zhang, Doman, Bell, and Guthrie (2017) found that taking NSAIDs was associated in a 1.5-fold increase in the odds of developing AKI in the general population in people with (OR 1.63, 95% CI: 1.22-2.19, p=0.009) and without CKD (OR 1.73 95%CI: 1.44-2.07, p < 0.0001).
- Patrono (2016) determined that traditional NSAIDs and corticosteroids increased the risk of major vascular events by 40% with the exception of naproxen.
- Rostom et al. (2011) found a clear advantage of selective COX-2s over nonselective NSAIDs on gastrointestinal safety with fewer gastroduodenal ulcers (RR 0.26, 95% CI: 0.23-0.30) and fewer complications from ulcers (RR 0.39, 95% CI: 0.31-0.50).