

University of North Dakota UND Scholarly Commons

Al Assignment Library

Schools, Colleges, and Departments

9-6-2023

SIOP Lesson Plan Analysis

Hyonsuk Cho *University of North Dakota*, hyonsuk.cho@UND.edu

How does access to this work benefit you? Let us know!

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.und.edu/ai-assignment-library

Part of the Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education Commons, and the Teacher Education and Professional Development Commons

Recommended Citation

Hyonsuk Cho. "SIOP Lesson Plan Analysis" (2023). *Al Assignment Library*. 19. https://commons.und.edu/ai-assignment-library/19

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Schools, Colleges, and Departments at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Al Assignment Library by an authorized administrator of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

SIOP Lesson Plan Analysis (10%)

Purpose:

The purpose of this assignment is to critically analyze and evaluate a lesson plan that is developed by a generative AI tool. This assignment will help you become familiar with the SIOP components and features.

What is SIOP?

A Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) less plan is a lesson plan framework designed to address both content and academic language objectives for multilingual English learners and create an inclusive learning environment for all students. The SIOP lesson plan includes 8 components, and the 8 components consist of 30 features. In this course, you are expected to learn about all 30 features eventually and use them in your lesson plans.

AI Tools:

As long as the teachers understand the Al's limitations and ethically use the tool*, using and modifying a lesson plan developed by a generative Al like ChatGPT can offer several advantages to teachers. For example, ChatGPT can offer a range of ideas and perspectives that teachers can use to brainstorm and modify their class activities and approaches.

*Please note that the information and material generated by AI programs may be inaccurate, outdated, or problematic. You should be responsible for using such tools as they may not be helpful for your developing abilities as learners, thinkers, and writers.

Instructions:

- 1. Create a SIOP lesson plan for your students using a generative AI tool such as ChatGPT. Specify the student and class information, including student age, grade level, target content, required language skills, class size, and learning context. Attach the lesson plan without any modifications.
- 2. Analyze the lesson plan generated by the AI program using the following evaluation template.

SIOP Lesson Plan Evaluation Form

Score scales (Highly evident 2, Somewhat evident 1, Not evident 0)

Components	Features	Score (0-2)	Evidence and Comments
Lesson	1. Define, display, and review		
Preparation	content objectives clearly with		
	students.		
	2. Define, display, and review		
	language objectives clearly		
	with students.		
	3. Choose content concepts		
	appropriate for age and		

	advectional healtenessed level of	
	educational background level of students.	
	4. Identify supplementary	
	materials to use (e.g., graphs,	
	models, visuals).	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	5. Adapt content (e.g., text,	
	assignment) to all levels of	
	student proficiency.	
	6. Plan meaningful activities	
	that integrate lesson concepts	
	(e.g., surveys, letter writing,	
	simulations, constructing	
	models) with language practice	
	opportunities for reading,	
	writing, listening, and/or	
D. Haltar	speaking.	
Building	7. Explicitly link concepts to	
Background	students' backgrounds and	
	experiences.	
	8. Explicitly link past learning	
	and new concepts.	
	9. Emphasize key vocabulary	
	(e.g., introduce, write, repeat,	
0 1 111	and highlight) for students.	
Comprehensible	10. Use speech appropriate for	
Input	students' proficiency level (e.g.,	
	slower rate, enunciation, and	
	simple sentence structure for	
	beginners).	
	11. Explain academic tasks	
	clearly.	
	12. Use a variety of techniques	
	to make content concepts clear	
	(e.g., modeling, visuals, hands-	
	on activities, demonstrations,	
	gestures, body language,	
	repetition, corrective recast,	
	confirmation checks,	
	comprehension checks,	
	clarification requests, and the	
	negotiation of meaning.).	
Strategies	13. Provide ample opportunities	
	for students to use learning	
	strategies (e.g., problem	
	solving, predicting, organizing,	
	summarizing, categorizing,	

	1 2 10 2 1
	valuating, self- monitoring,
-	uestioning, visualizing,
I	agramming).
	4. Use scaffolding techniques
co	onsistently (providing the right
	mount of support to move
st	udents from one level of
ur	nderstanding to a higher level)
th	roughout lesson.
15	5. Use a variety of question
ty	rpes including those that
pr	romote higher-order thinking
1 -	kills throughout the lesson
	e.g., literal, analytical, and
	aterpretive questions).
	6. Provide frequent
	pportunities for interactions
1 -	nd discussion between
	acher/student and among
	udents, and encourage
	aborated responses, repair,
	omprehension checks,
	onfirmation checks,
	arification requests, the
	egotiation of meaning, and
	prrective recasts.
	7. Use group configurations
	at support language and
	ontent objectives of the lesson.
	8. Provide sufficient wait time
	or student responses
	onsistently.
	9. Give ample opportunities
	or students to clarify key
	oncepts in L1 as needed with
	de, peer, or L1 text.
· ·	O. Provide hands-on materials
	nd/or manipulatives for
	udents to practice using new
	ontent knowledge.
	1. Provide activities for
	udents to apply content and
	nguage knowledge in the
	assroom.
	2. Provide activities that
ا ا	itegrate all language skills

	(i.e., reading, writing, listening,	
	and speaking).	
	23. Support content objectives	
	clearly.	
	24. Support language objectives	
	clearly.	
	25. Engage students	
	approximately 90-100% of the	
	period (most students taking	
	part and on task throughout the	
	lesson).	
	26. Pace the lesson	
	appropriately to the students'	
	ability level.	
Review and	27. Give a comprehensive	
Assessment	review of key vocabulary.	
	28. Give a comprehensive	
	review of key content concepts.	
	29. Provide feedback to	
	students regularly on their	
	output (e.g., language, content,	
	work).	
	30. Conduct assessments of	
	student comprehension and	
	learning throughout lesson on	
	all lesson objectives (e.g., spot	
	checking, group response).	
Evaluator's		
Summary		
Suggestions to		
improve the		
lesson plan		
lesson plan		

Criteria for Success

- Accuracy of SIOP knowledge: Each feature is scored. The evaluation includes the accurate evidence for each SIOP feature.
- Critical thinking: The evaluator's summary succinctly points out the strengths and weaknesses of the lesson plan. The suggestions address the practical ways to improve the weaknesses and demonstrate the accurate understanding of the SIOP features.